



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION

RFQ# 12011-875
 TITLE: Auctioneer Services - On-Line
 DATE: 10/19/2017

Rater #1 - Sandy Leonard																
PROPOSING FIRM	Understands the scope of the project			Meets technical specifications proposed to provide the services			Skill, experience, expertise, and quality services/ performances demonstrated by the Proposer in performing the same type of services as those sought by the City innovative and sustainable design and construction guidance and standards			Responses of the client references. References will be verified and evaluated based on the quality of work previous and currently being performed			Facilities and resources: layout of web page; Safeguards / security of website; check-out, reporting capabilities, Number of registered bidders in database; percentage of 'regular' buyers; Average number of website 'hits' per month. Demonstrates the ability to auction classes of vehicles with the highest resale market.			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Fisher Auction Co. Inc.	0.10	2	0.20	0.10	2	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	3	0.45	2.00
J.J. Kane Associates	0.10	3	0.30	0.10	3	0.30	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.15	2	0.30	2.85
Royal Auction Group	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	1	0.15	1.15

Rater #2 - Glen Hadwen																
PROPOSING FIRM	Understands the scope of the project			Meets technical specifications proposed to provide the services			Skill, experience, expertise, and quality services/ performances demonstrated by the Proposer in performing the same type of services as those sought by the City innovative and sustainable design and construction guidance and standards			Responses of the client references. References will be verified and evaluated based on the quality of work previous and currently being performed			Facilities and resources: layout of web page; Safeguards / security of website; check-out, reporting capabilities, Number of registered bidders in database; percentage of 'regular' buyers; Average number of website 'hits' per month. Demonstrates the ability to auction classes of vehicles with the highest resale market.			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Fisher Auction Co. Inc.	0.10	3	0.30	0.10	3	0.30	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	3	0.45	2.55
J.J. Kane Associates	0.10	2	0.20	0.10	2	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	2	0.30	2.15
Royal Auction Group	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	3	0.45	0.15	1	0.15	1.30

Rater #3 - Greg May																
PROPOSING FIRM	Understands the scope of the project			Meets technical specifications proposed to provide the services			Skill, experience, expertise, and quality services/ performances demonstrated by the Proposer in performing the same type of services as those sought by the City innovative and sustainable design and construction guidance and standards			Responses of the client references. References will be verified and evaluated based on the quality of work previous and currently being performed			Facilities and resources: layout of web page; Safeguards / security of website; check-out, reporting capabilities, Number of registered bidders in database; percentage of 'regular' buyers; Average number of website 'hits' per month. Demonstrates the ability to auction classes of vehicles with the highest resale market.			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Fisher Auction Co. Inc.	0.10	2	0.20	0.10	2	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	3	0.45	2.00
J.J. Kane Associates	0.10	3	0.30	0.10	3	0.30	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.15	2	0.30	2.85
Royal Auction Group	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	1	0.15	1.15

Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	Local Vendor Preference	Local Preference Deduction	Total Final Score	FINAL RANKING
2.18	6.55	5.0%	0.33	6.22	2
2.62	7.85	0.0%	0.00	7.85	3
1.20	3.60	0.0%	0.00	3.60	1



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION

RFQ# **12011-875**
 TITLE: **Auctioneer Services - On-Line**
 DATE: **10/19/2017**

Rater #1 - Sandy Leonard																			
PROPOSING FIRM	Understands the scope of the project			Meets technical specifications proposed to provide the services			Skill, experience, expertise, and quality services/ performances demonstrated by the Proposer in performing the same type of services as those sought by the City innovative and sustainable design and construction guidance and standards			Responses of the client references. References will be verified and evaluated based on the quality of work previous and currently being performed			Facilities and resources: layout of web page; Safeguards / security of website; check-out, reporting capabilities, Number of registered bidders in database; percentage of 'regular' buyers; Average number of website 'hits' per month. Demonstrates the ability to auction classes of vehicles with the highest resale market.			Commission Structure and Fees			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Rene Bates Auctioneers, Inc.	0.10	2	0.20	0.10	2	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	2	0.30	0.30	2	0.60	2.00
Royal Auction Group	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	1	0.15	0.30	1	0.30	1.00

Rater #2 - Glen Hadwen																			
PROPOSING FIRM	Understands the scope of the project			Meets technical specifications proposed to provide the services			Skill, experience, expertise, and quality services/ performances demonstrated by the Proposer in performing the same type of services as those sought by the City innovative and sustainable design and construction guidance and standards			Responses of the client references. References will be verified and evaluated based on the quality of work previous and currently being performed			Facilities and resources: layout of web page; Safeguards / security of website; check-out, reporting capabilities, Number of registered bidders in database; percentage of 'regular' buyers; Average number of website 'hits' per month. Demonstrates the ability to auction classes of vehicles with the highest resale market.			Commission Structure and Fees			Total Points Awarded
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Rene Bates Auctioneers, Inc.	0.10	2	0.20	0.10	2	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	2	0.30	0.30	1	0.30	1.55
Royal Auction Group	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	1	0.15	0.30	2	0.60	1.45

		Rater #3 - Greg May																							
		Understands the scope of the project			Meets technical specifications proposed to provide the services			Skill, experience, expertise, and quality services/ performances demonstrated by the Proposer in performing the same type of services as those sought by the City innovative and sustainable design and construction guidance and standards			Responses of the client references. References will be verified and evaluated based on the quality of work previous and currently being performed			Facilities and resources: layout of web page; Safeguards / security of website; check-out, reporting capabilities, Number of registered bidders in database; percentage of 'regular' buyers; Average number of website 'hits' per month. Demonstrates the ability to auction classes of vehicles with the highest resale market.			Commission Structure and Fees								
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	Local Vendor Preference	Local Preference Deduction	Total Final Score	FINAL RANKING
Rene Bates Auctioneers, Inc.	0.10	2	0.20	0.10	2	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	2	0.30	0.30	2	0.60	1.85	1.80	5.40	0.0%	0.00	5.40	2
Royal Auction Group	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1	0.10	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	1	0.15	0.30	1	0.30	1.15	1.20	3.60	0.0%	0.00	3.60	1