

## CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION

RFP#

565-11739 Job Classification & Compensation Study TITLE:

DATE: 6/14/2016

	Rater #1 - Averill Dorsett															
	Qualifications of the team performing services for this scope of work.			Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm.			Methodology, approach and philosophy.			Proposed time line to complete all phases.			The firm, fixed total costs proposed.			
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtota	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtota	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtota	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtota	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtota	Total Points Awarded
Management Advisory Group Int'l, Inc.	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	2	0.30	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	3	0.30	0.30	1	0.30	1.80
Springsted Incorporated	0.15	3	0.45	0.15	3	0.45	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	2	0.20	0.30	2	0.60	2.60
The Segal Company (Eastern States), Inc.	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	1	0.15	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	1	0.10	0.30	3	0.90	1.60

	Rater #2 - Tim Maher															
	Qualifications of the team performing services for this scope of work.			Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm.			Methodology, approach and philosophy.			Proposed time line to complete all phases.			The firm, fixed total costs proposed.			
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Management Advisory Group Int'l, Inc.	0.15	2	0.30	0.15	2	0.30	0.30	2	0.60	0.10	1	0.10	0.30	1	0.30	1.60
Springsted Incorporated	0.15	3	0.45	0.15	3	0.45	0.30	3	0.90	0.10	3	0.30	0.30	2	0.60	2.70
The Segal Company (Eastern States), Inc.	0.15	1	0.15	0.15	1	0.15	0.30	1	0.30	0.10	2	0.20	0.30	3	0.90	1.70

	Rater #3 - Carolyn Bean															
	the perf service	cations of team orming es for this of work.	Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm.		Methodology, approach and philosophy.		d line to complete		plete t	lete total costs						
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Ranking Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	Local Vendor Preference	Local Preference Deduction	Total Final Score	FINAL RANKING
Management Advisory Group Int'l, Inc.	0.15	3 0.45	0.15	3 0.45	0.30	3 0.9	0.10	3	0.30 0.3	0 1 0.30	2.40	5.80	0.0%	0.00	5.80	2
Springsted Incorporated	0.15	2 0.30	0.15	2 0.30	0.30	2 0.6	0.10	2	0.20 0.3	0 2 0.60	2.00	7.30	0.0%	0.00	7.30	3
The Segal Company (Eastern States), Inc.	0.15	1 0.15	0.15	1 0.15	0.30	1 0.3	0.10	1	0.10 0.3	0 3 0.90	1.60	4.90	0.0%	0.00	4.90	1