



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE  
RFQ EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION - PRESENTATIONS

RFQ# 12308-296  
TITLE: Parks Bond and Parks Master Plan Design and Implementation Services  
DATE: 12/19/2019

Rater #1 - Ella P											
	Qualification of Project Team: To include personnel used for the project, project manager, subconsultants, Joint ventures, etc.			Approach to Scope of Work: Includes firms understanding of the scope of Work; MWBE participation			Previous Similar Projects and References				
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
Stantec	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	3	1.05	2.65	
EDSA	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	1.40	4.00	
Keith	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	2	0.70	1.35	
AECOM	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	1	0.35	2.00	

Rater #2 -Caleb G											
	Qualification of Project Team: To include personnel used for the project, project manager, subconsultants, Joint ventures, etc.			Approach to Scope of Work: Includes firms understanding of the scope of Work; MWBE participation			Previous Similar Projects and References				
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
Stantec	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	3	1.05	2.35	
EDSA	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	1.40	4.00	
Keith	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	1	0.35	1.60	
AECOM	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	2	0.70	2.05	

Rater #3 - Gina R											
	Qualification of Project Team: To include personnel used for the project, project manager, subconsultants, Joint ventures, etc.			Approach to Scope of Work: Includes firms understanding of the scope of Work; MWBE participation			Previous Similar Projects and References				
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
Stantec	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	3	1.05	2.35	
EDSA	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	1.40	4.00	
Keith	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	2	0.70	2.65	
AECOM	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	1	0.35	1.00	

Rater #4 - Tom G											
	Qualification of Project Team: To include personnel used for the project, project manager, subconsultants, Joint ventures, etc.			Approach to Scope of Work: Includes firms understanding of the scope of Work; MWBE participation			Previous Similar Projects and References				
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
Stantec	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	1	0.35	2.00	
EDSA	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	1.40	4.00	
Keith	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	3	1.05	2.30	
AECOM	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	2	0.70	1.70	

Rater #5 - Nancy G											
	Qualification of Project Team: To include personnel used for the project, project manager, subconsultants, Joint ventures, etc.			Approach to Scope of Work: Includes firms understanding of the scope of Work; MWBE participation			Previous Similar Projects and References				
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
Stantec	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	3	0.90	0.35	3	1.05	2.30	
EDSA	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	0.35	4	1.40	4.00	
Keith	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	1	0.30	0.35	2	0.70	2.05	
AECOM	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	2	0.60	0.35	1	0.35	1.65	
										Average Points Awarded	
										9.81	
										11.65	
										3	
										16.8	
										20.00	
										4	
										8.31	
										9.95	
										2	
										7.08	
										8.40	
										1	
										FINAL RANKING	