



STAFF COMMENTS – INTERACTIVE DIGITAL KIOSKS

GENERAL COMMENTS

1. Consensus of the committee is that interactive digital kiosks are outdated given that smart phone technology provides all the aspects that an interactive digital kiosk would provide, except for advertisement.
2. The proposed number of locations is excessive and would result in clutter along the several of the City's primary and important streets as well as create impediments to pedestrians along the sidewalks, and distract drivers passing by the kiosks.
3. If City decides to move forward, the number of locations should be significantly limited and strategically located in the City.

ENGINEERING

4. Depending on placement, the kiosk could impact sight visibility triangles and line of sight.
5. Majority of the proposed locations are on State or County right-of-way not City. It is unclear how or what mechanism would be needed to permit the kiosks and would be an additional liability for the City.
6. Kiosks would be a distraction and create a safety issue for passing vehicles.

PUBLIC WORKS

7. Easements would be needed for each kiosks to legal define the location.
8. Kiosks could not be placed within 10 feet of underground utilities.
9. Kiosks will require electric source to function which will generate monthly costs.

PLANNING

10. Unclear on who would maintain the kiosks.
11. Advertisement would be considered off-site signage which is not permitted under the ULDR, Section 47-22.
12. Locations impede pedestrian connectivity and mobility. Proposed locations are inappropriately placed and distract both physically and visually for pedestrians to the surrounding context. Especially, the locations along A1A and the beach promenade view and experience.
13. Kiosks would need to be ADA accessible.