



**CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE**  
**RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION - INITIAL/FINAL RANKING**

RFP# **12561-815**  
 TITLE: **Emergency Catering Services**  
 DATE: **7/22/2021**

| Rater #1 - R. Gonzalez     |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         |                             |
|----------------------------|---|---------|----------------|---|---------|----------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
|                            | Understanding if the overall needs of the City for such services, as presented in the narrative proposal. This will include problem identification and the proposed method to accomplish the work required as well as suggested menu options. |         |                | Experience, qualifications, and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references. |         |                | Total Project Cost |         |                             |
| PROPOSING FIRM             | Weight Factor   | Ranking | Point Subtotal | Weight Factor   | Ranking | Point Subtotal | Weight Factor      | Ranking | Point Subtotal              |
| Adam & Joe Know Lunch, LLC | 0.50  | 3       | 1.50           | 0.20  | 3       | 0.60           | 0.30               | 2       | 0.60                        |
| Ashbritt, Inc.             | 0.50  | 1       | 0.50           | 0.20  | 1       | 0.20           | 0.30               | 3       | 0.90                        |
| GRL Investment Group LLC   | 0.50  | 2       | 1.00           | 0.20  | 2       | 0.40           | 0.30               | 1       | 0.30                        |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Total Points Awarded</b> |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>2.70</b>                 |

| Rater #2 - A. Sperling     |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         |                             |
|----------------------------|---|---------|----------------|---|---------|----------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
|                            | Understanding if the overall needs of the City for such services, as presented in the narrative proposal. This will include problem identification and the proposed method to accomplish the work required as well as suggested menu options. |         |                | Experience, qualifications, and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references. |         |                | Total Project Cost |         |                             |
| PROPOSING FIRM             | Weight Factor   | Ranking | Point Subtotal | Weight Factor   | Ranking | Point Subtotal | Weight Factor      | Ranking | Point Subtotal              |
| Adam & Joe Know Lunch, LLC | 0.50  | 3       | 1.50           | 0.20  | 3       | 0.60           | 0.30               | 2       | 0.60                        |
| Ashbritt, Inc.             | 0.50  | 1       | 0.50           | 0.20  | 1       | 0.20           | 0.30               | 3       | 0.90                        |
| GRL Investment Group LLC   | 0.50  | 2       | 1.00           | 0.20  | 2       | 0.40           | 0.30               | 1       | 0.30                        |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Total Points Awarded</b> |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>2.70</b>                 |

| Rater #3 - S. Simcox       |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         |                                   |
|----------------------------|---|---------|----------------|---|---------|----------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
|                            | Understanding if the overall needs of the City for such services, as presented in the narrative proposal. This will include problem identification and the proposed method to accomplish the work required as well as suggested menu options. |         |                | Experience, qualifications, and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references. |         |                | Total Project Cost |         |                                   |
| PROPOSING FIRM             | Weight Factor   | Ranking | Point Subtotal | Weight Factor   | Ranking | Point Subtotal | Weight Factor      | Ranking | Point Subtotal                    |
| Adam & Joe Know Lunch, LLC | 0.50  | 3       | 1.50           | 0.20  | 3       | 0.60           | 0.30               | 2       | 0.60                              |
| Ashbritt, Inc.             | 0.50  | 1       | 0.50           | 0.20  | 1       | 0.20           | 0.30               | 3       | 0.90                              |
| GRL Investment Group LLC   | 0.50  | 2       | 1.00           | 0.20  | 2       | 0.40           | 0.30               | 1       | 0.30                              |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Total Points Awarded</b>       |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>2.70</b>                       |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Total Combined Points</b>      |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>8.10</b>                       |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Average Points Awarded</b>     |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>2.70</b>                       |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Local Vendor Preference</b>    |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>5%</b>                         |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Local Preference Deduction</b> |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>-0.135</b>                     |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>Total Final Score</b>          |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>2.57</b>                       |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>FINAL RANKING</b>              |
|                            |   |         |                |   |         |                |                    |         | <b>3</b>                          |