★ Segal Waters Consulting

City of Fort Lauderdale, FL

2018 TOTAL COMPENSATION SURVEY RESULTS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES – DEFINED CONTRIBUTION

September 2018

Final Report

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 1 of 91

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 2 of 91

Table of Contents

2018 Total Compensation Survey Results – General Employees – Defined Contribution

September 2018

Executive Summary	. 1
Background	. 1
Summary of Findings	. 8
Methodology	. 12
Peer Employers	. 12
Published Data Sources	. 13
Data Adjustments	. 14
Geographic Adjustments	
Workweek Adjustments	. 15
Study Findings – Base Pay	. 16
Pay Ranges - Overall	. 16
Study Findings – Pay Practices	. 21
Pay Schedule Design & Increases	. 21
Study Findings – Health Benefits	. 52
Total Health	
Medical	
Dental	
Vision	.63
Study Findings - Retirement Benefits	. 66
Study Findings – Total Compensation	. 69
Conclusions	. 82
Pay Range Competitiveness	. 82
Total Compensation Competitiveness	. 82
Appendix A – Benchmark Summaries	. 83
Appendix B – Detailed Market Data	. 179

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 3 of 91



CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 4 of 91

Background

The City of Fort Lauderdale engaged Segal Waters Consulting to evaluate the competitiveness of both pay and benefits for general employee positions. To gather this information, Segal Waters, in consultation with the City's Human Resources team, developed a customized survey instrument that was distributed to public sector employers found to be representative of its competitive labor market. Additionally, Segal Waters referenced published market data to represent the private sector. All data is effective as of February 1, 2017 and has been aged to April 1, 2018. Data is shown at the 75th percentile of market per the request of the City of Fort Lauderdale.

The thirty (30) peer employers selected for participation in the study are shown in **Table 1**. Of the thirty (30) surveyed employers, eleven (11) employers submitted both the pay and benefits sections of the survey, one (1) employer responded only to the benefits portion of the survey, and two (2) employers responded only to the pay portion of the survey. Segal Waters completed the pay portion of the survey for five (5) additional comparables, including the comparable mentioned previously who only submitted the benefits portion of the survey, based on job descriptions and pay data provided on the comparables' websites. As a result, Broward County, the City of Boca Raton, the City of Coral Springs, the City of Sunrise, the City of West Palm Beach, and Miami-Dade County will not be included in **Table 12** through **Table 49** as they did not complete the benefits portion of the survey. Overall, eighteen (18) employers participated in at least one portion of the survey providing a 60% response rate.



TABLE 1SURVEYED EMPLOYERS

Peer Employers Surveyed				
Cities				
City of Boca Raton	(Segal matched pay data)			
City of Coral Springs	$\sqrt{(Submitted pay portion only)}$			
City of Delray Beach	$\sqrt{(\text{Segal matched pay data, comparable submitted})}$			
City of Hialeah				
City of Hollywood	√			
City of Miami	√			
City of Miami Beach	√			
City of Miami Gardens				
City of Miramar	√			
City of Pembroke Pines				
City of Pompano Beach	√			
City of Sunrise	(Segal matched pay data)			
City of West Palm Beach	$\sqrt{(\text{Segal matched pay data})}$			
Counties				
Broward County	$\sqrt{($ Segal matched pay data $)}$			
Miami-Dade County	(Submitted pay portion only)			
Palm Beach County				
School Districts	•			
Broward County School District				
Miami-Dade County School District				
Palm Beach County School District	√			
Transportation				
Florida Department of Transportation				
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority	\checkmark			
Miami Executive Airport				
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	\checkmark			
Universities				
Barry University				
Florida International University				
Miami-Dade College	\checkmark			
University of Miami				
Utilities				
South Florida Water Management District				
Orange County Utilities				
Toho Water Authority	√			

 $\sqrt{1}$ = Responded to Survey



The study covers ninety-two (92) benchmark jobs, representing approximately 50%¹ of the City of Fort Lauderdale's workforce shown in **Table 2**. The benchmark jobs are listed by department in **Table 3** and by occupational category in **Table 5**. To assist survey respondents in making job matches based on duties and qualifications rather than title, the survey instrument contained job summaries. The job summaries for each benchmark job are detailed in **Appendix A**.

TABLE 2

BENCHMARK JOB TITLES BY DEPARTMENT – COMPOSITION OF WORKFORCE

		TOTAL WORKFORCE		
Department	Benchmark Titles Being Evaluated in Study	Number of Employees within Benchmark Titles Being Evaluated	Employees in Benchmark Titles Evaluated As a Percent of the Total Workforce	Percentage of Workforce by Department
City Attorney's Office	2	16	0.5%	0.9%
City Auditor's Office	1	1	0.0%	0.1%
City Clerk's Office	1	6	0.2%	0.3%
City Commission's Office	1	4	0.1%	0.2%
City Manager's Office	7	19	0.6%	1.7%
Finance	12	42	1.4%	2.1%
Fire Rescue	9	183	6.1%	18.5%
Human Resources Department	8	17	0.6%	1.2%
Information Technology Services	14	36	1.2%	2.4%
Public Works / Building Services	24	202	6.7%	13.1%
Parks and Recreation	24	675	22.3%	26.2%
Police	13	110	3.6%	23.0%
Sustainable Development	16	107	3.5%	5.4%
Transportation and Mobility	17	86	2.8%	4.6%
Other ¹	0 ²	0	0.0%	0.3%
Total:	92 ³	1,504	49.7% ⁴	100%



¹ 'Other' includes positions in Pension and Community Redevelopment Agency departments as noted in the 12.09.17 census file.

² No benchmark titles were selected from the Pension and Community Redevelopment Agency departments.

³ The column 'Titles Being Evaluated' does not add to the 92 benchmark positions as 18 positions cross multiple departments, encompassing 467 employees.

⁴ The percentage of total workforce value is based upon the 12.09.16 census provided by the client, which included a total of 3,024 job incumbents. These values are subject to change with workforce fluctuations.

City Attorney's Office (2)						
Assistant City Attorney III	Legal Assistant III					
City (City Clerk's Office (1)					
 Assistant City Clerk II 						
City Com	nmission's Office (1)					
Commission Assistant IV						
City Ma	anager's Office (3)					
 Assistant City Manager 	 Senior Financial Management Analyst 					
 Construction Review Specialist 						
Crosses N	Iultiple Departments (19)					
 Accounting Clerk Administrative Aide Administrative Assistant II 	 Heavy Equipment Operator Municipal Maintenance Worker II Planner III 					
 Clerk III 	 Principal Planner 					
 Code Compliance Officer 	 Project Manager II 					
 Construction Worker II 	> Secretary I					
 Customer Service Representative I 	Senior Accounting Clerk					
 Deputy Director Electrician 	 Senior Project Manager Service Clerk 					
 Electrician Event Worker 						
	Finance (6)					
 Accountant II 	 Senior Accountant 					
Manager - Procurement & Contracts	 Senior Procurement Specialist 					
Procurement Specialist II Treasurer Fire Rescue (3)						
Battalion Chief	Beach Patrol Lieutenant					
 Beach Lifeguard 						
Human Res	ources Department (5)					
 Claims Adjuster 	 Risk Manager 					
 Human Resources Assistant 	 Senior Claims Adjuster 					
 Insurance Benefits Specialist 						
	Technology Services (10)					
> Application Developer	Network Support Analyst					
 Assistant Database Administrator Computer Operator II 	 Senior Tech Support Analyst Systems Administrator 					
 Data Warehouse Analyst 	 Technical Support Analyst 					
 Geographic Information System Analyst 	 Technology Strategist 					
	and Recreation (12)					
> Apprentice Municipal Maintenance Worker	 Pool Equipment Mechanic 					
Community Program Supervisor	> Pool Lifeguard I					
 Field Operating Technician (Level IV) 	Recreation Instructor II					
> Head Groundskeeper	Recreation Program Coordinator					
Municipal Maintenance Worker III	Recreation Programmer I					
(Parks/Facilities)	 Recreation Worker 					
 Parks Foreman 						

TABLE 3 BENCHMARK JOB TITLES BY DEPARTMENT



TABLE 3BENCHMARK JOB TITLES BY DEPARTMENT

	Police (6)					
>	Accident Investigator II	>	Police Records Clerk			
>	Crime Analyst II	>	Public Safety Aide			
>	Police Aide II	>	Senior Police Records Clerk			
	Public Works / B	uild	ing Services (12)			
>	Distribution & Collection Chief	>	Municipal Maintenance Worker III (Public Services)			
>	Electro Technician	>	Public Service Maintenance Chief			
>	Engineering Aide II	>	Utilities Mechanic I			
>	Engineering Technician II	>	Utilities Service Worker			
>	Environmental Lab Technician	>	Utility Field Representative			
>	Industrial Electrician	>	Water Treatment Plant Operator II			
	Sustainable	Dev	elopment (7)			
>	Building Inspector	>	Plumbing Inspector			
>	Chief Building Inspector	>	Senior Code Compliance Officer			
>	Economic and Business Development Manager	>	Structural Plans Examiner			
>	Electrical Inspector					
	Transportatio	n ar	d Mobility (5)			
>	Airport Operations Aide	>	Parking Meter Technician			
>	Parking Enforcement Shift Coordinator	>	Parking Operations Supervisor			
>	Parking Enforcement Specialist					



TABLE 4 BENCHMARK JOB TITLES BY OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY – COMPOSITION OF WORKFORCE

Occupational Category	Benchmark Titles Being Evaluated in Study	Number of Employees within Benchmark Titles Being Evaluated	Employees in Benchmark Titles Evaluated As a Percent of the Total Workforce
Administrative & Support Services	11	201	6.6%
Managerial & Supervisory	6	19	0.6%
Paraprofessional	8	92	3.0%
Professional	31	274	9.1%
Service Maintenance	13	646	21.4%
Skilled Crafts	10	189	6.3%
Technical	13	83	2.7%
Total:	92	1,504	49.7% ⁵

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 10 of 91



⁵ The percentage of total workforce value is based upon the 12-09-16 census provided by the client, which included a total of 3,024 job incumbents. These values are subject to change with workforce fluctuations.

TABLE 5 BENCHMARK JOB TITLES BY OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY

	Administrative and Support Services (11)					
>	Administrative Aide	>up	Police Aide II			
	Administrative Assistant II	5	Police Records Clerk			
	Assistant City Clerk II Clerk III		Secretary I Senior Police Records Clerk			
	-					
	Customer Service Representative I		Service Clerk			
	Parking Enforcement Specialist	c	nom de om (C)			
	Managerial and					
	Beach Patrol Lieutenant		Manager - Procurement & Contracts			
	Deputy Director		Risk Manager			
>	Economic and Business Development Manager	>	Treasurer			
			sional (8)			
	Accident Investigator II		Legal Assistant III			
	Accounting Clerk		Public Safety Aide			
	Airport Operations Aide		Recreation Programmer I			
>	Insurance Benefits Specialist	>	Senior Accounting Clerk			
	Professi		· ·			
	Accountant II		Planner III			
	Application Developer		Principal Planner			
	Assistant City Attorney III		Procurement Specialist II			
	Assistant City Manager		Project Manager II			
	Assistant Database Administrator		Recreation Instructor II			
	Battalion Chief		Recreation Program Coordinator			
>	Claims Adjuster	>	Senior Accountant			
>	Commission Assistant IV	>	Senior Claims Adjuster			
>	Community Program Supervisor	>	Senior Financial Management Analyst			
>	Crime Analyst II	>	Senior Procurement Specialist			
>	Data Warehouse Analyst	>	Senior Project Manager			
>	Environmental Lab Technician	>	Senior Tech Support Analyst			
>	Geographic Information System Analyst	>	Systems Administrator			
>	Human Resources Assistant	>	Technical Support Analyst			
>	Network Support Analyst	>	Technology Strategist			
>	Parking Operations Supervisor					
	0 am i 11 - 1		0000 (12)			
	Service Main					
	, hb. errae mensehen mensenenen mensen					
	Construction Worker II		Pool Equipment Mechanic			
	Event Worker		Pool Lifeguard I			
	Field Operating Technician (Level IV)		Recreation Worker			
	Head Groundskeeper		Utilities Service Worker			
	Municipal Maintenance Worker II	>	Utility Field Representative			
	Municipal Maintenance Worker III (Parks/Facilities)		- (4.0)			
			aft (10)			
>	Beach Lifeguard	>	Parking Meter Technician			
>	Distribution & Collection Chief		Parks Foreman			
>	Electrician	>	Public Service Maintenance Chief			
>	Heavy Equipment Operator	>	Utilities Mechanic I			
>	Industrial Electrician	>	Water Treatment Plant Operator II			



TABLE 5 BENCHMARK JOB TITLES BY OCCUPATIONAL CATEGORY

Technical (13)				
 Building Inspector 	 Engineering Aide II 			
 Chief Building Inspector 	Engineering Technician II			
 Code Compliance Officer 	Parking Enforcement Specialist			
 Computer Operator II 	Plumbing Inspector			
 Construction Review Specialist 	Senior Code Compliance Officer			
> Electrical Inspector	 Structural Plans Examiner 			
> Electro Technician				

Summary of Findings

This analysis is based on market data covering both the public sector, encompassing cities, counties, school districts, transportation entities, universities, and utilities, and the private sector. Public sector information was gathered through a custom market survey. Private sector information was derived from published data sources. The City of Fort Lauderdale's overall market position is based on an equal weighting of the two market sector averages and is relative to the 75th percentile of market.

Based on <u>overall</u> market data, including custom survey peer employers and published data representative of the private sector, the City of Fort Lauderdale's pay structure is at the market average at the pay range midpoint and maximum and below market at the pay range minimum. The City of Fort Lauderdale's market position is shown below in **Table 6A** as an overall to market, in **Table 6B** by department, and in **Table 6C** by occupational group.

We define market competitiveness as being between 95% and 105% of the market average at the minimum, midpoint, and maximum. Market comparisons that fall within this competitive corridor are noted in black, below 95% are noted in red, and above 105% are noted in blue.

TABLE 6ACITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLMARKET POSITION AT THE 75TH PERCENTILE OVERALL - PAY ONLY⁶

	City of Fort Lauderdale as a Percent of the 75th Percentile of the Market Average				
	Pay Range Minimum Pay Range Midpoint Pay Range Maximum				
Overall	100%	96%	93%		

Figures shown in **red** are below market (less than 95% of the market average) Figures shown in **black** within the market range (95% to 105% of the market average) Figures shown in **blue** are above market (more than 105% of the market average)



⁶ Base pay rates have been adjusted for geographic differences in the cost-of-labor


TABLE 6BCITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLMARKET POSITION AT THE 75TH PERCENTILE BY DEPARTMENT – PAY ONLY7

	City of Fort Lauderdale as a Percent of the 75 th Percentile of the Market Average		
	Pay Range Minimum	Pay Range Midpoint	Pay Range Maximum
City Attorney's Office	108%	106%	106%
City Clerk's Office	113%	121%	110%
City Commission's Office	116%	112%	110%
City Manager's Office	90%	89%	87%
Crosses Multiple Departments	100%	96%	93%
Finance Department	111%	104%	100%
Fire Department	95%	97%	95%
Human Resources Department	97%	96%	95%
Information Technology Department	103%	96%	90%
Parks and Recreation Department	97%	95%	93%
Police	93%	86%	81%
Public Works / Building Services Department	99%	94%	90%
Sustainable Development Department	90%	87%	86%
Transportation and Mobility Department	108%	100%	94%
Overall	100%	96%	93%

Figures shown in **red** are below market (less than 95% of the market average)

Figures shown in **black** within the market range (95% to 105% of the market average)

Figures shown in **blue** are above market (more than 105% of the market average)



⁷ Base pay rates have been adjusted for geographic differences in the cost-of-labor

TABLE 6CCITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLMARKET POSITION AT THE 75TH PERCENTILE BY OCCUPATIONAL GROUP- PAY
ONLY⁸

	City of Fort Lauderdale as a Percent of the 75 th Percentile of the Market Average		
	Pay Range Minimum	Pay Range Midpoint	Pay Range Maximum
Administrative & Support Services	105%	98%	93%
Managerial & Supervisory	101%	98%	95%
Paraprofessional	97%	91%	87%
Professional	102%	99%	96%
Service Maintenance	95%	95%	94%
Skilled Crafts	103%	97%	93%
Technical	91%	86%	82%
Overall	100%	96%	93%

Figures shown in red are below market (less than 95% of the market average)

Figures shown in **black** within the market range (95% to 105% of the market average) Figures shown in **blue** are above market (more than 105% of the market average)



⁸ Base pay rates have been adjusted for geographic differences in the cost-of-labor

We did find variation in the competitiveness of individual benchmark job titles (see **Table 11**). Overall, at the midpoint of the pay range:

- > 39 benchmark job titles are below market (less than 95% at the midpoint)
- > 34 benchmark job titles are at market (between 95% and 105% at the midpoint)
- > 17 benchmark job titles are above market (above 105% at the midpoint)
- > 2 benchmark job titles did not garner sufficient matches to be statistically significant

On a total compensation basis, taking into consideration base pay, employer health benefit costs, and retirement plan contributions, the City's market position relative to the base pay lowers slightly from 96% to 95%, as shown below in **Table 7**.

Overall, a total compensation analysis indicates:

- > 47 benchmark job titles are below market (less than 95% at the midpoint)
- > 33 benchmark job titles are at market (between 95% and 105% at the midpoint)
- > 10 benchmark job titles are above market (above 105% at the midpoint)
- > 2 benchmark job titles did not garner sufficient matches to be statistically significant

Health and retirement benefits used in the total compensation analysis reflect the Public Sector peer employers only.

TABLE 7CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FL75TH PERCENTILE MARKET POSITION ACROSS ALL BENCHMARKS AND PEER
EMPLOYERS - TOTAL COMPENSATION

		Employer Co		
	Base Pay ⁹ (Range Midpoint)	Weighted Total Health Costs (Medical, Dental, & Vision) Total Retirement Benefits (Defined Contribution & Deferred Compensation)		Employer Total Compensation Costs (Pay and Benefits)
Overall Average	96%	71%	115%	95%

⁹ Base pay rates have been adjusted for geographic differences in the cost-of-labor



CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 16 of 91

Methodology

Peer Employers

Table 8 shows the demographic characteristics of the 18 comparable employers participating in the study, a combination of cities, counties, school districts, transportation entities, universities, and utilities identified by the City as representative of its competitive labor market.

TABLE 8PEER EMPLOYER CHARACTERISTICS

Peer Employers	Population Change Since 2010	Median Household Income as of 2014
Cities		
City of Boca Raton	10.5%	\$354,800
City of Coral Springs	6.9%	\$269,600
City of Delray Beach	9.5%	\$176,900
City of Hollywood	6.4%	\$172,800
City of Miami	10.4%	\$211,400
City of Miami Beach	5.2%	\$341,000
City of Miramar	12.4%	\$194,000
City of Pompano Beach	7.9%	\$154,900
City of Sunrise	9.8%	\$137,300
City of West Palm Beach	6.9%	\$173,000
Counties	· ·	
Broward County	8.5%	\$177,300
Miami-Dade County	7.9%	\$194,100
School Districts	· ·	
Palm Beach County School District	7.8%	\$194,600
Transportation		
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	13.7%	\$158,600
Miami Executive Airport	10.4%	\$211,400
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	8.0%	\$188,666 ¹⁰
Universities		
Miami-Dade College	10.4%	\$30,858
Utilities		
Toho Water Authority	20.6%	\$44,551
City of Fort Lauderdale	7.9%	\$243,600



¹⁰ Average of Broward, Miami-Dade and Palm Beach Counties 2014 Median Household Value data.

Published Data Sources

Additionally, Segal Waters referenced three (3) published data sources to reflect private sector pay levels. The sources are:

Economic Research Institute (ERI)

The ERI Salary Assessor compiles pay data from hundreds of published data sources for thousands of job titles. The database is updated quarterly and provides salary information for nearly any geographic area in the United States. The information in this database reflects base salaries at the 10th and 90th percentiles, applicable to data sources and locations near Fort Lauderdale, Florida. The midpoint was calculated from the 10th and 90th percentiles. The geographic data cut used was Fort Lauderdale, Florida and the data was effective as of July 1, 2016.

Towers Watson Data Services, Compensation Surveys

Towers Watson Data Services publishes multiple compensation surveys throughout the year. We compiled data from Towers Watson's General Industry compensation surveys. This report reflects the 10th and 90th percentiles of base salaries and the midpoint was calculated from these percentiles. The geographic cut used was the Southeast Region. Due to general proximity, data was not geographically adjusted. The data was effective as of February 1, 2015.

Mercer US Benchmark Database Survey 2015

The 2015 Mercer Compensation Survey Report complies pay data from over 3,000 organizations across a variety of industries throughout the United States. The information in this report reflects base salary and pay range data for all responding organizations in the Southeast region. This report reflects the 25th and 75th percentiles of base salaries and the midpoint was calculated from these percentiles. The data was effective as of March 1, 2015.

Data from the three published data sources above were aged to the data effective date of April 1, 2018.





Data Adjustments

Geographic Adjustments

To adjust for geographic difference in the cost-of-labor between the City of Fort Lauderdale and peer locations, we used the cost-of-labor differentials report by the Economic Research Institute (ERI) for each peer location.

It is important to note that the cost-of-labor differentials do not necessarily reflect cost-of-living differences. ERI has found that cost-of-living differences (which reflect the supply and demand for goods and services) are not a good predictor of salary levels. In other words, while the cost of housing (or other goods and services) in the City of Fort Lauderdale may differ from the cost of housing in another peer location by a certain percentage, the prevailing salaries may not differ by the same percentage. ERI emphasizes that – for adjusting salaries in a market study such as this one – the cost-of-labor differentials provide a more accurate method of determining whether employers are paying a competitive wage appropriate to a given geographic area.

The geographic adjustments applied are shown in **Table 9**. A **negative** adjustment means that the cost-of-labor in a comparator location is higher than in the City of Fort Lauderdale. For example, the cost of labor in the City of Boca Raton is higher than in the City of Fort Lauderdale, shown as -1.1%. Conversely, a **positive** adjustment means that the cost-of-labor in a comparator location is lower than in the City of Fort Lauderdale. For example, the cost of labor in the City of Fort Lauderdale. For example, the cost of labor in the City of Fort Lauderdale. For example, the cost of labor in the City of Fort Lauderdale. For example, the cost of labor in the City of Fort Lauderdale. For example, the cost of labor in the City of Fort Lauderdale, shown as an adjustment of 0.1%.

Peer Employer	Geographic Adjustment
Broward County	0.0%
City of Boca Raton	-1.1%
City of Coral Springs	0.3%
City of Delray Beach	-0.8%
City of Hollywood	0.2%
City of Miami	0.1%
City of Miami Beach	-0.3%
City of Miramar	0.3%
City of Pompano Beach	0.4%
City of Sunrise	1.1%
City of West Palm Beach	-1.4%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	5.0%
Miami Executive Airport	0.1%
Miami-Dade College	0.1%
Miami-Dade County	0.1%
Palm Beach County School District	-1.4%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	0.1%
Toho Water Authority	5.4%

TABLE 9GEOGRAPHIC ADJUSTMENTS

Since workweeks sometimes vary across peer employers, we typically adjust Non-Exempt positions based on the reported pay ranges to be consistent with the City of Fort Lauderdale's standard workweeks for employees; as shown below in **Table 10**, there were some differences in workweeks for the peer employers.

TABLE 10 WORKWEEK ADJUSTMENTS

	40 HOUI	40 HOUR WORKWEEK	48 HOU	48 HOUR WORKWEEK
Peer Employer	Hours Worked by Employees in Comparable Positions	Workweek Adjustment by Employees in Comparable Positions	Hours Worked by Employees in Comparable Positions	Workweek Adjustment by Employees in Comparable Positions
Broward County	40.0	0.0%	48.0	0.0%
City of Boca Raton	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of Coral Springs	40.0	0.0%	48.0	0.0%
City of Delray Beach	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of Hollywood	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of Miami	40.0 29.5	0.0% 35.6%	40.0	20.0%
City of Miami Beach	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of Miramar	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of Pompano Beach	40.0	0.0%	48.0	0.0%
City of Sunrise	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of West Palm Beach	40.0	0.0%	48.0	0.0%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
Miami Executive Airport	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
Miami-Dade College	37.5 25.0	6.7% 60.0%	40.0	20.0%
Miami-Dade County	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
Palm Beach County School District	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
Toho Water Authority	40.0	0.0%	40.0	20.0%
City of Fort Lauderdale	40.0	0.0%	48.0	0.0%

Workweek Adjustments


Pay Ranges - Overall

Based on <u>overall</u> market data the City of Fort Lauderdale's pay structure is at the market average midpoint. We define market competitiveness as being between 95% and 105% of the market average. We did find variation in the competitiveness of individual benchmark job titles.

Specifically:

- > 39 benchmark job titles are below market (less than 95% at the midpoint)
- > 34 benchmark job titles are at market (between 95% and 105% at the midpoint)
- > 17 benchmark job titles are above market (above 105% at the midpoint)
- > 2 benchmark job titles did not garner sufficient matches to be statistically significant

Table 11 shows all benchmark jobs and their respective pay range market competitiveness based on the market data. "Insufficient Data" indicates that a specific benchmark job did not have three or more matches, as noted in the second column of the table. Segal Waters requires three or more matches for the data to be statistically significant; if a benchmark job does not garner enough matches Segal Waters will remove the position from analysis.



OVERALL MARKET POSITION - 7	City of Fort L	auderdale Pay	/ Ranges as a	
Benchmark Title	# of Matches	Percen Pay Range Minimum	t of the 75 th Pe Pay Range Midpoint	Pay Range Maximum
City	Attorney's Offic		mapoint	Maximum
Assistant City Attorney III	10	112%	107%	105%
Legal Assistant III	10	99%	105%	106%
City Attorney's Office Average		108%	106%	106%
	y Clerk's Office			
Assistant City Clerk II	6	113%	121%	110%
City Clerk's Office Average		113%	121%	110%
	ommission's Off			
Commission Assistant IV	5	116%	112%	110%
City Commission's Office Average		116%	112%	110%
	Manager's Offic	e (3)		
Assistant City Manager	11	87%	90%	88%
Construction Review Specialist	4	103%	95%	89%
Senior Financial Management Analyst	12	88%	85%	86%
City Manager's Office Average		90%	89%	87%
Crosses	Multiple Departn	nents (19)		
Accounting Clerk	16	100%	90%	86%
Administrative Aide	13	105%	95%	89%
Administrative Assistant II	9	104%	100%	95%
Clerk III	12	119%	113%	110%
Code Compliance Officer	11	97%	92%	87%
Construction Worker II	12	110%	106%	101%
Customer Service Representative I	13	97%	88%	82%
Deputy Director	12	96%	97%	94%
Electrician	18	102%	97%	94%
Event Worker	3	64%	118%	154%
Heavy Equipment Operator	14	101%	95%	92%
Municipal Maintenance Worker II	15	100%	95%	93%
Planner III	11	100%	95%	90%
Principal Planner	9	102%	97%	94%
Project Manager II	12	92%	89%	84%
Secretary I	12	104%	94%	89%
Senior Accounting Clerk	9	96%	92%	89%
Senior Project Manager	9	99%	97%	94%
Service Clerk	5	105%	97%	93%
Crosses Multiple Departments Average		100%	96%	93%
Fina	ance Departmen	t (6)		
Accountant II	17	117%	108%	102%
Manager, Procurement And Contracts	13	104%	97%	92%

OVERALL MARKET POSITION - 75	PERCENT					
			City of Fort Lauderdale Pay Ranges as Percent of the 75 th Percentile			
Benchmark Title	# of Matches	Pay Range Minimum	Pay Range Midpoint	Pay Range Maximum		
Procurement Specialist II	13	123%	112%	105%		
Senior Accountant	17	118%	110%	109%		
Senior Procurement Specialist	10	122%	117%	112%		
Treasurer	10	94%	90%	87%		
Finance Department Average	·	111%	104%	100%		
Fire	e Department (3)				
Battalion Chief	12	85%	98%	102%		
Beach Lifeguard	7	98%	90%	85%		
Beach Patrol Lieutenant	7	113%	101%	92%		
Fire Department Average	·	95%	97%	95%		
Human Re	sources Depar	tment (5)				
Claims Adjuster	11	87%	89%	87%		
Human Resources Assistant	6	112%	109%	111%		
Insurance Benefits Specialist	8	99%	92%	88%		
Risk Manager	8	100%	100%	100%		
Senior Claims Adjuster	10	92%	93%	91%		
Human Resources Department Average		97%	96%	95%		
Information T	echnology Dep	artment (10)				
Application Developer	10	108%	107%	102%		
Assistant Database Administrator	10	100%	96%	90%		
Computer Operator II	6	94%	80%	71%		
Data Warehouse Analyst	4	104%	102%	95%		
Geographic Information Systems Analyst	6	111%	101%	93%		
Network Support Analyst	9	100%	96%	90%		
Senior Tech Support Analyst	9	111%	101%	97%		
Systems Administrator	13	112%	103%	97%		
Technical Support Analyst	11	103%	96%	91%		
Technology Strategist	6	87%	80%	75%		
Information Technology Department Average	ge	103%	96%	90%		
Parks and R	ecreation Depa	rtment (12)				
Apprentice Municipal Maintenance Worker	9	90%	83%	80%		
Community Program Supervisor	7	92%	94%	95%		
Field Operations Technician - Level IV	4	108%	98%	91%		
Head Groundskeeper	4	98%	94%	91%		
Municipal Maintenance Worker III (Parks/Facilities)	10	92%	89%	85%		
Parks Foreman	7	112%	105%	102%		
Pool Equipment Mechanic	4	110%	108%	107%		
Pool Lifeguard I	11	98%	91%	85%		
Recreation Instructor II	5	64%	82%	94%		

OVERALL MARKET POSITION - 75	City of Fort Lauderdale Pay Ranges as a			
		Percen	t of the 75 th Pe	ercentile
Benchmark Title	# of Matches	Pay Range Minimum	Pay Range Midpoint	Pay Range Maximum
Recreation Program Coordinator	7	116%	113%	108%
Recreation Programmer I	7	96%	87%	81%
Recreation Worker	7	62%	75%	84%
Parks and Recreation Department Average		97%	95%	93%
Polic	ce Department	(6)		
Accident Investigator II	2		Insufficient Data	а
Crime Analyst II	8	103%	93%	86%
Police Aide II	1		Insufficient Dat	a
Police Records Clerk	8	98%	91%	86%
Public Safety Aide	6	86%	84%	78%
Senior Police Records Clerk	4	91%	82%	76%
Police Department Average	93%	86%	81%	
Public Works / Bu				
Distribution And Collection Chief	6	97%	94%	92%
Electro Technician	7	101%	96%	92%
Engineering Aide II	6	85%	83%	75%
Engineering Technician II	6	106%	100%	93%
Environmental Laboratory Technician	6	99%	95%	93%
Industrial Electrician	18	102%	97%	93%
Municipal Maintenance Worker III (Public Services)	4	95%	88%	83%
Public Service Maintenance Chief	9	100%	96%	92%
Utilities Mechanic I	10	105%	98%	90%
Utilities Service Worker	8	83%	88%	91%
Utility Field Representative	3	105%	99%	94%
Water Treatment Plant Operator II	8	103%	95%	90%
Public Works / Building Services Departme	nt Average	99%	94%	90%
Sustainable D	evelopment De	epartment (7)		
Building Inspector	10	80%	82%	85%
Chief Building Inspector	10	87%	85%	86%
Economic And Business Development Manager	10	106%	106%	106%
Electrical Inspector	8	87%	83%	80%
Plumbing Inspector	9	88%	83%	80%
Senior Code Compliance Officer	10	88%	80%	75%
Structural Plans Examiner	8	89%	83%	80%
Sustainable Development Department Aver	age	90%	87%	86%
Transportation		epartment (5)		
Airport Operations Aide	3	100%	88%	81%
Parking Enforcement Shift Coordinator	3	114%	106%	99%

	City of Fort Lauderdale Pay Ranges as a Percent of the 75 th Percentile			
Benchmark Title	# of Matches	Pay Range Minimum	Pay Range Midpoint	Pay Range Maximum
Parking Enforcement Specialist	5	95%	85%	80%
Parking Meter Technician	5	107%	100%	95%
Parking Operations Supervisor	5	120%	118%	117%
Transportation and Mobility Department Aver	108%	100%	94%	
Overall	100%	96%	93%	

Figures shown in **red** are below market (less than 95% of the market average) Figures shown in **black** within the market range (95% to 105% of the market average) Figures shown in **blue** are above market (more than 105% of the market average)



CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 26 of 91

Pay Schedule Design & Increases

Tables 12 through **49** detail characteristics of peer employer pay plans for general employee positions including:

- > Pay Schedule Design
- > Pay Progression
- > Pay Increase Amounts
- > Type of Base Pay Increases
- > Pay Progression and Pay Increase Policies

Questions to which a comparable employer provided no response display an 'NR' in the associated field, and questions where an answer was not applicable display an 'NA' in the associated field.



Survey Question: What type of pay schedule design(s) covers employees?

TABLE 12 PAY SCHEDULE DESIGN – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Grade and Step	Open Ranges	Flat Rates	Other
City of Delray Beach	No	Yes	No	No
City of Hollywood	No	Yes	No	No
City of Miami	Yes	Yes	No	No
City of Miami Beach	No	Yes	No	No
City of Miramar	No	Yes	No	No
City of Pompano Beach	No	Yes	No	No
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	Yes	No	No
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	Yes	No	No
Miami-Dade College	NR	Yes	NR	No
Palm Beach County School District	No	Yes	No	No
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	Yes	No	No
Toho Water Authority	Yes	No	No	No
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	No	No	No

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable



Survey Question: What determines how employees progress though the pay range (that is, moves from the minimum to the maximum of the range)?

TABLE 13 PAY PROGRESSION – GENERAL EMPLOYEES							
Peer Employer	Steps (Time in Position)	Individual Performance	Other				
City of Delray Beach	No	Yes	NA				
City of Hollywood	No	Yes	No				
City of Miami	Yes	Yes	No				
City of Miami Beach	No	Yes	NA				
City of Miramar	No	Yes	No				
City of Pompano Beach	No	Yes	No				
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	No	Yes – General Increase				
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	Yes	NA				
Miami-Dade College	Yes	NR	NA				
Palm Beach County School District	No	No	Yes				
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	Yes	Yes				
Toho Water Authority	Yes	No	NA				
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Yes	NA				

NR = No Response



Survey Question: What type(s) / amount of base pay increases were applied in FY 2017 for employees? If other, please explain.

Peer Employer	COLA	Amount (\$ or %)	Merit/ Performance	Amount (\$ or %)	Other	Amount (\$ or %)	If other, please explain:
City of Delray Beach	No	NA	Yes	0.0% - 5.0%	NA	NA	NA
City of Hollywood	Yes	2.5%	Yes	1.5%	No	NA	NA
City of Miami	No	NA	Yes	5.0%	Yes	5.0%	Contract Step Increases
City of Miami Beach	Yes	3.0%	Yes	TBD	NA	NA	Merit/Performance percentage is pending Commission approval
City of Miramar	Yes	2.5%	No	NA	No	NA	NA
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	3.0%	Yes	1.0% - 3.0%	No	NA	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	NA	No	NA	Yes	3.5%	General Increase
Miami Executive Airport			Pe	ending Labor	Agreemen	t Negotiations	
Miami-Dade College	No	NA	No	NA	Yes	2.0%	As approved by the Board of Trustees, for FY 2016/2017
Palm Beach County School District	No	NA	Yes	See Comment	Yes	See Comment	PBCSD has 6 bargaining units and 6 non-bargaining units. Union negotiations determine increases.
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA	No	NA	Yes	3.0%	Across the board increase
Toho Water Authority	Yes	1.5%	Yes	2.5%	NA	NA	NA
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	0.5%	Yes	1.5% - 3.0%	NA	NA	NA

TABLE 14FY 2017 TYPE OF BASE PAY INCREASES – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable

Survey Questions:

(A) Do adjustments to the pay schedule automatically result in increases to employees' base pay?

(B) Do you have a minimum guaranteed pay increase for promotions?

(C) Do you have a policy on hiring practices? If yes, please provide any relevant detail.

TABLE 15PAY PROGRESSION AND PAY INCREASE POLICIES – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Question A	Question B	Question C	If Yes, please detail any relevant information.
City of Delray Beach	No	Yes	Yes	NR
City of Hollywood	Yes	Yes	Yes	Minimum of 5% for promotion
City of Miami	Yes	Yes	Yes	NR
City of Miami Beach	No	Yes	Yes	NR
City of Miramar	Yes	No	Yes	Varies by department, HR has a blanket policy on hiring polices
City of Pompano Beach	No	Yes	Yes	All newly appointed employees should be appointed at the minimum entrance salary. A department head may hire above salary with the City Manager's approval. An employee who is promoted salary will be increased to the minimum of the new pay grade or 15% of their base pay, whichever is the greater.
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	Yes	Yes	NR
Miami Executive Airport	No	Yes	Yes	Minimum guaranteed pay increase is approximately 5% or to the minimum of the pay grade, greater of amount.
Miami-Dade College	NR	NR	NR	NR
Palm Beach County School District	No	Yes	Yes	Previous identical experience may apply for purposes of initial salary appointment, depending on the bargaining or non-bargaining unit of assignment.
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	No	Yes	It is the policy of SFRTA to be an Equal Opportunity employer.
Toho Water Authority	Yes	Yes	Yes	 Question B: Yes – A promotion to a higher salary grade is 7% or the minimum of the new pay grade. A promotion from non-supervisor to a supervisor position is 10%. Question C: Yes – We have a policy on recruitment and appointment, transfers, promotions and demotions, and pay for performance.
City of Fort Lauderdale	No	Yes	Yes	For exam positions: Must be a job posting (Teamsters requires a two week post), examination process that will make up half of the candidates' overall score. Candidates must be ranked and placed on an eligibility list and the top 5 scores are sent to the hiring department for interviews and selection. Non-exam positions: Must be a job posting and the overall score is based on the interview panel.

Survey Question:

(D) Do you have a minimum guaranteed pay increases for reclassification to a higher grade?

Peer Employer	Question D	If Yes, please describe below.
City of Delray Beach	Yes	4.0% per grade
City of Hollywood	Yes	Minimum of 5.0% for reclassification
City of Miami	Yes	Minimum 10.0% increase
City of Miami Beach	Yes	5.0%
City of Miramar	No	NA
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	5.0%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	6.0%
Miami Executive Airport	No	NA
Miami-Dade College	Yes	NR
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	5.0%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	Employees transferred to a job in a higher salary range will be paid at least the minimum of the new range and no greater than 10.0% above the minimum range.
Toho Water Authority	Yes	A promotion to a higher salary grade is 7.0% or the minimum of the new pay grade. A promotion from non-supervisory to supervisor is 10.0%.
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	5.0% increase or the minimum of the pay grade, whichever is higher.

TABLE 16 PAY PROGRESSION AND PAY INCREASE POLICIES CONTINUED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable



Peer Employer	Evening Shift	Amount (\$ or %)	Overnight Shift Differential	Amount (\$ or %)	Weekend Differential	Amount (\$ or %)
City of Delray Beach	Yes	NR	Yes	NR	No	NA
City of Hollywood	Yes	\$0.60/hr	Yes	\$1.00/hr	No	NA
City of Miami	No	NA	Yes	\$0.60/hr	No	NA
City of Miami Beach	Yes	Varies	Yes	Varies	No	NA
City of Miramar	Yes	NR	Yes	NR	No	NA
City of Pompano Beach	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	\$0.60/hr	Yes	\$0.85/hr	No	NA
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	5.0%	Yes	5.0%	No	NA
Miami-Dade College	Yes	5.0%	Yes	10.0%	No	NA
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	\$0.25/hr	No	NA	No	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA	Yes	\$1.00/hr	No	NA
Toho Water Authority	Yes	1.5x for Non- Exempt Employees	Yes	1.5x for Non- Exempt Employees	No	NA
City of Fort Lauderdale	No	NA	Yes	2.5% or 5.0% above pay range depending on the position.	Yes	2.5% or 5.0% above pay range depending on the position.

TABLE 17A PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable

PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES						
Peer Employer	On-Call or Stand- By Pay	Amount (\$ or %)	Call-Back Pay	Amount (\$ or %)		
City of Delray Beach	Yes	NR	Yes	NR		
City of Hollywood	No	NA	Yes	NR		
City of Miami	Yes	Receive a 7.5% pay supplement added to their base rate of pay should they be continually assigned to on-call rotation.	Yes	If recalled to duty during off-duty hours, receive a minimum of three (3) hours plus one (1) hour travel time, paid at the overtime rate		
City of Miami Beach	Yes	2 Hours	Yes	4 Hours Minimum		
City of Miramar	No	NA	Yes	NR		
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	Additional \$1.00/hr	Yes	\$100 monthly only for Zoning Techs		
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	2/10 of one hour	Yes	4 Hrs Min		
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	Amount dependent on employee's individual pay rate	Yes	Min. 4 Hrs Pay		
Miami-Dade College	No	NA	No	NA		
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	NR	No	NA		
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA	No	NA		
Toho Water Authority	Yes	Paid overtime for the actual hours called out and a flat \$140 for serving standby duty	Yes	Minimum 2 hrs. and a minimum of 15 minutes of work for responses from a remote location, at the applicable rate of pay		
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Non-duty day employee receives 2 hr straight time, on duty day is 1 hr	Yes	Call back pay is time and one-half with a minimum of 3 hours		

TABLE 17B PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response

PAT SUFFLEMENTS OFFERED - GENERAL EMPLOTEES						
Peer Employer	Holiday Pay	Amount (\$ or %)				
City of Delray Beach	Yes	NR				
City of Hollywood	Yes	NR				
City of Miami	Yes	1.5x the Hourly Rate				
City of Miami Beach	Yes	NR				
City of Miramar	Yes	NR				
City of Pompano Beach	No	NA				
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando	Yes	Holiday + Regular				
Executive Airport	105					
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	One day of pay, amount dependent on employee's individual pay rate				
Miami-Dade College	No	NA				
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	NR				
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	8/4 hr FT/PT				
Toho Water Authority	NR	NR				
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Employees not scheduled receive 8 hours pay at straight time. If scheduled on a holiday, employee receive holiday compensatory time off calculated at 1-1/2 times the hours worked				

TABLE 17C PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response



Peer Employer	Bi-Lingual Pay	Amount (\$ or %)	Special Skills	Amount (\$ or %)	Sign-On Bonus	Amount (\$ or %)
City of Delray Beach	Yes	NR	No	NA	No	NA
City of Hollywood	No	NA	NR	NR	No	NA
City of Miami	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
City of Miami Beach	No	NR	Yes	NR	No	NR
City of Miramar	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
City of Pompano Beach	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Miami Executive Airport	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Miami-Dade College	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Palm Beach County School District	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Toho Water Authority	No	NA	NR	NR	No	NA
City of Fort Lauderdale	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA

TABLE 17D PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response



Survey Question: What types of pay supplements are offered to any employees (e.g. \$0.10/hour for trades workers)? If you do not offer one or more of the following pay supplements, enter "Not Applicable."

TABLE 17E PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED- GENERAL EMPLOYEES Referral Amount Profess Amount Educational Amount

Peer Employer	Referral Bonus	Amount (\$ or %)	Profess. Edu. Diff.	Amount (\$ or %)	Educational Stipend	Amount (\$ or %)
City of Delray Beach	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
City of Hollywood	No	NA	No	NA	NR	NR
City of Miami	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
City of Miami Beach	No	NR	NR	NR	Yes	UNDERGRADUATE COURSES: 80% for courses where employee earns an A 60% for courses where employee earns a B 40% for courses where employee earns a C <u>GRADUATE COURSES:</u> 80% for courses where employee earns an A 60% for courses where employee earns a B
City of Miramar	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
City of Pompano Beach	No	NA	Yes	Only for Zoning Technician	No	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Miami Executive Airport	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Miami-Dade College	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Palm Beach County School District	No	NA	Yes	NR	No	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA	No	NA	No	NA
Toho Water Authority	No	NA	No	NA	Yes	\$4,690 per fiscal year, reimbursement of courses associated with attaining a degree in a field related to the employee's role at TWA
City of Fort Lauderdale	No	NA	No	NA	Yes	Differs based on bargaining group and classification

NR = No Response, NA = Not Applicable

8782033v1/14397.004

★ Segal Waters Consulting 31

Survey Question: What types of pay supplements are offered to any employees (e.g. \$0.10/hour for trades workers)? If you do not offer one or more of the following pay supplements, enter "Not Applicable."

Peer Employer	Other	Amount (\$ or %)	Comments
City of Delray Beach	NR	NR	NR
City of Hollywood	NR	NR	NR
			•Emergency Dispatchers who are actively assigned the duty of training new Emergency Dispatchers shall be entitled to receive a five percent (5%) per pay period pay supplement for the actual full pay period they are assigned in a training capacity by their supervisor.
City of Miami	Yes	Yes See Comment	•All Police Emergency Dispatchers, Emergency Dispatcher Supervisors, and Police and Emergency Dispatch Assistants assigned to Police Communications shall receive a five percent (5%) pay supplement if the employee holds and maintains the Quality Assurance Proficiency (QAP) rating in accordance with Police Standards after a period of three (3) months.
			Comment
			•All fleet employees and supervisors in the Department of General Services Administration who obtain Automotive Service Excellence (ASE) certification, shall receive a one percent (1%) pay supplement for every two (2) licenses obtained and maintained, up to a maximum of five percent (5%) for holding a minimum of ten (10) approved licenses.
City of Miami Beach	NR	NR	NR
City of Miramar	No	NA	NR
City of Pompano Beach	No	NA	NR
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	NA	NR

TABLE 17F1 PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED- GENERAL EMPLOYEES

TABLE 17F2PAY SUPPLEMENTS OFFERED- GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Other	Amount (\$ or %)	Comments
Miami Executive Airport	No	NA	Lead worker is a pay supplement provided to employees that are determined to be performing extraordinary duties within their classification, such as providing direction, issuing work assignments to other employees within their same classification, performing added duties outside their classification, etc. For employees in the Purchasing or Contracting Profession there is a certification available to employees in the purchasing & contract procurement area such as Certified Public Procurement Officer (CPPO); the supplement is for attaining the certification.
Miami-Dade College	Yes	3%	Lead workers are provided a pay supplement of 3% of their base pay.
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	NR	NR
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA	Pay differential of \$1.00 per hour for hours between 10 pm and 6 am. Holiday pay is paid at rate of 8 hours for full time employees and 4 hours for part time employees.
Toho Water Authority	Yes	7%	Field Services has a Skill Based Pay program that incentivizes progression of skills and knowledge. The employee's job title advances and their pay grade increases by meeting the requirements.
City of Fort Lauderdale	No	NA	NR

NR = No Response

NA = Not Applicable



- (A) Do you offer longevity pay to any employees?
- (B) If Yes, which employee groups receive longevity pay?

Peer Employer	Offered?	Employee Groups which Receive Longevity Pay
City of Delray Beach	No	NA
City of Hollywood	Yes	General, Professional, Supervisory, and Non-Represented
City of Miami	No	NA
City of Miami Beach	No	NA
City of Miramar	Yes	Employees with 15 Years of Full-time Service or More
City of Pompano Beach	No	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	All Employee Groups
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	All Employee Groups
Miami-Dade College	No	NA
Palm Beach County School District	No	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	NA
Toho Water Authority	Yes	All Full-time and Permanent Employees
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Employees hired before 10/1/2004

TABLE 18 LONGEVITY PAY - GENERAL EMPLOYEES





Survey Question: How much longevity pay do general employees receive for each year of service?

TABLE 19A AMOUNT OF LONGEVITY PAY BY YEARS OF SERVICE – GENERAL EMPLOYEES							
Peer Employer	1 < 5 Years	5 < 10 Years	10 < 15 Years	15 < 20 Years	20 < 25 Years	25 < 30 Years	30+ Years
		Anr	ual Amount (\$/ho	our or % of pay)		1	
City of Delray Beach	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Hollywood	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	3.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
City of Miami	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miami Beach	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miramar	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
City of Pompano Beach	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$0.00	\$425.00	\$825.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00
Miami Executive Airport	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15 Yrs – 1.5% 16 Yrs – 1.6% 17 Yrs – 1.7% 18 Yrs – 1.8% 19 Yrs – 1.9%	20 Yrs – 2.0% 21 Yrs – 2.1% 22 Yrs – 2.2% 23 Yrs – 2.3% 24 Yrs – 2.4%	25 Yrs – 2.5% 26 Yrs – 2.6% 27 Yrs – 2.7% 28 Yrs – 2.8% 29 Yrs – 2.9%	30 Yr Cap at 3.0%
Miami-Dade College	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Palm Beach County School District	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Toho Water Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300.00 - \$450.00	\$450.00 - \$600.00	\$600.00 - \$750.00	\$750.00 - \$900.00	\$900.00 - \$1,020.00
City of Fort Lauderdale	NA	2.5%	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%	12.5%	12.5%

Survey Question: How much longevity pay do management employees receive for each year of service?

TABLE 19B AMOUNT OF LONGEVITY PAY BY YEARS OF SERVICE – MANAGEMENT – GENERAL EMPLOYEES							
Peer Employer	1 < 5 Years	5 < 10 Years	10 < 15 Years	15 < 20 Years	20 < 25 Years	25 < 30 Years	30+ Years
		Annual	Amount (\$/hour	or % of pay)	1		
City of Delray Beach	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Hollywood	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	3.0%	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%
City of Miami	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miami Beach	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miramar	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
City of Pompano Beach	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$0.00	\$425.00	\$825.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00
Miami Executive Airport	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15 Yrs – 1.5% 16 Yrs – 1.6% 17 Yrs – 1.7% 18 Yrs – 1.8% 19 Yrs – 1.9%	20 Yrs – 2.0% 21 Yrs – 2.1% 22 Yrs – 2.2% 23 Yrs – 2.3% 24 Yrs – 2.4%	25 Yrs – 2.5% 26 Yrs – 2.6% 27 Yrs – 2.7% 28 Yrs – 2.8% 29 Yrs – 2.9%	30 Yr Cap at 3.0%
Miami-Dade College	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Palm Beach County School District	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Toho Water Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$300.00 - \$450.00	\$450.00 - \$600.00	\$600.00 - \$750.00	\$750.00 - \$900.00	\$900.00 - \$1,020.00
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$0.00	Starting at 5	years of service	employees will	earn \$264 for eac	ch year of continu	ious service

Survey Questions: What is the maximum amount of longevity pay an employee can receive? Is longevity pay included in pension calculations?

TABLE 20
MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF LONGEVITY PAY – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Maximum Amount	Included in Pension Benefit Calculations?	Use this space below for additional comments regarding longevity pay.
City of Delray Beach	NA	NA	NA
City of Hollywood	Capped at 2.0% of Pay at 20 years of Service	Yes	NR
City of Miami	NA	NA	NA
City of Miami Beach	NA	NA	NA
City of Miramar	NR	NR	NR
City of Pompano Beach	NA	NA	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Capped at \$1,600.00	No	The years are slightly off compared to Greater Orlando Aviation Authority
Miami Executive Airport	Capped at 3.0% of Pay	NR	NR
Miami-Dade College	No	NA	NA
Palm Beach County School District	NA	NA	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	NA	NA	NA
Toho Water Authority	Capped at \$1,020.00	Yes	NR
City of Fort Lauderdale	12.5%	NR	 Annual dollar amount for Longevity pay differs based on bargaining group. Annual rates listed for general employees are from Teamsters bargaining union. Employees must be hired prior to April 10, 1983 and must have served continuous for 5 years. For Federation employees: must be employed on or before Feb. 28, 1987. For management: must be employed on or before March 1, 1987 and 5 years of continuous serves.



(A) Do you offer performance-based rewards?

(B) If yes, what types of performance-based rewards do you offer to any employees?

Peer Employer	Offered?	Organization Wide Pay Increases	Organization Wide Lump Sum Bonuses	Department/ Team Pay Increases	Department/ Team Lump Sum Bonuses	Individual Pay Increases	Individual Lump Sum Bonuses
City of Delray Beach	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
City of Hollywood	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miami	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miami Beach	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Miramar	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Miami-Dade College	No	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
Toho Water Authority	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No

TABLE 21 PERFORMANCE-BASED REWARDS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable

★ Segal Waters Consulting 38

Survey Question: Which employee groups are eligible for any performance-based rewards?

PERFORMANCE-BASED REWARDS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES					
Peer Employer	Eligible Employee Groups				
City of Delray Beach	All				
City of Hollywood	Only general employees currently, management just received a COLA				
City of Miami	NA				
City of Miami Beach	NA				
City of Miramar	NR				
City of Pompano Beach	Full-time employees only				
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	NA				
Miami Executive Airport	All non-bargaining unit employees.				
Miami-Dade College	NA				
Palm Beach County School District	Teachers, Principals, Assistant Principals				
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	NR				
Toho Water Authority	All permanent full-time and part time employees				
City of Fort Lauderdale	NR				

TABLE 22



Survey Question: Do you reimburse employees for expense associated with education, such as getting a college degree, continuing education, or certifications?

		SUPPLEMENIALF	AY - IUIION ASSIS	SUPPLEMENTAL PAY - TUTTON ASSISTANCE - GENERAL EMPLOYEES	
Peer Employer	Offered?	Amount (% of base or \$ per hour)	Frequency (Annual or One Time)	Additional Policy Information	Additional Comments
City of Delray Beach	Yes	State Rate	Annual	12 Credits per Year	None
City of Hollywood	Yes	NR	NR	NR	None
City of Miami	Yes	\$4,000 Maximum	Annual	NR	None
City of Miami Beach	Yes	<u>Grade A:</u> 80% reimburse. <u>Grade B:</u> 60% reimburse. <u>Grade C:</u> 40% reimburse.	Limited to 6 credit hours per semester	Tuition assistance shall be based upon and not exceed the established credit hour tuition rate in the State university system at the time of enrollment	None
City of Miramar	Yes	<u>Undergraduate:</u> Up to \$5,000.00 <u>Graduate:</u> Up to \$7,000.00	Annual	NR	None
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	100%	Annual	NR	None
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	\$2,500.00	Annual	Grade "C" or Better Required	None
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	50%	Annual	Grade "C" or Better Required	None
Miami-Dade College	NR	NR	NR	NR	None
Palm Beach County School District	No	AN	NA	NA	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	\$200 / credit hr for undergraduate \$590 / credit hr for graduate	Annual	Annual Max \$7,000	<pre>\$200 credit hour for undergraduate. \$590 credit hour for graduate. Annual max \$7,000 Grade of "B" or higher = 100% reimbursement. Grade of "C" = 75% reimbursement Less than a "C" = 0% reimbursement</pre>
Toho Water Authority	Yes	\$4,690.00	Annual	NR	None
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	% Based on Grade	Annual	% of tuition cost up to a max of 24 sem hrs annually	Grade A = 100%; Grade B = 75%; Grade C = 50%, D or below = None

TABLE 23

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 46 of 91

Survey Question: Do you have a traditional leave or paid time off (PTO) program?

PTO PROGRAM OFFERING – GENERAL EMPLOYEES					
Peer Employer	Type of Program				
City of Delray Beach	Traditional Leave				
City of Hollywood	Traditional Leave				
City of Miami	Paid Time Off (PTO)				
City of Miami Beach	Traditional Leave				
City of Miramar	NR				
City of Pompano Beach	Traditional Leave				
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Traditional Leave				
Miami Executive Airport	Paid Time Off (PTO)				
Miami-Dade College	Traditional Leave				
Palm Beach County School District	Traditional Leave				
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Traditional Leave				
Toho Water Authority	Traditional Leave				
City of Fort Lauderdale	Traditional Leave				

TABLE 24



Survey Question: How many Vacation OR Paid Time Off (PTO) leave days do full-time employees accrue in a year? If you have PTO days instead of vacation days, enter the number of PTO days.

TABLE 25A	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	VACATION / PAID TIME OFF DAYS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES
-----------	---------------------	---

	0-1	-	7	S	4	5	9	7														22	23	24	25+
Employer	YOS Y	YOS Y	YOS Y	YOS YO	YOS YO	YOS YC	YOS YC	YOS YOS	soy s	soy s	soy sos	soy sos	SOY (YOS	YOS	YOS	YOS								
City of Delray Beach	0	12	12	12	14	14	14	14														18	18	18	18
City of Hollywood	0	10	10	10	6	10	10	10														25	25	25	25
City of Miami (PTO) ¹¹	0	12	12	12	12	12	14	14														22	22	22	53
City of Miami Beach	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12														22	22	22	52
City of Miramar	10	10	10	10	15	15	15	15														25	25	25	25
City of Pompano Beach	0	10	10	10	10	10	15	15														20	20	20	20
Greater Orlando Aviation																									;
Authority / Orlando	10	10	10	10	10	12	12	12	12	12	15	15	15	15	5 	15 15	15	15	15	20	20	20	20	20	25
EXECUTIVE AIRPORT																									
Miami Executive Airport (PTO) ¹¹	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10 1	10 1	10 1	0	10 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Miami-Dade College	12	12	12	12	12	12	15	15	15	15	15	18 1	18 1	18 1	8	18 18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Palm Beach County School District	0	12	12	12	12	12	15	15	15	15	15	18	18	18	8	18 18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	10	10	10	10	10	15	15	15	15	15	20	20 2	20 2	20 20		20 20	20	20		20	20	20	20	20	20
Toho Water Authority	10	10	10	10	10	12	12	12	12													20	20	20	20
Market Average	9	11	11	11	11	12	13	13	14	14	16	17 1	17 1	17 17		17 18	3 18	18	18	20	20	20	20	20	20
City of Fort Lauderdale	15	15	15	15	15	16	17	18	19													25	25	25	25
Difference between Fort																									
Lauderdale and the	6	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	9	5	2 Q	9	7		8	<u> </u>	~	^	N	2	2	S	ŝ	S
Market Average										_	_			_					_						

¹¹ The City of Miami and Miami Executive Airport offer a paid time off (PTO) program while all other comparable surveyed offer Traditional Leave.

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 48 of 91

Survey Question: How many Vacation OR Paid Time Off (PTO) leave days do part-time employees accrue in a year? If you have PTO days instead of vacation days, enter the number of PTO days.

TABLE 25B PART-TIME EMPLOYEES VACATION / PAID TIME OFF DAYS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

						-		2 / NO			- האח			VACATION / PAID TIME OFF DATS OFFERED - GENERAL EMPLOTEES			0									
Employer	0-1 YOS	1 YOS	2 YOS	3 YOS	4 YOS	5 YOS	6 YOS	7 YOS	8 YOS	9 YOS	10 YOS	11 YOS	12 YOS	13 YOS	14 YOS	15 YOS Y	16 YOS	17 YOS Y	18 YOS Y	19 YOS Y	20 YOS Y	21 YOS	YOS	23 YOS	24 YOS	25+ YOS
City of Delray Beach									Part-	Time En	nployees	Do Not /	lccrue Va	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days	aid Tim∉	∋ (PTO) Li	save Da	Ş								
City of Hollywood	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	10	10	10	10	10	13	13	13	13	13	13
City of Miami									Part-	Time En	nployees	Do Not /	lccrue Vá	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid T	aid Tim∉	ime (PTO) Leave Days	save Da	S,								
City of Miami Beach	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	6	6	6	o	6	6	6	6	6	6	22	22	22	22	22	22
City of Miramar									Part-	Time En	nployees	Do Not /	lccrue Va	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days	aid Tim	e (PTO) لا	save Da	S,								
City of Pompano Beach									Part-	Time En	nployees	Do Not /	lccrue Vá	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days	aid Tim∉	∋ (PTO) Li	save Da	S,								
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	5	5	5	2	5	9	9	Q	Q	Q	ω	ø	ω	ω	æ	80	æ	8		8	10	10	10	10	10	13
Miami Executive Airport									Part-	Time En	nployees	Do Not /	Accrue Va	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days	aid Tim	e (PTO) Li	save Da	S,								
Miami-Dade College									Part-	-Time En	nployees	Do Not /	Accrue Va	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days	aid Tim	e (PTO) لا	save Da	Ś								
Palm Beach County School District	0	12	12	12	12	12	15	15	15	15	15	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	5	Q	Q	Q	Q	ø	œ	ω	ω	ω	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Toho Water Authority	5	5	5	5	5	9	9	9	9	9	ω	ø	ω	ω	ω	6	6	6	6	6	10	10	10	10	10	10
Market Average	2	3	3	3	S	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	7	7
City of Fort Lauderdale									Part-T	ime Em	ployees	Do Not A	ccrue Va	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid		Time (PTO) Leave Days	Leave D	ays								
Difference between Fort Lauderdale and the Market Average	ņ	ņ	ņ	ņ	ņ	4-	4	4-	4-	4-	Ņ	ų	ų	ų	ې	ų	٩	ų	ų	νγ	<u>۲</u>	<u>۲</u>	<u>۲</u>	<u>۲</u>	۴.	N,

Survey Question: How many of the following paid leave days are employees allowed each year? Please provide any relevant notes or comments on other paid leave days.

	PAID LEA	VE DAYS -	PAID LEAVE DAYS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES	OYEES		
	Ð	FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES	LOYEES	PA	PART-TIME EMPLOYEES	LOYEES
Employer	Sick Leave	Holidays	Personal/Floating	Sick Leave	Holidays	Personal/Floating
City of Delray Beach	12	11	-	0	0	0
City of Hollywood	12	ω	0	9	5	0
City of Miami	12	11	0	0	0	0
City of Miami Beach	0	11	с	0	11	ო
City of Miramar	15	10	2	0	0	0
City of Pompano Beach	12	12	2	0	0	0
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority /	4.2	σ	~	Ľ	σ	~
Orlando Executive Airport	2	>	1	>	>	1
Miami Executive Airport	12	13	2	0	0	0
Miami-Dade College	12	6	4	0	0	0
Palm Beach County School District	12	9	9	12	9	9
South Florida Regional Transportation	10	Ç	c	Ľ	07	~
Authority	7	2	J	þ	2	7
Toho Water Authority	12	11	4	6	11	0
City of Fort Lauderdale	12	6	0	0	0	0
NR = No Response						

TABLE 26A LEAVE DAYS – GENERAL EMPLOY

> NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 50 of 91 ★ Segal Waters Consulting 44


Survey Question: Please provide any relevant notes or comments on other paid leave days.

TABLE 26BPAID LEAVE DAYS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Notes
City of Delray Beach	None
City of Hollywood	Sick accruals are the same as vacation accruals either 50% or 75% based on hours worked. Holidays are 8 for employees working 30 + hours or 5 for employees working 15 - 29 hours.
City of Miami	None
City of Miami Beach	None
City of Miramar	None
City of Pompano Beach	None
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	None
Miami Executive Airport	None
Miami-Dade College	Twelve days per year are earned. Personal days are taken from sick leave. No limit on sick leave; use when needed. Sick leave at retirement is based on a percentage; an employee must have at least 10 years of service at which point eligible for 50%. For each year above 10 years, 1.5% is added to a maximum of 100% of the average final compensation for the 3 highest years salary.
Palm Beach County School District	None
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Sick leave accrual is 3.69 hours per pay period for full time and 1.845 hours per pay period for part time.
Toho Water Authority	None
City of Fort Lauderdale	None

NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable

★ Segal Waters Consulting 45



The table below details the total paid time off days allotted to full-time employees encompassing vacation days / paid time off (PTO) days, sick leave, holidays, and personal/floating days.

TABLE 27A FULL-TIME EMPLOYEES TOTAL VACATION / PAID TIME OFF AND PAID LEAVE DAYS ACCRUED / ALLOTTED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

21 22 23 24 2	YOS YOS YOS	42 42 42	45 45 45	45 45 45	36 36 36	52 52	46 46 46	44 44		37 37	43 43 43 43 43 43	42 42 42	44 44 44 44 44	47 47 47	44 44 44 44 44 44	46 46 46 46 46	- C C C
19 20								39 44			43 43		44 44		42 44	46 46	C 4
17 18											43 43		44 44		42 42		•
16	-							60 90			43	42 4	44		42	46 4	4
14 15						47 47					43 43		44 44		41 41	46 46	5
12 13	-										43 43		44 44			44 45	4 5
	-							30 30			43		44			43	ლ ო
9 10	-					42 47					40 40		39 44			41 42	ی م
œ	-										40		39			40	~
	-					42 42					40 40		39 39			38 39	1
	-					2 42					7 37		4 39		5 36		
	-					37 42		34 34			37 37		34 34		35 35	36 36	1
1 2	YOS YOS	36 36	30 30	35 35	26 26	37 37	36 36	34 34		37 37	37 37	36 36	34 34	37 37	35 35	36 36	1
	YOS YO	24 3	20 3	23 3	26 2	37 3	26 3	34 3		37 3	37 3	24 3	34 3	37 3	30	36 3	9
Employer	гшрюуег	City of Delray Beach	City of Hollywood	City of Miami	City of Miami Beach	City of Miramar	City of Pompano Beach	Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando	Executive Airport	Miami Executive Airport	Miami-Dade College	Palm Beach County School District	South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Toho Water Authority	Market Average	City of Fort Lauderdale	Difference between Fort Lauderdale and the

The table below details the total paid time off days allotted to part-time employees encompassing vacation days/ paid time off (PTO) days, sick leave, holidays, and personal/floating days.

TABLE 27B PART-TIME EMPLOYEES

				TOTAL	VACA	TION /	TOTAL VACATION / PAID TIME OFF AND PAID LEAVE DAYS ACCRUED / ALLO	ME OF	F AND	PAID L	EAVE	DAYS	ACCRU	ED / AI	LTOTT	ED - G	ENER		TTED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES	Ś						
Employer	0-1 YOS	1 YOS	2 YOS	3 YOS	4 YOS	5 YOS	6 YOS	7 YOS	8 YOS	9 YOS	10 YOS	11 YOS	12 YOS	13 YOS	14 YOS	15 YOS	16 YOS	17 YOS	18 YOS	19 YOS	20 YOS	21 YOS	22 YOS	23 YOS	24 YOS	25+ YOS
City of Delray Beach		-			ď	art-Time	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or	oN oQ se	t Accrue	Vacation	/ Paid T	ime (PTC)) Leave	Days or	Offered :	Sick Lea	/e, Holida	ays, and/	Offered Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	nal/Floati	ing Days	-	-	-	-	
City of Hollywood	5	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	18	18	20	20	20	20	20	21	21	21	21	21	24	24	24	24	24	24
City of Miami					ď	art-Time	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or Offe	oN oQ se	t Accrue	Vacation	/ Paid T	ime (PT()) Leave	Days or	Offered	Sick Lea	/e, Holidi	ays, and/	red Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	nal/Floati	ing Days					
City of Miami Beach	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	36	36	36	36	36	36
City of Miramar					ע	art-Time	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or Offered Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	oN oΩ s∈	t Accrue	Vacation	/ Paid T	ime (PTC)) Leave	Days or	Offered :	Sick Lea	/e, Holidi	ays, and/	or Persol	nal/Floati	ing Days					
City of Pompano Beach					ע	art-Time	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or	oN oΩ s∈	t Accrue	Vacation	/ Paid T	ime (PTC)) Leave			Sick Lea	∕e, Holidi	ays, and/	Offered Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	nal/Floati	ing Days					
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	22	22	22	22	22	23	23	23	23	23	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	27	27	27	27	27	30
Miami Executive Airport					ď	art-Time	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or Offe	oN oC se	t Accrue	Vacation	/ Paid T	ime (PTC)) Leave	Days or	Offered	Sick Lea	/e, Holidi	ays, and/	red Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	nal/Floati	ing Days					
Miami-Dade College					ď	art-Time	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or	oN oQ se	t Accrue	Vacation	/ Paid T	ime (PT()) Leave			Sick Lea	/e, Holid	ays, and/	Offered Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	nal/Floati	ing Days					
Palm Beach County School District	24	36	36	36	36	36	39	39	39	39	39	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	23	23	23	23	23	26	26	26	26	26	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
Toho Water Authority	22	22	22	22	22	23	23	23	23	23	25	25	25	25	25	26	26	26	26	26	27	27	27	27	27	27
Market Average	10	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	13	13	13	13	13	14	14	14	14	14	15	15	15	15	15	16
City of Fort Lauderdale					Part-	Time Em	Part-Time Employees Do Not Accrue Vacation / Paid Time (PTO) Leave Days or Offe	Do Not A	ccrue V	acation /	Paid Ti	me (PTO) Leave	Days or	Offered	Sick Lea	ive, Holi	days, an	red Sick Leave, Holidays, and/or Personal/Floating Days	sonal/Fl	oating D	ays				
Difference between Fort Lauderdale and the Market Average	-10	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-13	-13	-13	-13	-13	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-16

(A) Can employees carry-over unused vacation/PTO days?

(B) If "Yes," enter the maximum number of days per year that an employee can "bank" into the space below. If there is no limit, please write "unlimited."

TABLE 28VACATION/ PTO CARRY-OVER POLICIES – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Question A	Question B
City of Delray Beach	Yes	36 Days
City of Hollywood	Yes	Must be used in the following 15 months
City of Miami	Yes	500 Hours / Year
City of Miami Beach	No	NA
City of Miramar	Yes	Same as Accrued
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	40 Days
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	440 Hours / Year 220 Hours / Year
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	500 Hours
Miami-Dade College	Yes	44 Days
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	Rolls over to max 60 days
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	480 Hours
Toho Water Authority	Yes	Up to three times their annual accrual rate
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Varies based on years of service, 5 years max is 240



(A) Can employees cash-out unused vacation/PTO leave days?

(B) If "Yes," enter the maximum number of days an employee can cash out at the events listed below. If there is no limit, please write "unlimited."

г		1		
	Question A		Question B	
Peer Employer	Yes / No	At Year End	At Termination	At Retirement
City of Delray Beach	Yes	0 Days	36 Days	36 Days
City of Hollywood	Yes	0 Days	All	All
City of Miami	Yes	5 Days	All	All
City of Miami Beach	Yes	62.5 Days	77.5 Days	77.5 Days
City of Miramar	No	NA	NA	NA
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	0 Days	20 Days	40 Days
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	NR	NR	NR
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	0 Days	All	All
Miami-Dade College	Yes	0 Days	30 Days	62 Days
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	NR	60 Days	60 Days
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	50% of Days	Unlimited	Unlimited
Toho Water Authority	Yes	Balance over three times their annual accrual rate	NR	NR
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	NR	All Unused	All Unused

TABLE 29 VACATION / PTO CASH-OUT RATES – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

NR = No Response

NA = Not Applicable



(A) Can employees carry-over unused sick leave days?

(B) If "Yes," enter the maximum number of days per year that an employee can "bank" into the space below. If there is no limit, please write "unlimited."

TABLE 30 UNUSED SICK LEAVE CARRY OVER – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Peer Employer	Question A	Question B
City of Delray Beach	Yes	Unlimited
City of Hollywood	Yes	Unlimited
City of Miami	Yes	93.75 Days
City of Miami Beach	Yes	Varies
City of Miramar	Yes	NR
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	Unlimited
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	Unlimited
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	Unlimited
Miami-Dade College	No	NA
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	Unlimited
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	Unlimited
Toho Water Authority	Yes	240 Days
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	816 Days



(A) Can employees cash-out unused sick leave days?(B) If "Yes," enter the maximum number of days an employee can cash out at the events listed below. If there is no limit, please write "unlimited."

UNUSED SICK LEAVE CASH-OUT – GENERAL EMPLOYEES **TABLE 31**

	Question A		Question B	
Peer Employer	Yes / No	At Year End	At Termination	At Retirement
City of Delray Beach	Yes	5	140 Days	140 Days
City of Hollywood	Yes		A Percentage based on years of service at retirement or termination	ment or termination
City of Miami	No	NA	NA	NA
City of Miami Beach	Yes	NA	75 Days	75 Days
City of Miramar	RN	NR	NR	NR
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	None	62.5 Days	125 Days
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	R	NR	One Third the Number Accrued each calendar year
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	None	After 15 years a percentage of sick hours will be paid at termination or retirement.	After 15 years a percentage of sick hours will be paid at termination or retirement.
Miami-Dade College	Yes	None	0 Days	% of Days
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	None	A pro-rated share of the accumulated sick is paid based on service, with 10 YOS equaling 100% pay out.	Unlimited
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	None	Balance Less 10 Days Prorated	Balance Less 10 Days Prorated
Toho Water Authority	Yes	NR	Unused leave above 240 Days	NR
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	NR	28% of unused if in good standing	28% of unused if in good standing
NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable				

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 57 of 91

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 58 of 91

Total Health

The City's total monthly costs for all health-related benefits (medical, prescription drugs, dental, and vision plans) are higher than the survey average, as shown in Tables 32, however the City contributes a smaller percentage in regard to cost sharing arrangements than the survey average, as shown in Table 33.

(AVERAGE OF PPO, HMO, AND HDHP MEDICAL PLAN, RX, DENTAL, AND VISION) TOTAL MONTHLY HEALTH COST **TABLE 32**

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 59 of 91

		Employe	Employer Cost (\$)			Employe	Employee Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$38.73	\$179.99	\$261.73	\$395.27
City of Hollywood	\$653.14	\$2,074.70	\$1,292.42	\$2,074.70	\$75.95	\$232.91	\$156.67	\$232.91
City of Miami	\$638.45	\$1,181.16	\$1,404.59	\$1,819.63	\$121.23	\$230.64	\$262.02	\$371.89
City of Miami Beach	\$522.35	\$1,093.15	\$1,093.15	\$1,344.99	\$362.93	\$758.36	\$758.36	\$986.48
City of Miramar		Healt	Health / Benefits Information Provided was Unable to be Validated	Irmation Prov	iided was Un	able to be Val	idated	
City of Pompano Beach	\$766.29	\$1,333.62	\$1,333.62	\$1,333.62	\$0.00	\$566.98	\$566.98	\$566.98
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$747.05	\$1,161.02	\$1,242.51	\$1,798.54	\$83.87	\$292.28	\$312.34	\$454.90
Miami Executive Airport	\$699.29	\$699.29	\$699.29	\$699.29	\$4.14	\$344.33	\$398.50	\$594.88
Miami-Dade College	\$795.03	\$795.03	\$795.03	\$795.03	\$193.82	\$945.36	\$1,066.72	\$1,328.16
Palm Beach County School District	\$400.00	\$680.00	\$716.50	\$870.50	\$76.18	\$193.36	\$229.48	\$377.18
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	\$656.85	\$1,393.61	\$1,393.61	\$1,393.61	\$70.33	\$248.75	\$239.79	\$271.44
Toho Water Authority	\$651.82	\$1,223.10	\$1,223.10	\$1,223.10	\$6.57	\$411.77	\$405.89	\$411.77
Market Average	\$659.09	\$1,123.12	\$1,083.04	\$1,279.34	\$93.98	\$400.43	\$423.50	\$544.71
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$148.13	\$288.58	\$322.39	\$453.70

TABLE 33TOTAL HEALTH COST SHARING(AVERAGE OF PPO, HMO, AND HDHP MEDICAL PLAN, RX, DENTAL, AND VISION)

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	95%	80%	73%	65%	5%	20%	27%	35%
City of Hollywood	90%	90%	89%	90%	10%	10%	11%	10%
City of Miami	84%	84%	84%	83%	16%	16%	16%	17%
City of Miami Beach	59%	59%	59%	58%	41%	41%	41%	42%
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	rmation Prov	∕ided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach	100%	70%	70%	70%	0%	30%	30%	30%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	90%	80%	80%	80%	10%	20%	20%	20%
Miami Executive Airport	99%	67%	64%	54%	1%	33%	36%	46%
Miami-Dade College	80%	46%	43%	37%	20%	54%	57%	63%
Palm Beach County School District	84%	78%	76%	70%	16%	22%	24%	30%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	90%	85%	85%	84%	10%	15%	15%	16%
Toho Water Authority	99%	75%	75%	75%	1%	25%	25%	25%
Market Average	88%	74%	72%	70%	12%	26%	28%	30%
City of Fort Lauderdale	82%	70%	68%	60%	18%	30%	32%	40%



We collected data regarding most populous medical plan coverage, as well as prescription drug, dental, and vision benefits. Most of the peer employers offer a PPO medical plan. While all peers also offer other health-related benefits, the dental and vision programs are typically provided as a separate benefit election.

Survey Question: Please provide the following information for your most populous HMO, PPO, and HDHP health plan.

Medical

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employe	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach		The	e City of Delray	Beach Does	Not Have a l	PPO Plan Offe	ring	
City of Hollywood	\$612.86	\$2,158.29	\$1,301.55	\$2,158.29	\$119.17	\$184.17	\$162.50	\$184.17
City of Miami	\$638.45	\$1,181.16	\$1,404.59	\$1,819.63	\$87.86	\$162.52	\$193.29	\$250.38
City of Miami Beach	\$534.28	\$1,122.00	\$1,122.00	\$1,311.18	\$534.28	\$1,122.00	\$1,122.00	\$1,311.18
City of Miramar		Health / Benefits Information Provided was Unable to be Validated						
City of Pompano Beach	\$906.30	\$1,506.11	\$1,506.11	\$1,506.11	\$0.00	\$599.82	\$599.82	\$599.82
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport		Greater	Orlando Aviatio	on Authority I	Does Not Hav	/e a PPO Plan	Offering	-
Miami Executive Airport		Mi	ami Executive /	Airport Does	Not Have a F	PPO Plan Offer	ring	
Miami-Dade College	\$766.21	\$766.21	\$766.21	\$766.21	\$348.16	\$1,156.34	\$1,300.25	\$1,610.25
Palm Beach County School District		Palm Be	each County Sc	hool District	Does Not Ha	ve a PPO Plan	Offering	
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	\$642.84	\$1,379.60	\$1,379.60	\$1,379.60	\$50.00	\$180.00	\$180.00	\$180.00
Toho Water Authority		Toho Water Authority Does Not Have a PPO Plan Offering						
Market Average	\$683.49	\$1,352.23	\$1,246.68	\$1,490.17	\$189.91	\$567.48	\$592.98	\$689.30
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$154.37	\$300.54	\$337.08	\$476.40

TABLE 34MOST POPULOUS PPO MEDICAL PLAN MONTHLY COST

TABLE 35 MOST POPULOUS PPO MEDICAL PLAN MONTHLY COST – COST SHARING

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach		The	e City of Delray	Beach Does	Not Have a H	PPO Plan Offe	ring	
City of Hollywood	84%	92%	89%	92%	16%	8%	11%	8%
City of Miami	88%	88%	88%	88%	12%	12%	12%	12%
City of Miami Beach	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
City of Miramar		Health / Benefits Information Provided was Unable to be Validated						
City of Pompano Beach	100%	72%	72%	72%	0%	28%	28%	28%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport		Greater	Orlando Aviatic	on Authority I	Does Not Hav	re a PPO Plan	Offering	
Miami Executive Airport		Mia	ami Executive A	irport Does	Not Have a P	PO Plan Offer	ing	
Miami-Dade College	69%	40%	37%	32%	31%	60%	63%	68%
Palm Beach County School District		Palm Be	ach County Scl	hool District I	Does Not Hav	ve a PPO Plan	Offering	
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	93%	88%	88%	88%	7%	12%	12%	12%
Toho Water Authority		T	oho Water Auth	ority Does N	lot Have a PF	PO Plan Offerir	ng	
Market Average	78%	78% 70% 68% 68% 22% 30% 32% 32%						
City of Fort Lauderdale	76%	63%	61%	53%	24%	37%	39%	47%

TABLE 36MOST POPULOUS HMO MEDICAL PLAN MONTHLY COST

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$0.00	\$166.01	\$207.31	\$351.74
City of Hollywood	\$655.41	\$1,953.11	\$1,245.28	\$1,953.11	\$0.00	\$144.19	\$65.54	\$144.19
City of Miami		City of Miami Does Not Have a HMO Plan Offering						
City of Miami Beach	\$466.54	\$979.74	\$979.74	\$1,249.16	\$147.70	\$310.16	\$310.16	\$532.14
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	ormation Prov	vided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach	\$626.27	\$1,161.12	\$1,161.12	\$1,161.12	\$0.00	\$534.14	\$534.14	\$534.14
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$796.41	\$1,233.44	\$1,325.82	\$1,915.62	\$88.49	\$308.36	\$331.45	\$478.91
Miami Executive Airport	\$668.07	\$668.07	\$668.07	\$668.07	\$0.00	\$305.50	\$359.67	\$511.33
Miami-Dade College	\$766.21	\$766.21	\$766.21	\$766.21	\$0.00	\$554.75	\$653.56	\$866.45
Palm Beach County School District	\$430.00	\$730.00	\$763.00	\$931.00	\$50.00	\$136.00	\$178.00	\$308.00
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	\$642.84	\$1,379.60	\$1,379.60	\$1,379.60	\$50.00	\$180.00	\$180.00	\$180.00
Toho Water Authority	\$625.12	\$1,191.53	\$1,191.53	\$1,191.53	\$0.00	\$340.00	\$340.00	\$340.00
Market Average	\$639.66	\$1,078.25	\$1,020.01	\$1,193.51	\$33.62	\$297.91	\$315.98	\$424.69
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$204.17	\$390.40	\$434.62	\$611.55



TABLE 37 MOST POPULOUS HMO MEDICAL PLAN MONTHLY COST – COST SHARING

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employe	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	100%	81%	78%	67%	0%	19%	22%	33%
City of Hollywood	100%	93%	95%	93%	0%	7%	5%	7%
City of Miami		City of Miami Does Not Have a HMO Plan Offering						
City of Miami Beach	76%	76%	76%	70%	24%	24%	24%	30%
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	ormation Prov	vided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach	100%	68%	68%	68%	0%	32%	32%	32%
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	90%	80%	80%	80%	10%	20%	20%	20%
Miami Executive Airport	100%	69%	65%	57%	0%	31%	35%	43%
Miami-Dade College	100%	58%	54%	47%	0%	42%	46%	53%
Palm Beach County School District	90%	84%	81%	75%	10%	16%	19%	25%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	93%	88%	88%	88%	7%	12%	12%	12%
Toho Water Authority	100%	78%	78%	78%	0%	22%	22%	22%
Market Average	95%	78%	76%	74%	5%	22%	24%	26%
City of Fort Lauderdale	72%	58%	56%	48%	28%	42%	44%	52%



TABLE 38MOST POPULOUS HDHP MEDICAL PLAN MONTHLY COST

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$719.68	\$0.00	\$26.04	\$154.98	\$195.82
City of Hollywood		City of Hollywood Does Not Have a HDHP Plan Offering						
City of Miami		City of Miami Does Not Have a HDHP Plan Offering						
City of Miami Beach		City of Miami Beach Does Not Have a HDHP Plan Offering						
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	ormation Prov	vided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach		City of Pompano Beach Does Not Have a HDHP Plan Offering						
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$671.44	\$1,039.87	\$1,117.77	\$1,615.00	\$74.60	\$259.97	\$279.44	\$408.75
Miami Executive Airport		Mia	mi Executive A	irport Does N	lot Have a HL	OHP Plan Offe	ring	
Miami-Dade College		М	iami-Dade Colle	ege Does No	t Have a HDI	HP Plan Offerii	ng	
Palm Beach County School District	\$370.00	\$630.00	\$670.00	\$810.00	\$60.00	\$156.00	\$198.00	\$332.00
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	S	outh Florida R	egional Transp	ortation Auth	ority Does No	ot Have a HDH	IP Plan Offering	1
Toho Water Authority		То	ho Water Autho	ority Does No	ot Have a HD	HP Plan Offeri	ing	
Market Average	\$587.04	\$587.04 \$796.52 \$835.82 \$1,048.23 \$44.87 \$147.34 \$210.81 \$312.15						\$312.19
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$675.00	\$154.37	\$300.54	\$337.08	\$476.40

١

TABLE 39MOST POPULOUS HDHP MEDICAL PLAN MONTHLY COST – COST SHARING

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	100%	97%	82%	79%	0%	3%	18%	21%
City of Hollywood			City of Hollywoo	od Does Not	Have a HDHI	P Plan Offering	9	
City of Miami		City of Miami Does Not Have a HDHP Plan Offering						
City of Miami Beach		City of Miami Beach Does Not Have a HDHP Plan Offering						
City of Miramar		Health / Benefits Information Provided was Unable to be Validated						
City of Pompano Beach		City	of Pompano B	each Does N	lot Have a Hl	DHP Plan Offe	ring	
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	90%	80%	80%	80%	10%	20%	20%	20%
Miami Executive Airport		Mia	mi Executive A	irport Does N	lot Have a Hl	DHP Plan Offe	ring	
Miami-Dade College		M	iami-Dade Colle	ege Does No	t Have a HDI	HP Plan Offerii	ng	
Palm Beach County School District	86%	80%	77%	71%	14%	20%	23%	29%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	S	outh Florida R	egional Transp	ortation Auth	ority Does No	ot Have a HDH	IP Plan Offering	1
Toho Water Authority		To	ho Water Autho	ority Does No	ot Have a HD	HP Plan Offeri	ing	
Market Average	93%	84%	80%	77%	7%	16%	20%	23%
City of Fort Lauderdale	83%	71%	70%	62%	17%	29%	30%	38%

- (A) Are Rx benefits included in the medical premium?
- (B) Are dental benefits included in the medical premium?
- (C) Are vision benefits included in the medical premium?
- (D) Are part-time employees eligible to participate in the medical plan?

TYPE OF HEALTH PLANS OFFER	TYPE OF HEALTH PLANS OFFERED – GENERAL EMPLOYEES										
	Inclu	uded in Me Premium?		PT Employees							
Peer Employer	Rx	Dental	Vision	Eligible?							
City of Delray Beach	Yes	No	No	No							
City of Hollywood	Yes	No	No	Yes							
City of Miami	Yes	No	Yes	No							
City of Miami Beach	Yes	No	Yes	No							
City of Miramar	Yes	No	No	Yes							
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	Yes	Yes	No							
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	No	No	Yes							
Miami Executive Airport	NR	NR	NR	NR							
Miami-Dade College	Yes	No	No	No							
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	No	No	Yes							
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	No	No	Yes							
Toho Water Authority	Yes	No	No	No							
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes							

TABLE 40





Dental

TABLE 41 MOST POPULOUS DENTAL PLAN MONTHLY COST

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$34.36	\$75.05	\$67.88	\$108.79
City of Hollywood	\$19.00	\$19.00	\$19.00	\$19.00	\$10.27	\$49.16	\$30.49	\$49.16
City of Miami	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$33.37	\$68.12	\$68.73	\$121.51
City of Miami Beach	\$21.94	\$42.28	\$42.28	\$64.82	\$21.94	\$42.28	\$42.28	\$64.82
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	rmation Prov	vided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach		Dental Benefits for City of Pompano Beach are Encompassed in the Medical Plan						
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$11.83	\$21.91	\$18.26	\$30.78	\$2.09	\$7.30	\$6.08	\$10.26
Miami Executive Airport	\$31.22	\$31.22	\$31.22	\$31.22	\$0.00	\$30.53	\$30.53	\$68.32
Miami-Dade College	\$14.41	\$14.41	\$14.41	\$14.41	\$0.00	\$16.36	\$16.36	\$16.36
Palm Beach County School District	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15.72	\$33.36	\$27.48	\$43.18
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	\$14.01	\$14.01	\$14.01	\$14.01	\$14.01	\$56.41	\$47.31	\$72.06
Toho Water Authority	\$26.70	\$31.57	\$31.57	\$31.57	\$0.00	\$53.40	\$53.40	\$53.40
Market Average	\$13.91	\$17.44	\$17.08	\$20.58	\$13.18	\$43.20	\$39.05	\$60.79
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$48.60	\$93.60	\$91.06	\$117.98



TABLE 42 MOST POPULOUS DENTAL PLAN MONTHLY COST – COST SHARING

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employee	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
City of Hollywood	65%	28%	38%	28%	35%	72%	62%	72%
City of Miami	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
City of Miami Beach	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	rmation Prov	/ided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach		Dental Benefits for City of Pompano Beach are Encompassed in the Medical Plan						
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	85%	75%	75%	75%	15%	25%	25%	25%
Miami Executive Airport	100%	51%	51%	31%	0%	49%	49%	69%
Miami-Dade College	100%	47%	47%	47%	0%	53%	53%	53%
Palm Beach County School District	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	50%	20%	23%	16%	50%	80%	77%	84%
Toho Water Authority	100%	37%	37%	37%	0%	63%	63%	63%
Market Average	51%	29%	30%	25%	49%	71%	70%	75%
City of Fort Lauderdale	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%

8782033v1/14397.004



Vision

TABLE 43MOST POPULOUS VISION PLAN MONTHLY COST

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employe	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4.37	\$8.91	\$12.70	\$12.70
City of Hollywood	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6.09	\$19.57	\$12.16	\$19.57
City of Miami		Vision Benefits for City of Miami are Encompassed in the Medical Plan						
City of Miami Beach		Vision Ben	efits for City of I	Miami Beach	are Encompa	assed in the M	ledical Plan	
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	rmation Prov	ided was Un	able to be Vali	dated	
City of Pompano Beach		Vision Benefits for City of Pompano Beach are Encompassed in the Medical Plan						
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	\$1.29	\$2.45	\$2.45	\$2.45	\$0.23	\$0.81	\$0.81	\$0.81
Miami Executive Airport	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4.14	\$8.30	\$8.30	\$15.23
Miami-Dade College	\$14.41	\$14.41	\$14.41	\$14.41	\$19.74	\$73.45	\$73.45	\$73.45
Palm Beach County School District	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5.46	\$14.00	\$14.00	\$14.00
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6.32	\$12.34	\$12.48	\$19.38
Toho Water Authority	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$6.57	\$18.37	\$12.49	\$18.37
Market Average	\$1.96	\$2.11	\$2.11	\$2.11	\$6.62	\$19.47	\$18.30	\$21.69
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4.58	\$9.28	\$8.76	\$14.36



TABLE 44MOST POPULOUS VISION PLAN MONTHLY COST – COST SHARING

		Employe	r Cost (\$)			Employe	e Cost (\$)	
Peer Employer	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family	EE Only	EE + Children	EE + Spouse	Family
City of Delray Beach	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
City of Hollywood	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
City of Miami		Vision E	Benefits for City	of Miami are	e Encompass	ed in the Medi	cal Plan	
City of Miami Beach		Vision Ben	efits for City of I	Miami Beach	are Encomp	assed in the M	ledical Plan	
City of Miramar		Healt	h / Benefits Info	ormation Prov	∕ided was Un	able to be Vali	idated	
City of Pompano Beach		Vision Benefits for City of Pompano Beach are Encompassed in the Medical Plan						
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	85%	75%	75%	75%	15%	25%	25%	25%
Miami Executive Airport	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Miami-Dade College	42%	16%	16%	16%	58%	84%	84%	84%
Palm Beach County School District	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Toho Water Authority	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Market Average	23%	10%	10%	9%	77%	90%	90%	91%
City of Fort Lauderdale	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	100%	100%

- (A) Do you reimburse employees for expenses associated with wellness activities?
- (B) Do you offer lower employee medical contributions for participation in wellness programs?

(C) Are part-time employees eligible for wellness benefits?

TABLE 45 – GENERAL EMPLOYEES WELLNESS

Peer Employer	Question A	Question B	Question C
City of Delray Beach	No	No	No
City of Hollywood	NR	No	NR
City of Miami	No	No	No
City of Miami Beach	No	No	No
City of Miramar	Yes	No	Yes
City of Pompano Beach	No	No	No
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	No	Yes
Miami Executive Airport	No	No	NR
Miami-Dade College	No	No	NR
Palm Beach County School District	No	Yes	Yes
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	No	No	No
Toho Water Authority	Yes	Yes	No
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	No	Yes



Study Findings - Retirement Benefits

Survey Question: Do you participate in Social Security?

TABLE 46 SOCIAL SECURITY / MEDICARE PARTICIPATION – GENERAL EMPLOYEES					
Deer Employer	Partic	ipate?			
Peer Employer	OASDI?	Medicare?			
City of Delray Beach	Yes	Yes			
City of Hollywood	Yes	Yes			
City of Miami	Yes	Yes			
City of Miami Beach	No	Yes			
City of Miramar	Yes	Yes			
City of Pompano Beach	Yes	Yes			
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	Yes			
Miami Executive Airport	Yes	Yes			
Miami-Dade College	Yes	Yes			
Palm Beach County School District	Yes	Yes			
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	Yes			
Toho Water Authority	Yes	Yes			
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Yes			

NR = No Response

NA = Not Applicable



Survey Question: Please answer the following questions regarding retirement plans offered to current employees.

The total employer contribution for each peer includes the defined contribution automatic employer contributions and employer match, deferred compensation automatic employer contributions and employer match, and social security contributions as shown below.

	Defined Contribut	itribution 401(a)	Deferred Compensation 457(b)	ensation 457(b)	Social Security	
Peer Employers	Automatic Employer Contributions	Employer Match	Automatic Employer Contributions	Employer Match	Social Security Contributions	Total Employer Contribution
	(% of pay)	(% of pay)	(% of pay)	(% of pay)	(%)	(% of pay)
City of Delray Beach	AN	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
City of Hollywood	NA	NA	NA	AN	NA	NA
City of Miami	%00.0	%00'8	%00'0	%00'0	7.65%	15.65%
City of Miami Beach	8.00%	0.00%	%00'0	%00.0	1.45%	9.45%
City of Miramar	NA	AN	٧N	٧N	NA	NA
City of Pompano Beach	NA	AN	٧N	٧N	NA	NA
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	6.00%	4.00%	%00'0	%00'0	7.65%	17.65%
Miami Executive Airport	NA	NA	VN	VN	NA	NA
Miami-Dade College	NA	AN	٧N	٧N	NA	NA
Palm Beach County School District	NA	AN	٧N	٧N	NA	NA
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	3.00%	%00'0	%00'0	%00'0	7.65%	10.65%
Toho Water Authority	6.50%	2.00%	%00'0	%00.0	7.65%	16.15%
				Over	Overall Market Average	13.91%
City of Fort Lauderdale	%00'0	%00'6	VN	%00'0	7.65%	16.65%
NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable						

TABLE 47 RETIREMENT BENEFITS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES

Survey Question: Are part-time employees eligible to participate in any of the plans below?

RETIREMENT BENEFITS – PART TIME ELIGIBILITY IN RETIREMENT BENEFITS – GENERAL EMPLOYEES **TABLE 48**

Peer Employer	Defined Contribution 401(a) Retirement Plan	Deferred Compensation 457(b) Retirement Plan
City of Delray Beach	No	No
City of Hollywood	RN	Yes
City of Miami	S	No
City of Miami Beach	No	Yes
City of Miramar	No	No
City of Pompano Beach	No	No
Greater Orlando Aviation Authority / Orlando Executive Airport	Yes	Yes
Miami Executive Airport	NR	No
Miami-Dade College	Yes	No
Palm Beach County School District	RN	NR
South Florida Regional Transportation Authority	Yes	Yes
Toho Water Authority	No	No
City of Fort Lauderdale	Yes	Yes
ND - NO DOCTOR NA - NA Ameliochio		

NR = No Response, NA = Not Applicable

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 76 of 91

Study Findings – Total Compensation

The total compensation costs for each benchmark are the sum of the following:

- The calculated midpoint of the base pay range (average of the minimum and maximum base pay rates)
- Total employer costs for all health related benefits (medical, prescription drug, dental, and vision), weighted by City of Fort Lauderdale's current enrollment distribution among coverage tiers across all plans
- > The current total employer contribution associated with the defined contribution retirement plan
- > Maximum employer contribution to the deferred compensation plan

TABLE 49CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALEMARKET POSITION AT THE 75TH PERCENTILE ACROSS ALL BENCHMARKS AND
PEER EMPLOYERS
TOTAL COMPENSATION

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	
	Base Pay (Range Midpoint)	Weighted Total Health Costs (Medical, Dental, & Vision)	Total Retirement Benefits (Defined Contribution & Deferred Compensation)	Employer Total Compensation Costs (Pay and Benefits)
Overall Average	96%	71%	115%	95%

As previously displayed in **Table 7**, the values in **Table 49** show the City of Fort Lauderdale's market position across all benchmarks and peer employers from a total compensation standpoint. **Table 50** shows all benchmark jobs and their respective total compensation market competitiveness. "Insufficient Data" indicates that the job did not garner three or more matches. Market findings with three or more matches provide a more reliable indication of the City's market position amongst the peer employers. Job matches are defined as a peer employer having a job comparable to the benchmark job.





		Employer Co	Employer Total	
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
	City Attorney's	Office		
Assistant City Attorney III				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$139,038	\$8,100	\$23,150	\$170,288
Market	\$130,323	\$11,431	\$18,128	\$159,882
FL as % Mkt	107%	71%	128%	107%
Legal Assistant III				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$64,043	\$8,100	\$10,663	\$82,806
Market	\$60,963	\$11,431	\$8,480	\$80,874
FL as % Mkt	105%	71%	126%	102%
	City Clerk's O	ffice		
Assistant City Clerk II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$52,333	\$8,100	\$8,713	\$69,146
Market	\$43,207	\$11,431	\$6,010	\$60,648
FL as % Mkt	121%	71%	145%	114%
Cit	y Commission	's Office		
Commission Assistant IV				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$71,677	\$8,100	\$11,934	\$91,711
Market	\$63,909	\$11,431	\$8,890	\$84,229
FL as % Mkt	112%	71%	134%	109%
(ity Manager's	Office		
Assistant City Manager				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$149,791	\$8,100	\$24,940	\$182,831
Market	\$167,149	\$11,431	\$23,250	\$201,830
FL as % Mkt	90%	71%	107%	91%
Construction Review Specialist				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$57,200	\$8,100	\$9,524	\$74,824
Market	\$60,342	\$11,431	\$8,394	\$80,166
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	113%	93%
Senior Financial Management Analyst				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$73,507	\$8,100	\$12,239	\$93,846
Market	\$86,810	\$11,431	\$12,075	\$110,316
FL as % Mkt	85%	71%	101%	85%

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	Employer Total
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
	Crosses Multiple	Departments		
Accounting Clerk				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$40,518	\$8,100	\$6,746	\$55,364
Market	\$45,145	\$11,431	\$6,280	\$62,855
FL as % Mkt	90%	71%	107%	<mark>88</mark> %
Administrative Aide				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$49,306	\$8,100	\$8,209	\$65,615
Market	\$51,755	\$11,431	\$7,199	\$70,385
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	114%	93%
Administrative Assistant II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$74,308	\$8,100	\$12,372	\$94,780
Market	\$74,243	\$11,431	\$10,327	\$96,001
FL as % Mkt	100%	71%	120%	99%
Clerk III				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$48,578	\$8,100	\$8,088	\$64,766
Market	\$43,107	\$11,431	\$5,996	\$60,534
FL as % Mkt	113%	71%	135%	107%
Code Compliance Officer				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$51,802	\$8,100	\$8,625	\$68,527
Market	\$56,365	\$11,431	\$7,840	\$75,636
FL as % Mkt	92 %	71%	110%	91%
Construction Worker II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$49,306	\$8,100	\$8,209	\$65,615
Market	\$46,505	\$11,431	\$6,469	\$64,404
FL as % Mkt	106%	71%	127%	102%
Customer Service Representative I				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$39,530	\$8,100	\$6,582	\$54,212
Market	\$44,785	\$11,431	\$6,230	\$62,445
FL as % Mkt	88%	71%	106%	87%
Deputy Director				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$129,137	\$8,100	\$21,501	\$158,738
Market	\$133,023	\$11,431	\$18,503	\$162,957
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	116%	97%

		Employer Co	Employer Total	
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Electrician				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$57,200	\$8,100	\$9,524	\$74,824
Market	\$58,819	\$11,431	\$8,182	\$78,431
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	116%	95%
Event Worker				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$36,005	\$8,100	\$5,995	\$50,100
Market	\$30,483	\$11,431	\$4,240	\$46,154
FL as % Mkt	118%	71%	141%	109%
Heavy Equipment Operator				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$48,235	\$8,100	\$8,031	\$64,366
Market	\$50,878	\$11,431	\$7,077	\$69,386
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	113%	93%
Municipal Maintenance Worker II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$39,530	\$8,100	\$6,582	\$54,212
Market	\$41,628	\$11,431	\$5,790	\$58,849
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	114%	92%
Planner III				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$78,073	\$8,100	\$12,999	\$99,172
Market	\$82,609	\$11,431	\$11,491	\$105,530
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	113%	94%
Principal Planner				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$89,575	\$8,100	\$14,914	\$112,589
Market	\$92,457	\$11,431	\$12,861	\$116,748
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	116%	96%
Project Manager II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$86,154	\$8,100	\$14,345	\$108,599
Market	\$97,142	\$11,431	\$13,512	\$122,085
FL as % Mkt	89%	71%	106%	<mark>89</mark> %
Secretary I				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$42,526	\$8,100	\$7,081	\$57,707
Market	\$45,152	\$11,431	\$6,281	\$62,863
FL as % Mkt	94%	71%	113%	92%

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	Employer Total
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Senior Accounting Clerk				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$49,306	\$8,100	\$8,209	\$65,615
Market	\$53,568	\$11,431	\$7,451	\$72,450
FL as % Mkt	92%	71%	110%	91%
Senior Project Manager				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$96,481	\$8,100	\$16,064	\$120,645
Market	\$99,026	\$11,431	\$13,775	\$124,231
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	117%	97%
Service Clerk				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$42,526	\$8,100	\$7,081	\$57,707
Market	\$43,644	\$11,431	\$6,071	\$61,145
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	117%	94%
	Finance Depar	tment	1	1
Accountant II	· · ·			
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$76,170	\$8,100	\$12,682	\$96,952
Market	\$70,661	\$11,431	\$9,829	\$91,921
FL as % Mkt	108%	71%	129%	105%
Manager - Procurement & Contracts				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$105,373	\$8,100	\$17,545	\$131,018
Market	\$108,606	\$11,431	\$15,107	\$135,144
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	116%	97%
Procurement Specialist II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$74,308	\$8,100	\$12,372	\$94,780
Market	\$66,260	\$11,431	\$9,217	\$86,907
FL as % Mkt	112%	71%	134%	109%
Senior Accountant				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$89,575	\$8,100	\$14,914	\$112,589
Market	\$81,160	\$11,431	\$11,289	\$103,880
FL as % Mkt	110%	71%	1 32%	108%
Senior Procurement Specialist				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$87,391	\$8,100	\$14,551	\$110,042
Market	\$74,781	\$11,431	\$10,402	\$96,614
FL as % Mkt	117%	71%	140%	114%

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	Employer Total
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Treasurer				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$102,804	\$8,100	\$17,117	\$128,021
Market	\$113,941	\$11,431	\$15,849	\$141,221
FL as % Mkt	90%	71%	108%	91%
	Fire Departm	ient		
Battalion Chief				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$102,804	\$8,100	\$17,117	\$128,021
Market	\$104,571	\$11,431	\$14,546	\$130,547
FL as % Mkt	98%	71%	118%	98%
Beach Lifeguard				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$43,638	\$8,100	\$7,266	\$59,004
Market	\$48,350	\$11,431	\$6,725	\$66,506
FL as % Mkt	90%	71%	108%	89%
Beach Patrol Lieutenant				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$61,578	\$8,100	\$10,253	\$79,931
Market	\$61,095	\$11,431	\$8,498	\$81,024
FL as % Mkt	101%	71%	121%	99%
	Human Resources	Department		
Claims Adjuster				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$60,882	\$8,100	\$10,137	\$79,119
Market	\$68,693	\$11,431	\$9,555	\$89,679
FL as % Mkt	89%	71%	106%	88%
Human Resources Assistant				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$59,374	\$8,100	\$9,886	\$77,360
Market	\$54,538	\$11,431	\$7,586	\$73,555
FL as % Mkt	109%	71%	130%	105%
Insurance Benefits Specialist				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$54,964	\$8,100	\$9,152	\$72,216
Market	\$59,959	\$11,431	\$8,340	\$79,730
FL as % Mkt	92%	71%	110%	91%
Risk Manager				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$102,804	\$8,100	\$17,117	\$128,021
Market	\$103,250	\$11,431	\$14,362	\$129,043
FL as % Mkt	100%	71%	119%	99%

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	Employer Total
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Senior Claims Adjuster				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$71,677	\$8,100	\$11,934	\$91,711
Market	\$76,989	\$11,431	\$10,709	\$99,129
FL as % Mkt	93%	71%	111%	<mark>93</mark> %
Information	Fechnology Se	rvices Departme	nt	
Application Developer				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$90,501	\$8,100	\$15,068	\$113,669
Market	\$84,818	\$11,431	\$11,798	\$108,047
FL as % Mkt	107%	71%	128%	105%
Assistant Database Administrator				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$86,154	\$8,100	\$14,345	\$108,599
Market	\$89,891	\$11,431	\$12,504	\$113,825
FL as % Mkt	96%	71%	115%	95%
Computer Operator II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$48,235	\$8,100	\$8,031	\$64,366
Market	\$60,617	\$11,431	\$8,432	\$80,479
FL as % Mkt	80%	71%	95%	<mark>80</mark> %
Data Warehouse Analyst				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$90,501	\$8,100	\$15,068	\$113,669
Market	\$88,312	\$11,431	\$12,284	\$112,027
FL as % Mkt	102%	71%	123%	101%
Geographic Information System Analyst				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$75,088	\$8,100	\$12,502	\$95,690
Market	\$74,381	\$11,431	\$10,346	\$96,158
FL as % Mkt	101%	71%	121%	100%
Network Support Analyst				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$82,867	\$8,100	\$13,797	\$104,764
Market	\$86,484	\$11,431	\$12,030	\$109,945
FL as % Mkt	96%	71%	115%	95%
Senior Tech Support Analyst				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$80,818	\$8,100	\$13,456	\$102,374
Market	\$79,863	\$11,431	\$11,109	\$102,403
FL as % Mkt	101%	71%	121%	100%

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	Employer Total
Benchmark Title	Tota	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Systems Administrator				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$92,747	\$8,100	\$15,442	\$116,289
Market	\$89,648	\$11,431	\$12,470	\$113,549
FL as % Mkt	103%	71%	124%	102%
Technical Support Analyst				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$71,448	\$8,100	\$11,896	\$91,444
Market	\$74,814	\$11,431	\$10,407	\$96,651
FL as % Mkt	96%	71%	114%	95%
Technology Strategist				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$78,894	\$8,100	\$13,136	\$100,130
Market	\$98,733	\$11,431	\$13,734	\$123,897
FL as % Mkt	80%	71%	96%	81%
Parks a	nd Recreation	Department	1	
Apprentice Municipal Maintenance Worker				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$32,406	\$8,100	\$5,396	\$45,902
Market	\$39,102	\$11,431	\$5,439	\$55,972
FL as % Mkt	83%	71%	99%	82%
Community Program Supervisor				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$75,348	\$8,100	\$12,545	\$95,993
Market	\$80,378	\$11,431	\$11,181	\$102,989
FL as % Mkt	94%	71%	112%	93%
Field Operating Technician (Level IV)				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$51,802	\$8,100	\$8,625	\$68,527
Market	\$52,621	\$11,431	\$7,320	\$71,371
FL as % Mkt	98%	71%	118%	96%
Head Groundskeeper				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$48,235	\$8,100	\$8,031	\$64,366
Market	\$51,273	\$11,431	\$7,132	\$69,836
FL as % Mkt	94%	71%	113%	92 %
Municipal Maintenance Worker III (Parks/Facilities)				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$42,526	\$8,100	\$7,081	\$57,707
Market	\$47,905	\$11,431	\$6,664	\$65,999
FL as % Mkt	89%	71%	106%	87%

		Employer Co	st of Benefits	Employer Total
Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Parks Foreman				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$66,331	\$8,100	\$11,044	\$85,475
Market	\$63,344	\$11,431	\$8,811	\$83,586
FL as % Mkt	105%	71%	125%	102%
Pool Equipment Mechanic				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$49,306	\$8,100	\$8,209	\$65,615
Market	\$45,458	\$11,431	\$6,323	\$63,212
FL as % Mkt	108%	71%	130%	104%
Pool Lifeguard I				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$37,638	\$8,100	\$6,267	\$52,005
Market	\$41,571	\$11,431	\$5,783	\$58,784
FL as % Mkt	91%	71%	108%	88%
Recreation Instructor II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$33,322	\$8,100	\$5,548	\$46,970
Market	\$40,412	\$11,431	\$5,621	\$57,464
FL as % Mkt	82%	71%	99%	<mark>82</mark> %
Recreation Program Coordinator				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$69,701	\$8,100	\$11,605	\$89,406
Market	\$61,491	\$11,431	\$8,553	\$81,475
FL as % Mkt	113%	71%	136%	110%
Recreation Programmer I				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$42,526	\$8,100	\$7,081	\$57,707
Market	\$48,924	\$11,431	\$6,805	\$67,160
FL as % Mkt	87%	71%	104%	86 %
Recreation Worker				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$25,251	\$8,100	\$4,204	\$37,555
Market	\$33,675	\$11,431	\$4,684	\$49,790
FL as % Mkt	75%	71%	90%	75%
	Police Depart	1		<u> </u>
Accident Investigator II				
City of Fort Lauderdale				
Market		Incuff	icient Data	
FL as % Mkt		IIISUII	ICICIII Dala	
Crime Analyst II	AF 4 F 4 F	#0.400	#0.077	\$74.004
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$54,517	\$8,100	\$9,077	\$71,694
Market	\$58,919	\$11,431	\$8,196	\$78,545
FL as % Mkt	93%	71%	111%	91%

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 85 of 91



Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Employer Cost of Benefits		Employer Total
		Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Police Aide II				
City of Fort Lauderdale				
Market	Insufficient Data			
FL as % Mkt				
Police Records Clerk				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$39,530	\$8,100	\$6,582	\$54,212
Market	\$43,571	\$11,431	\$6,061	\$61,062
FL as % Mkt	91%	71%	109%	89%
Public Safety Aide				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$42,526	\$8,100	\$7,081	\$57,707
Market	\$50,552	\$11,431	\$7,032	\$69,014
FL as % Mkt	84%	71%	101%	84%
Senior Police Records Clerk				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$45,916	\$8,100	\$7,645	\$61,661
Market	\$56,107	\$11,431	\$7,804	\$75,342
FL as % Mkt	82%	71%	98%	82%
Public Works	/ Building Ser	vices Departme	nt	1
Distribution & Collection Chief				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$66,331	\$8,100	\$11,044	\$85,475
Market	\$70,590	\$11,431	\$9,819	\$91,840
FL as % Mkt	94%	71%	112%	93%
Electro Technician				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$57,200	\$8,100	\$9,524	\$74,824
Market	\$59,381	\$11,431	\$8,260	\$79,071
FL as % Mkt	96%	71%	115%	95%
Engineering Aide II				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$43,638	\$8,100	\$7,266	\$59,004
Market	\$52,502	\$11,431	\$7,303	\$71,236
FL as % Mkt	83%	71%	99%	83%
Engineering Technician II	#00.151	#0.400	# 40.040	#70.070
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$60,154	\$8,100	\$10,016	\$78,270
Market	\$60,207	\$11,431	\$8,375	\$80,012
FL as % Mkt	100%	71%	120%	98%

Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Employer Cost of Benefits		Employer Total	
		Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs	
Environmental Lab Technician					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$54,517	\$8,100	\$9,077	\$71,694	
Market	\$57,501	\$11,431	\$7,998	\$76,930	
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	113%	93%	
Industrial Electrician					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$57,200	\$8,100	\$9,524	\$74,824	
Market	\$58,819	\$11,431	\$8,182	\$78,431	
FL as % Mkt	97%	71%	116%	95%	
Municipal Maintenance Worker III (Public Services)					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$44,689	\$8,100	\$7,441	\$60,230	
Market	\$50,877	\$11,431	\$7,077	\$69,385	
FL as % Mkt	88%	71%	105%	87%	
Public Service Maintenance Chief					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$67,995	\$8,100	\$11,321	\$87,416	
Market	\$70,707	\$11,431	\$9,835	\$91,973	
FL as % Mkt	96%	71%	115%	95%	
Utilities Mechanic I					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$50,523	\$8,100	\$8,412	\$67,035	
Market	\$51,492	\$11,431	\$7,163	\$70,085	
FL as % Mkt	98%	71%	117%	96%	
Utilities Service Worker					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$40,102	\$8,100	\$6,677	\$54,879	
Market	\$45,459	\$11,431	\$6,323	\$63,213	
FL as % Mkt	88%	71%	106%	87%	
Utility Field Representative					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$48,235	\$8,100	\$8,031	\$64,366	
Market	\$48,606	\$11,431	\$6,761	\$66,798	
FL as % Mkt	99%	71%	119%	96%	
Water Treatment Plant Operator II					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$53,165	\$8,100	\$8,852	\$70,117	
Market	\$56,123	\$11,431	\$7,807	\$75,360	
FL as % Mkt	95%	71%	113%	93%	
Sustainable Development Department					
Building Inspector					
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$64,792	\$8,100	\$10,788	\$83,680	
Market	\$78,833	\$11,431	\$10,966	\$101,229	
FL as % Mkt	82%	71%	98%	83%	

CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 87 of 91

Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Employer Cost of Benefits		Employer Total
		Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Chief Building Inspector				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$77,158	\$8,100	\$12,847	\$98,105
Market	\$90,442	\$11,431	\$12,580	\$114,453
FL as % Mkt	85%	71%	102%	<mark>86</mark> %
Economic and Business Development Manager				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$108,014	\$8,100	\$17,984	\$134,098
Market	\$101,655	\$11,431	\$14,140	\$127,226
FL as % Mkt	106%	71%	127%	105%
Electrical Inspector				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$63,180	\$8,100	\$10,519	\$81,799
Market	\$75,882	\$11,431	\$10,555	\$97,868
FL as % Mkt	83%	71%	100%	<mark>84</mark> %
Plumbing Inspector				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$63,180	\$8,100	\$10,519	\$81,799
Market	\$76,163	\$11,431	\$10,594	\$98,188
FL as % Mkt	83%	71%	99%	<mark>83</mark> %
Senior Code Compliance Officer				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$54,517	\$8,100	\$9,077	\$71,694
Market	\$68,438	\$11,431	\$9,520	\$89,388
FL as % Mkt	80%	71%	95%	<mark>80%</mark>
Structural Plans Examiner				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$71,479	\$8,100	\$11,901	\$91,480
Market	\$86,090	\$11,431	\$11,975	\$109,496
FL as % Mkt	83%	71%	99%	<mark>84</mark> %
Transporta	tion and Mobi	lity Department		
Airport Operations Aide				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$50,523	\$8,100	\$8,412	\$67,035
Market	\$57,337	\$11,431	\$7,976	\$76,743
FL as % Mkt	88%	71%	105%	87%
Parking Enforcement Shift Coordinator				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$54,517	\$8,100	\$9,077	\$71,694
Market	\$51,560	\$11,431	\$7,172	\$70,163
FL as % Mkt	106%	71%	127%	102%
Parking Enforcement Specialist				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$40,518	\$8,100	\$6,746	\$55,364
Market	\$47,458	\$11,431	\$6,601	\$65,490
FL as % Mkt	85%	71%	102%	85%

Benchmark Title	Base Pay	Employer Cost of Benefits		Employer Total
		Weighted Total Health Costs	Total Retirement Benefits	Compensation Costs
Parking Meter Technician				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$49,306	\$8,100	\$8,209	\$65,615
Market	\$49,373	\$11,431	\$6,868	\$67,671
FL as % Mkt	100%	71%	120%	97%
Parking Operations Supervisor				
City of Fort Lauderdale	\$63,970	\$8,100	\$10,651	\$82,721
Market	\$54,042	\$11,431	\$7,517	\$72,990
FL as % Mkt	118%	71%	142%	113%
Overall	96%	71%	115%	95%

Figures shown in **red** are below market (less than 95% of the market average) Figures shown in **black** within the market range (95% to 105% of the market average)

Figures shown in **blue** are above market (more than 105% of the market average)





CAM 18-1147 Exhibit 11 Page 90 of 91

Conclusions

Our conclusions cover the following areas:

- > Pay Range Competitiveness
- > Total Compensation Competitiveness

Pay Range Competitiveness

This study found that across the majority of benchmark jobs, the City's pay structure is at the market average at the pay range midpoint and maximum and above market at the pay range minimum.

Overall, at the midpoint of the pay range:

- > The City's overall average pay range midpoint is 96% of the overall market average.
- > 39 benchmark job titles are below market (less than 95% at the midpoint)
- > 34 benchmark job titles are at market (between 95% and 105% at the midpoint)
- > 17 benchmark job titles are above market (above 105% at the midpoint)
- > 2 benchmark job titles did not garner sufficient matches to be statistically significant

Total Compensation Competitiveness

On a total compensation basis (taking into consideration base pay, employer health benefit costs, social security participation and retirement plan contributions) the City's average total compensation costs are:

> 95% of the overall market average

While the City's contributions to health care costs compared to its peer are below the overall market average at 71%, its retirement contributions are at market at 164% of the market average. On a Total Compensation basis, the City is at 103% of the overall market average.



