3 8 /3/15

# **DOCUMENT ROUTING FORM**

NAME OF DOCUMENT: Task Order 01 HARDES	STY & HANDOVER, LLC, \$213,247
CAM: 15-0779 ITEM: CM-12 CCM: JULY	7, 2015
Routing Origin:  CAO  Also attached:	copy of CAM
City Attorney's Office: Approved as to Manager  Assistant City Attorney:	o Form _3_ Originals and Delivered to City
CIP FUNDED  YES NO Capital Investment / Community Improvement Projects	Capital Investment / Community Improvement Projects defined as having a life of at least 10 years and a cost of at least \$50,000 and shall mean improvements to real property (land, buildings, fixtures) that add value and/or extend useful life, including major repairs such as roof replacement, etc. Term "Real Property" include: land, real estate, realty, real.
City Manager: Please sign as indicated and f	forward3 originals to City Clerk.
INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK'S OFFICE	
3) City Clerk: Retains one original and forwards	3 copies of documents to: Kian Movafaghi 7818
⊠Original Route form to _Kian Movafaghi	

#### TASK ORDER No. 1

Dated this 7 day of July , 2015

## FORT LAUDERDALE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

#### COCONUT ISLE DRIVE BRIDGE RELACEMENT

#### PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

This Task Order between the City of Fort Lauderdale, a Florida municipal corporation ("CITY") and Hardesty & Hanover, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company authorized to transact business in Florida, ("CONSULTANT") is pursuant to the Bridge Engineering Consulting Services Agreement dated November 18, 2014 and expiring on November 17, 2016 ("MASTER AGREEMENT").

#### PROJECT BACKGROUND

The Project is generally described as follows: The Coconut Isle Drive Bridge will be replaced with a new bridge. The CONSULTANT services shall include design development services, construction/bid documentation services and contract administration.

The CONSULTANT is responsible for working in cooperation with officials of the CITY, or their designees, and with the CITY'S project managers in administering the design and construction of this project. The CONSULTANT will be responsible for the preparation of all construction documents and will coordinate all required permit applications.

#### GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

#### Design Standards

The CONSULTANT shall be solely responsible for determining the standards the work shall meet and obtain all the requisite regulatory approvals.

## **Quality Control**

The CONSULTANT is solely responsible for quality control of the work. The Consultant shall provide a list of sub-consultants for the project, which will not be changed without the approval of the City's Project Manager.

#### **Project Design Schedule**

The CONSULTANT shall develop a design schedule with the City's Project Manager within 10 business days after the Notice-to-Proceed and prior to the CONSULTANT beginning work. The design schedule shall include at a minimum the dates for the various design development phases and submittals, design review timeframes, and permitting. The schedule shall be prepared in Microsoft Project.

Submit monthly status reports indicating progress of the design and conformance with the project design schedule. It is understood that the schedule is a dynamic tool and maybe adjusted as required, due to outside agency input.

#### Coordination and Permits

The CONSULTANT shall conduct all the necessary coordination with various City departments and other regulatory agencies that have an interest, jurisdiction over and may require permits for this project. During the cost estimation preparation, the Consultant shall provide an estimated for approvals/permits from all the City and non- City departments/agencies, having an interest or jurisdiction over this project which include, but are not limited to:

- SFWMD
- USACE
- USCG
- Broward County EPGMD

#### SPECIFIC SCOPE OF SERVICES

16JUL 15 11:25AM

The Scope of Services to be provided by CONSULTANT shall be as follows:

## Task 1.1 - Bridge Alternative Study and 30% Design Submission

Task 1.1.1 – Bridge Component Alternatives Study (BAS) – CONSULTANT shall investigate listed components and solicit City approval of recommended alternative prior to proceeding to design development. The components to be investigated are:

- Bridge Geometry: The bridge length, height and pier locations are subject to vertical and horizontal design clearance requirements such as those for clear zone, navigation and hydrology. After these considerations are met, span lengths are governed by economics and aesthetic considerations. Superstructure depths (grade separation structures in particular) shall be kept to the minimum that is consistent with good engineering practice. Vertical clearances shall accommodate a 1 foot of sea level rise.
- 2. Superstructure: FIB Beam, inverted-tee sections, reinforced or prestressed concrete slabs.
- 3. **Substructures:** Substructure types that could be considered are pile bents or spread footings.
- 4. Temporary Traffic Control: Show how traffic will be maintained during construction for each of the bridge alternates considered. Assess the impacts of the traffic carried on the structures as well as under the structures being constructed. Consider all major overhead work items such as bridge demolition and girder placement. Show phased construction sequences, girder splice locations, etc., for each alternate being considered. Compare traffic user impacts for each of the alternates
- 5. Quantity estimates: For minor bridges rough quantities (such as reinforcing steel based on weight per volume of concrete) may be sufficient, keeping in mind that the intent is to establish relative and equitable costs between alternates and not necessarily to require the accuracy of the Final Estimate. For projects involving the demolition of bridges, debris volume quantities must be calculated.
- Develop cost comparisons based on relevant FDOT unit prices and consultation with industry. Report the estimated total direct costs and estimated total indirect costs, as well as the sum of both, for each alternate as three separate dollar amounts in a summary table.

- 7. Retaining Wall Comparison
- 8. **Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities**: The report shall describe the facilities to be provided and the means to be used to comply with ADA requirements

Task 1.1.2 - 30% Design Submission – The 30% submission shall include, at a minimum, the following information:

- 1. General Notes Sheets
- 2. Plan and Elevation Sheets
- 3. Typical section
- 4. Substructure elements and sizes
- 5. Preliminary Wall Control Drawings
- 6. Topographic Survey and property corner location

30% Design Documents – The CITY shall inform the CONSULTANT within 14 days of receiving the submittal on how to proceed with the proposed design. The CONSULTANT shall attend one coordination meeting with the CITY to address review comments. The CONSULTANT shall also obtain all necessary approvals from the CITY prior to proceeding with further design.

CONSULTANT shall prepare the agenda and submit meeting minutes.

**Opinion of Probable Cost** – The CONSULTANT shall prepare an opinion of probable cost at the 30% Design Documents and make the changes to the design if needed to have it within the proposed budget.

**Project Schedule at 30% Design** – The CONSULTANT shall deliver an updated schedule in Microsoft Project of the work break down as part of this submittal package for City review and approval. Schedule shall include at a minimum deliverables dates, milestones, QA/QC time, and permitting timeframes. CITY review time frame shall be not less than seven (7) business days per submittal.

CONSULTANT shall provide three (3) original sets of the 30% design package (11" x 17" plan sheets), together with an electronic copy for review and comment by the City.

**Task 1.2 - Design Development Services** – CONSULTANT shall provide engineering services to design and produce plans for the following components of the project:

Task 1.2.1 - 60% Design Submission - At this submittal, all comments from earlier reviews shall have been resolved. The 60% design submission shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- Permitting
- Public Involvement Support Electronic exhibits for Public Meeting.
- Single Span Concrete Bridge
  - Superstructure Design
  - Substructure Design
  - Foundation Design
- Single Span Concrete Bridge Load Rating
- · Bridge bulkhead wall design
- Temporary bridge
- · Maintenance of traffic

16JUL 1511:25AM

- Roadway (Including Vertical Profile)
- · Signing and Pavement Marking
- Drainage
- Utility coordination
- · Geotechnical Investigation

**60% Design Documents** – The CITY shall inform the CONSULTANT within 14 days of receiving the submittal on how to proceed with the proposed design. The CONSULTANT shall attend one coordination meeting with the CITY to address review comments. The CONSULTANT shall also obtain all necessary approvals from the CITY prior to proceeding with further design. CONSULTANT shall prepare the agenda and submit meeting minutes.

**Project Schedule at 60% Design** – The CONSULTANT shall deliver an updated schedule in Microsoft Project of the work break down as part of this submittal package for City review and approval. Schedule shall include at a minimum deliverables dates, milestones, QA/QC time, and permitting timeframes. CITY review time frame shall be not less than seven (7) business days per submittal.

CONSULTANT shall provide three (3) original sets of the 60% design package (11" x 17"" plan sheets), together with an electronic copy for review and comment by the City.

Task 1.2.2 – 90% Design Submission – At this submittal, all comments from previous reviews shall have been resolved. The 90% design submission shall include, at a minimum, the following:

- Permitting
- Public Involvement Support Electronic exhibits for Public Meeting.
- Single Span Concrete Bridge
  - o Superstructure Design
  - Substructure Design
  - o Foundation Design
  - Load Rating
- · Single Span Concrete Bridge Load Rating
- Bridge bulkhead wall design
- Temporary bridge
- Maintenance of traffic
- Roadway
- Signing and Pavement Marking
- Drainage
- Utility coordination
- Technical Specifications

**90% Design Documents** – The CITY shall inform the CONSULTANT within 14 days of receiving the submittal on how to proceed with the proposed design. The CONSULTANT shall attend one coordination meeting with the CITY to address review comments. The CONSULTANT shall also obtain all necessary approvals from the CITY prior to proceeding with further design. CONSULTANT shall prepare the agenda and submit meeting minutes.

**Cost Estimate** – The CONSULTANT shall prepare a cost estimate at the 90% Design Documents and make the changes to the design if needed to have it within the proposed budget.

16JUL 15 11:25AM

Project Schedule at 90% Design – The CONSULTANT shall deliver an updated schedule in Microsoft Project of the work break down as part of this submittal package for City review and approval. Schedule shall include at a minimum deliverables dates, milestones, QA/QC time, and permitting timeframes. CITY review time frame shall be not less than seven (7) business days per submittal.

CONSULTANT shall provide three (3) original sets of the 90% design package (11" x 17" plan sheets), together with an electronic copy for review and comment by the City.

Task 1.2.3 – 100% Design Submission – At this submittal, all comments from previous reviews shall have been resolved. The 100% design submission shall include complete plans and specifications.

100% Design Documents – The CITY shall inform the CONSULTANT within 14 days of receiving the submittal on how to proceed with the proposed design. The CONSULTANT shall attend one coordination meeting with the CITY to address review comments. The CONSULTANT shall also obtain all necessary approvals from the CITY prior to producing final plans. CONSULTANT shall prepare the agenda and submit meeting minutes.

**Cost Estimate** – The CONSULTANT shall prepare a cost estimate at the 100% Design Documents.

**Project Schedule at 100% Design** – The CONSULTANT shall deliver an updated schedule in Microsoft Project of the work break down as part of this submittal package for City review and approval. Schedule shall include at a minimum deliverables dates, milestones, QA/QC time, and permitting timeframes. CITY review time frame shall be not less than seven (7) business days per submittal.

CONSULTANT shall provide three (3) original signed and sealed sets of the 100% design package (11"  $\times$  17" plan sheets), together with an electronic copy for review and comment by the City.

Once all changes are made, or if no changes or corrections are necessary after City review, the CONSULTANT shall submit the Final Plans and Specifications, and any other document required by the City. CONSULTANT shall provide three (3) original signed and sealed sets of the Final Design Package (24" x 36" plan sheets), together with an electronic copy.

Task 1.3 - Bidding Services - CONSULTANT shall provide services during the bidding phase.

- Bid Support
  - Review bids
  - Respond to bid questions (25 assumed)
  - Issuing the clarification or drawings/addendums as needed
  - o Participate in pre-bid conference.

Task 1.4 - Post Design Services - CONSULTANT shall provide services during the construction phase.

 CONSULTANT shall attend and record minutes of the pre-construction meeting as scheduled by the CITY.

- CONSULTANT shall review all shop drawings and submittals within 10 business days of receipt of the item to determine compliance with the drawings and specifications. Assume 10 shop drawings packages.
- CONSULTANT shall provide a written response to all requests for information (RFIs) within seven business days. Assume 50 RFI's.
- CONSULTANT shall review the contractor's request for payments.
- CONSULTANT shall review and respond to request for changes and claims and forward recommendations and cost evaluations to the CITY.
- CONSULTANT shall make periodic site visits for the purpose of determining general compliance with the approved project drawings, plans, and specifications.
- CONSULTANT shall attend periodic on-site project meetings.
- CONSULTANT shall review as-built drawings provided by the contractor and provide written comments to the City.

#### Deliverables:

Deliverables for this project shall consist of the following:

- Bridge Alternative Study and Design development drawings @ 30%.
- Design development drawings and calculations @ 60%.
- Design development drawings and calculations, if required by earlier comment,
   @ 90% including specifications.
- 100% Complete drawings, calculations, if required by earlier comment, and specifications
- Final Construction documents
- Each submission will include appropriately detailed construction cost estimates.
- Bid package, responses to the questions if required.
- Schedule for design phase deliverables (Microsoft Project)

The deliverables need to include DWG, PDF, WORD, and Excel files as required. The drawings need to comply with CITY CAD Standards.

## PROJECT ASSUMPTIONS

- CITY shall provide access to site.
- City shall provide any existing electronic CAD files. City cannot be responsible for accuracy.
- Existing geometry assumed to be acceptable to all permitting agencies.

## PERFORMANCE SCHEDULE

The CONSULTANT shall perform the services identified in Tasks 1.1 and 1.2 within 270 days of Notice To Proceed. Task 1.3 and 1.4 schedules shall be determined based on the bid dates and construction award period. CONSULTANT shall provide a schedule for all design deliverables and milestone. CONSULTANT shall prepare design schedule in Microsoft Project form.

## PROJECT FUNDING

Performance of this project is at the CITY's discretion and may be contingent upon the CITY receiving funding and work shall not begin until the CITY provides a Notice to Proceed to Consultant.

## METHOD OF COMPENSATION

The services performed will be accomplished using the Not-to-Exceed method of compensation. The total hourly rates payable by the CITY for each of CONSULTANT's employee categories, reimbursable expenses, if any, and sub-consultant fees, if any, are shown on Exhibit 3 attached hereto and made a part hereof. Pay applications shall be detailed and submitted monthly.

## TERMS OF COMPENSATION

Services will be provided for the following Not-to-Exceed amounts:

Task 1.1.1 - Bridge Alternative Study	\$12,877
Task 1.1.2 - 30% Submission	\$42,719
Task 1.2.1 - 60% Submission	\$40,280
Task 1.2.2 - 90% Submission	\$57,217
Task 1.2.3 - 100% and Final Submission	\$10,848
Task 1.3 – Bidding Services	\$6,062
Task 1.4 -Post Design Services	\$23,844
Permit Allowance	\$8,000
Geotechnical Field Investigation	\$7,400
Expenses	\$4,000
Grand Total	\$213,247

16JUL 15 11:25AM

## CITY CONTACTS

Requests for payments should be directed to City of Fort Lauderdale Accounts Payable via e-mail to <a href="mailto:AcctsPayable@FortLauderdale.gov">AcctsPayable@FortLauderdale.gov</a>. All other correspondence and submittals should be directed to the attention of Raymond Nazaire, Project Manager II, at the address shown below. Please be sure that all correspondence refers to the City project number and title as stated above.

City of Fort Lauderdale City Hall, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Engineering 100 North Andrews Avenue Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

## CONSULTANT CONTACTS

Ronald Sanchez, P.E. 1000 Sawgrass Corporate Parkway Suite 544 Sunrise, FL 33323 Hardesty & Hanover, LLC

Email: rsanchez@hardesty-hanover.com

Phone: 954-368-6366 Fax: 954-835-9130

## **CITY**

IN WITNESS OF THE FOREGOING, the parties have set their hands and seals the day and year first above written.

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, a municipal corporation of the State of Florida:

TEJOR IS II: ZEHM

By LEE R. FELDMAN, City Manager

(CORPORATE SEAL)

ATTEST:

ASST City Clerk

JEFFREY A. MODARELLI

Approved as to Legal Form:

RHÓNDA MÓNTÓYA HASAM

Assistant City Attorney

# CONSULTANT

WITNESSES	Hardesty & Hanover, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company authorized to transact business in Florida.
SABRINA SANCHEZ	By mothy Males Timothy J. Noles, PE Managing Member
Print Name  Racul Sand	
Royald Sanchez Print Name	
	16JUL 15 11:26AM
STATE OF FLORIDA: COUNTY OF BROWARD:	
by Timothy J. Noles as Managing Mem	cknowledged before me this <u>1<sup>st</sup></u> day of <u>July,</u> 2015 ber of Hardesty & Hanover, LLC, a Delaware ransact business in the State of Florida
(SEAL)	La Lenden
SABRINA SANCHEZ  MY COMMISSION # FF234536	Notary Public, State of Florida (Signature of Notary taking Acknowledgment)
EXPIRES June 22, 2019 FloretaNoteryService cont	Name of Notary Typed, Printed or Stamped
Personally known or Produced id	lentification
Type of Identification	

# Exhibit 1 - Location Map



16JUL 1511:26AM

# Exhibit 2 - Project Tentative Schedule

# See table below for reference purposes only!!

_	_		Duration
<u>Event</u>	Start Date	End Date	(Days)
NTP	July 13, 2015	July 13, 2015	0
Survey	August 10, 2015	August 17, 2015	7
Bridge Alternative Study	July 13, 2015	August 10, 2015	28
30% Design Submittal	August 10, 2015	September 14, 2015	35
30% City Review	September 14, 2015	September 29, 2015	15
Geotechnical Site			
Investigation	October 7, 2015	October 14, 2015	7
60% Design Submittal	September 29, 2015	November 24, 2015	56
60% City Review	November 24, 2015	December 1, 2015	7
90% Design Submittal	December 1, 2015	January 12, 2016	42
90% City Review	January 12, 2016	February 16, 2016	35
100% Design Submittal	February 16, 2016	March 8, 2016	21
100% City Review	March 8, 2016	March 22, 2016	14
Final Submittal	March 22, 2016	March 22, 2016	0
Total	July 13, 2015	March 22, 2016	267

16JUL 1511:26AM

۱	-
ù	מ
ř	ń
7	۲.
000	•
2	2
2	2
2	r
	•
FOOT	7
ū	9
c	)
u	_
u	4
u	ш
	-
ã	>
ä	7
٤	2
S	5
٠.	
4	=
L	,
u	ı
۲	-
<	٢
5	ž.
=	=
٠	=
U	?
Ц	4

				Har	Hardesty & Hanover, LLC	LLC				3	CECOS		
Staff Classification	Staff Classification	Prime	Project Manager	Senior Engineer	Senior Designer	Engineering Intern	Cadd	Environmental Environmental Principal Manager	Environmental Manager	Senior Scientist	Environmental Scientist	GIS Analyst	Admin
		hours	\$160.00	\$160.00	\$126.27	\$80.00	\$75.00	\$200.00	\$145.00	\$125.00	\$98.00	\$73.00	\$60.00
Task 1.1.1 - Bridge Alternative Stud Development Report	10. Structures - Bridge Development Report	113	9	17	45	28	17						
	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	15	9	4	Е	0	5						
	4. Roadway Analysis	24	2	4	9	2	7						
	5. Roadway Plans	25	-	-	10	80	9						
	6. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	7. Utilities	31	9	ю	6	=	2						
Task 1.1.2 - 30% Submission	9. Structures - Summary	38	2	9	15	6	9						
	11. Structures - remporary Bridge	13	-	2	2	6	2						
	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	104	9	16	21	36	56						
	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	44	2	7	đ	15	Ξ						
	19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	-	0	0	0	-	0						
	20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	2	0	0	0	2	D						
	Georechnical Investigation												
	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	15	9	4	9	0	2						
	4. Roadway Analysis	24	2	4	ø	2	7						
	5. Roadway Plans	52	-	-	10	80	5						
	6. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0						
	7. Utilities	31	3	е	6	11	2						
Task 1.2.1 - 60% Submission		38	2	9	15	6	9						
	11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	13	-	2	5	е	2						
	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	104	9	16	21	36	26						
	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	44	2	7	a	15	=						
	19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	-	0	0	0	-	0						
	20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	2	0	0	0	2	0						
	Survey												

_	
n	
~	
_	
_	
=	
_	
7	
5	
Œ.	
-	
Y	
=	
J	
1	
ī	
π.	
ш	
٠,	
r	
$\overline{}$	
_	
2	
•	
1	
=	
J	
-	
4	
2	
2	
-	
_	
n	
ı	
_	

Participation					Ha	Hardesty & Hanover, LLC	r, LLC				9	CECOS		
Secretary Plants   Propert Centers and Propert   Propert Centers and Propert Centers Centers and Propert Centers and Propert Centers and Propert	Staff Classificatio			Project Manager	Senior Engineer	Senior Designer	Engineering Intern	Cadd	Environmenta Principal	Environmental Manager		Environmental Scientist		Admin
Streetwist and Project   15   6   4   3   0   2   2   2   4   6   5   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7			hours	\$160.00	\$160.00	\$126.27	\$80.00	\$75.00	\$200.00	\$145.00	\$125.00	\$98.00	\$73.00	\$60.00
A Robathay James   24   2   4   6   6   5   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7		3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	15	9	4	ю	0	2						
Stroatbray Plants   25		4. Roadway Analysis	24	2	4	9	2	7						
Charles Analysis   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0		5. Roadway Plans	25	٠	-	10	8	2						
1. Utalities   31   3   3   3   9   11   5   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9		6 Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Special content burger   13   1   2   5   3   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2		7. Utilities	31	ю	е	đ	Ε	9						
15 Structures - Temporal Analysis   13   1   2   5   3   2   2   3   1   1   1   1   2   1   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3	Task 122-90% Submission	_	38	2	9	45	Ø	9						
2. Siturctures - Federaling Walls    1. Siturctures - Federaling Walls    2. Signing & Pavement    2. Signing & Pavement    3. Signing & Pavement    4. Siturctures - Federaling Walls    5. Signing & Pavement    5. Signing & Pavement    5. Signing & Pavement    6. Signing & Pavement    7. Siturctures - Siturc			13	٠	2	ß	m	2						
17 Structures - Retaining Walls   44   2   7   9   15   11   11   12   13   14   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   14   15   15		12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	104	S	16	21	36	56						
Marking-plants Abwerment Marking-plants between the Marking-plants between the Marking-plants between the Marking-plants and Project General and P		17. Structures - Retaining Walls		2	7	ō	15	1						
Manual Paramentary   Automated Project General and Project General General And Project General General And Project General General And Project G		19 Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	٠	0	0	0	-	0						
Environmental Permitting		20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	2	0	0	0	2	0						
2 Project General and Project         5         2         1         1         0         1         1         0         1         1         0         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         2         3         2         3         2         3         2         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         3         4         3         3         3         4         3         3         3         4         3         3         3		Environmental Permitting							Ø	16	120	46	20	60
A Roadway Analysis         8         1         1         2         2         2           6 Dramage Analysis         6         0         0         3         3         2         2           6 Dramage Analysis         11         11         1         3         4         2           1 Charles         111         1         1         3         4         2           1 Charles         13         1         2         5         3         2           1 Signifies         5         0         1         2         1         1           1 Signifies         1         2         1         1         1         2           1 Signifies         2         5         7         12         9         4           1 Signifies         2 Pavement         1         2         3         5         4           1 Signifies         2 Pavement         1         0         0         0         0         0         0           2 Signifies         2 Pavement         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           3 Signifies         2 Pavement         3         1		3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	S	2	-	-	0	-						
E Roadway Plants         8         0         0         3         3         2           C Dramage Analysis         11         1         1         1         3         4         2           1 Structures - Summany         13         11         2         5         3         2           1 Structures - Short Span         5         0         1         2         1         1           1 Structures - Short Span         35         2         5         7         12         9           1.7 Structures - Short Span         35         2         5         4         9           1.7 Structures - Short Span         15         1         2         3         4           1.7 Structures - Short Span         15         1         2         3         4           1.8 Signing & Pavement         1         2         3         5         4           1.9 Signing & Pavement         1         0         0         0         0         0           2.0 Signing & Pavement         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0		4. Roadway Analysis	60	٠	-	2	2	2						
C. Drainage Analysis   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0		5. Roadway Plans	60	0	0	т	es	2						
1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1		6. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Structures - Summary   13   1   2   5   3   2   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	Tack 1 2 3 - 100% and Einst		Ξ	-	-	ю	4	7						
Find Succinces - Temporary   Find Support   Find Support   Find Start Hours   Find Star	Submission		13	-	2	5	e	8						
To Structures - Short Span   3.5   2   5   7   12   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9   9		11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	S	0	-	2	-	-						
17 Structures - Retaining Walls   15   1   2   3   5   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4		12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	35	2	2	7	12	a						
Making Allowing Allowing Allowing Plants         0         0         0         0         0         Making Allowing Plants           20 Signing & Pavement Allowing Plants         1         0         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         0         1         0		17. Structures - Retaining Walls		-	2	ю	9	4						
Lot Signing a Pavement         1         0         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         1         0         0         1         0         0         1         0		19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0						
3b Brebid Support 56 8 3 17 11 17 17 17 17 17 2 8 9 3 2 17 1 17 17 17 17 1 1 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Zo. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	-	0	0	0	-	0						
3a Post Design Services 220 33 11 66 44 66 75 72 20 13 20 20 20 15 20 15 12 12 17 17 3 38 38 315 6 16 170 46 20	Task 1.3 – Bidding Services	3b. Brebid Support	99	89	9	17	11	17						
Total Staff Hours         1,391         121         173         388         384         315         6         16         120         46         20	Task 1.4 -Post Design Services	3a Post Design Services	220	33	11	99	44	99						
	Total Staff Hours	Total Staff Hours	1,381	121	173	388	384	315	9	16	120	46	20	00

ş	
AND	
202	
ш	
Ц	
Ц	
Ц	
П	
'n	
7	
7	
S	
T V	
ストロ	
RY II	
אאר ה	
אאר ה	
מאא ה	
מאא ה	
מאל וו	
מ אאסא	
מ אאסע	
WORK I	
T WORK I	
F WORK I	
T WORK I	
JF WORK I	
OF WORK I	
OF WORK E	
OF WORK I	
OF WORK E	
OF WORK I	
I OF WORK E	
E OF WORK E	
E OF WORK E	
I OF WORK E	
I DI WORK E	
I E OF WORK E	
LE OF WORK E	
ALE OF WORK E	
ALE OF WORK E	
ALE OF WORK E	
ALE OF WORK E	
MAIE OF WORK E	
MAIE OF WORK E	
MAIE OF WORK E	
MAIEOF	
SIMAIE OF WORK E	
MAIEOF	

					Marlin					Tic	Тіста					
Staff Classification	Staff Classification	Prime	Chief Engineer	CBI	Assistant Inspector	Diver tender	Video system tender	Project Manager	Principal Engineer	Senior Engineer	Project Engineer	Senior Technician	CADD	SH	Salary	
		consultant	\$150.00	\$99.06	\$85.15	\$53.42	\$31.22		\$140.00	\$100.00	\$85.00	\$65.00	\$55.00	Activity	Activity	
Task 1.1.1 - Bridge Alternative Stud Development Report	10. Structures - Bridge Development Report	113												113	\$12,877	\$12,877
	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	15												15	\$2,129	
	4. Roadway Analysis	24												24	\$2,643	
	5. Roadway Plans	25												25	\$2,598	
	6. Drainage Analysis	0												0	20	
	7. Utilities	33												31	\$3,351	
Task 1.1.2 - 30% Submission		38												38	\$4,344	
	11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	55												13	\$1,501	
	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	104												104	\$10,842	
	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	44												44	\$4,601	
	19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis														\$80	
	20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	2												2	\$160	
	Georechnical Investigation							80	15	25	30	24	60	110	\$10,470	\$42,719
	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	15												15	\$2,129	
	4. Roadway Analysis	24												24	\$2,643	
	5. Roadway Plans	25												25	\$2,598	
	6. Drainage Analysis	0												0	20	
	7. Utilities	31												31	\$3,351	
Task 12.1 - 60% Submission		38												38	\$4,344	
	11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	13												13	\$1,501	
	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	104												104	\$10,842	
	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	44												44	\$4,601	
	Marking Analysis														\$80	
	Zu. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	2												2	\$160	
	Survey		9	60	18	99	41							139	\$8,031	\$40,280

_	
n	
ń	
ヾ	
_	
ב	
z	
τ	
_	
5	
r	
כ	
L	
4	
Ц	
,	
÷	
)	
3	
Г	
÷	
J	
ш	
=	
1	
€	
É	
-	
100	
Ц	

					Marlin					Тіста	та					
Staff Classification	on Staff Classification	Prime	Chief Engineer	CBI	Assistant Inspector	Diver tender	Video system tender	Project Manager	Principal Engineer	Senior	Project Engineer	Senior Technician	CADD	SH &	Salary	
		consultant	\$150.00	899.08	\$85.15	\$53 42	\$31.22	\$165.00	\$140.00	\$100.00	\$85.00	\$65.00	\$55.00	Activity	Activity	
	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	15												15	\$2,129	
	4. Roadway Analysis	24												24	\$2,643	
	5. Roadway Plans	25												25	\$2,598	
	6. Drainage Analysis	0												0	\$0	
	7. Utilibes	31												31	\$3,351	
Task 1.2.2 - 90% Submission		38												38	\$4,344	
	Bridge	13												13	\$1,501	
	Concrete Bridge	104												104	\$10,842	
	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	44												44	\$4,601	
	Marking Analysis	+												+	\$80	
	20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	2												2	\$160	
	Environmental Permitting													216	\$24,968	\$57,217
	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	w												Ŋ	\$681	
	4. Roadway Analysis	8												80	\$883	
	5. Roadway Plans	8												æ	\$769	
	6. Drainage Analysis	0												0	0\$	
Took 1 2 3 10004 and Eine	7. Utilities	=												11	\$1,169	
Submission	9. Structures - Summary	13												13	\$1,501	
	Bridge	2												2	\$568	
	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	35												35	\$3,639	
	17. Structures - Retaining Walls	15												15	\$1,559	
	Marking Analysis	0												0	0\$	
	Marking Plans	-												-	\$80	\$10,848
Task 1.3 – Bidding Services	3b Brebid Support	56												95	\$6,062	\$6,062
Task 1.4 -Post Design Services	3a Post Design Services	220												220	\$23,844	\$23,844
Total Staff Hours	Total Staff Hours	1,381	9	60	18	99	41	80	15	25	30	24	00	1,846	\$193,847	
Total Staff Cost	Total Staff Cost		\$900.00	\$792.48	\$1,532.70	\$3,525.72	\$1,280.02	\$1,320.00	\$2,100.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,550 00	\$1,560.00	\$440.00		\$193,846.68	

STIMATED FEE:	Tierra		ESTIMATED FEE:
SUBTOTAL EST	Subconsultant	Expenses	GRAND TOTAL

\$193,846.68	\$7,400.00	\$4,000.00	FEE: \$205,246.68	
ATED FEE:	rra		TIMATED FEE	

#### ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Name of Consultant:	5/29/2015	Date:	

WORK ACTIVITY	Hours from "Summary" sheet					EMP	OYEE CLASSIFICATION			TOTAL STAFF HOURS		ON CADD
	Firm Total	Project Manager	Senior Engineer	Senior Designer	Engineering Intern	Cadd				RAI	NGE	
	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours						PERCENT
Structures - Bridge Development Report	112	6	17	45	28	17				113	124	
. Project General and Project Common Tasks	48	19	14	10	0	5				48	53	
. Roadway Analysis	81	8	12	20	16	24				80	88	
. Roadway Plans	82	4	4	33	25	16				82	90	
. Drainage Analysis	0	0	0	0	0	0				0	0	
. Utilities	102	10	10	31	36	15				102	112	
. Structures - Summary	123.5	6	19	49	31	19				124	136	
Structures - Temporary Bridge	-44	2	7	18	11	7				45	50	
2. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	347	17	52	69	121	87				346	381	
7. Structures - Retaining Walls	146	7	22	29	51	37				146	161	
9. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	2	0	0	0	2	0				2	2	
0. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	6	0	0	0	6	0				6	1 7	
a. Post Design Services	219	33	11	66	44	66				220	242	
b. Brebid Support	55	8	3	17	11	17				56	62	

# ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT FOR TECHNICAL PROPOSALS - FIRM TOTAL

Project Name

								Date:	5/29/2015	f	Name of Consultant:	0
			St	aff Hour Dis	tribution Pe	Staff Hour Distribution Percentages - Firm Total	m Total					
	Hours from "Summary' sheet Firm Total	Project Manager	Senior	Senior Designer	Engineering	Cadd						Total
3. Project General and Project Common Tasks	8	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%	%0.0	10.0%						100.00%
3a Post Design	219	15.0%	%0.5	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%						100.00%
3b Prebid Support	8	15.0%	2.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%						100.00%
4. Roadway Analysis	1.0	10.0%	15.0%	25.0%	20.0%	30.0%						100.00%
5. Roadway Plans	82	9.0%	2.0%	40.0%	30.0%	20.0%						100.00%
6. Drainage Analysis	0	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	20.0%	30.0%						100.00%
	102	10.0%	10.0%	30.0%	35.0%	15.0%						100.00%
Structures - Summary	123.5	2.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%	15.0%						100.00%
10. Structures - Bridge Development Report	112	9.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%	15.0%						100.00%
11. Structures - Temporary Bridge	2	9.0%	15.0%	40.0%	25.0%	15.0%						100.00%
12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge	347	2.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	25.0%						100.00%
17. Structures - Retaining Walls	146	5.0%	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	25.0%						100.00%
19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	2	%0.0	%0.0	%0.0	100.0%	%0.0						100.00%
20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	9	%0.0	%0.0	%0.0	100.0%	%0.0						100.00%

#### Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
3.1	Public Involvement					
3.1.1	Community Awareness Plan	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.2	Notifications	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.3	Prepare Mailing Lists	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.4	Median Modification Letters	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.5	Driveway Modification Letters	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.6	Newsletters	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.7	Renderings and Fly Throughs	LS	1	8	8	Public Involvement Support – Basic sketch/diagrams for Public Meeting.
3.1.8	PowerPoint Presentation	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.9	Public Meeting Preparations	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.10	Public Meeting Attendance/Followup	LS	1	4	4	
3.1.11	Other Agency Meetings	LS	1	0	0	
3.1.12	Web Site	LS	1	0	0	
H/T	3.1 Public Involvement St	ubtotal			12	
3.2	Joint Project Agreements	EA	0	0	0	
3.3	Specifications Package Preparation	LS	1	8	8	
3.4	Contract Maintenance and EDMS	LS	1	8	8	
3.5	Value Engineering (Multi-Discipline Team) Review	LS	1	0	0	
3.6	Prime Consultant Project Manager Meetings	LS	1	20	20	See listing below
3.7	Plans Update	LS	1	0	0	

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
3.8	Post Design Services	LS	1	0	0	
3.9	Electronic/Digital Delivery	LS	1	0	0	
3.10	Risk Assessment Workshop	LS	1	0	0	
.5 1 1	Railroad, Transit, and/or Airport Coordination	LS	1	0	0	
3.12	Other Project General Tasks	LS	1	0	0	
	3. Project Common and P	roject Ge	neral Tas	sks Total	48	

3.6 - List of Pro	ect Manager	Meetings
-------------------	-------------	----------

Roadway Analysis	EA	0	2	0
Drainage	EA	0	2	0
Utilities	EA	1	2	2
Environmental	EA	1	2	2
Structures	EA	2	2	4
Signing & Pavement Marking	EA	0	2	0
Landscape Architecture	EA	0	2	0
Survey	EA	0	2	0
Geotechnical	EA	0	2	0
Progress Meetings	EA	3	2	6
Phase Reviews	EA	0	0	0
Field Reviews	EA	3	2	6
Total Project Manager Meetings		10	1927	20
				Carries to 3.6

#### Notes:

- 1. If the hours per meeting vary in length (hours) enter the average in the hour/unit column.
- 2. Do not double count agency meetings between permitting agencies.
- 3. Project manager meetings are calculated in each discipline sheet and brought forward to column D except for Photogrammetry.

Page 8 of 37

#### Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
3a.1	RFI	EA	50	1	50	
3a.2	Shop Drawing Review	LS	8	8	64	
3a.3	Project Management	LS	1	60	60	
3a.4	Meetings	LS	1	0	0	
3a.5	Meetings	LS	1	45	45	
	3. Project Common and	Project Ge	neral Tas	sks Total	219	

3.6 - List of Project Manager Meeti	ngs			
Roadway Analysis	EA	0	0	0
Drainage	EA	0	0	0
Utilities	EA	0	0	0
Environmental	EA	0	0	0
Structures	EA	0	0	0
Signing & Pavement Marking	EA	0	0	0
Landscape Architecture	EA	0	0	0
Survey	EA	0	0	0
Geotechnical	EA	0	0	0
Progress Meetings	EA	15	3	45
Phase Reviews	EA	0	0	0
Field Reviews	EA	0	0	0
Total Project Manager Meetings	A SECTION .	15		45
				Carries to 3.6

#### Notes:

- 1. If the hours per meeting vary in length (hours) enter the average in the hour/unit column.
- 2. Do not double count agency meetings between permitting agencies.
- 3. Project manager meetings are calculated in each discipline sheet and brought forward to column D except for Photogrammetry.

#### Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
3b.1	RFI	EA	25	1	25	
3b.2	Shop Drawing Review	LS	0	0	0	
3b.3	Project Management	LS	1	24	24	
3b.4	Meetings	LS	1	0	0	
3b.5	Meetings	LS	1	6	6	
	3. Project Common and Pro	ject Ge	neral Tas	sks Total	55	

## 3.6 - List of Project Manager Meetings

Roadway Analysis	EA	0	0	0
Drainage	EA	0	0	0
Utilities	EA	0	0	0
Environmental	EA	0	0	0
Structures	EA	0	0	0
Signing & Pavement Marking	EA	0	0	0
Landscape Architecture	EA	0	0	0
Survey	EA	0	0	0
Geotechnical	EA	0	0	0
Progress Meetings	EA	2	3	6

	Units	Unit	Hours
EA	0	0	0
EA	0	0	0
		EA 0	EA 0 0

Carries to 3.6

# Project Activity 4: Roadway Analysis

## Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
4.1	Typical Section Package	LS	1	0	0	Not required, bridge typical section will be shown in structures plans
4.2	Pavement Type Selection Report	LS	1	0	0	
4.3	Pavement Design Package	LS	1	8	8	Developing one pavement design for milling roadway approaches; one pavement design for shoulder/widening at approaches; 2 design x 4 hr/each = 8 hours
4.4	Cross-Slope Correction	LS	1	0	0	Assume none; milling and resurfacing only, no reconstruction
4.5.1	Horizontal /Vertical Master Design Files	LS	1	20	20	Plan view at bridge approaches; does not include developing geopak, driveway details, guardrail or other roadside safety devices
4.5.2	Horizontal /Vertical Master Design Files (skeletal plans)	LS	1	Ō	0	
4.6	Access Management	LS	1	0	0	
4.7	Cross Section Design Files	LS	1	0	0	
4.8	Traffic Control Analysis	LS	1	2	2	Will include notes in plans instructing contractor to develop an MOT plan in accordance with FDOT stds.
4.9	Master TCP Design Files	LS	1	0	0	Not required, MOT plan will be developed on contract plans
4.10	Design Variations and Exceptions	LS	1	0	0	
4.11	Design Report	LS	1	0	0	
4.12	Quantities	LS	1	8	8	
4.13	Cost Estimate	LS	1	0	0	
4.14	Technical Special Provisions	LS	1	0	0	
4.15	Other Roadway Analysis	LS	1	8	8	Checking all files for AutoCAD compliance

StaffhourEstimationForms\_Coconut Isle Dr. Bridge Replacement\_rev06.xls
4 Roadway Analysis Page 12 of 37 5/29/2015

# Project Activity 4: Roadway Analysis

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
Roadway Analysis Technical Subtotal					46	
4.16	Field Reviews	LS	1	12	12	Two field reviews, 2 attendees x (1 hr travel + 2 hr review)
4.17	Technical Meetings	LS	1	12	18	Meetings are listed below
4.18	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	%	5%	2	
4.19	Independent Peer Review	LS	%	0%	0	
4.20	Supervision	LS	%	5%	1	
	Roadway Analys	is Nont	echnical	Subtotal	33	
4.21	Coordination	LS	%	2%	2	
4. Roadway Analysis Total						

Technical Meetings					
Typical Section	EA	0	0	0	
Pavement	EA	0	0	0	
Access Management	EA	0	0	0	
15% Line and Grade	EA	0	0	0	
Driveways	EA	0	0	0	
Local Governments (cities, counties,					
MPO)	EA	0	0	0	
Work Zone Traffic Control	EA	0	0	0	N/A, MOT developed by contractor
30/60/90/100% Comment Review Meetings	EA	0	0	0	,
Other Meetings	EA	0	0	0	
Subtotal Technical Meetings				0	
Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT)	EA	0	0	0	
Phase Review Meetings	EA	3	4	12	

# Project Activity 4: Roadway Analysis

Task No.	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
-------------	-------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------

Total Meetings 12

Carries to 4.17

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3

4. Roadway Analysis Page 14 of 37 5/29/2015

# Project Activity 5: Roadway Plans

## Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Scale	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
5.1	Key Sheet		Sheet	1	4	1	4	Not require, will include any roadway sheets in bridge component.
5.2	Summary of Pay Items Including Quantity Input		Sheet	1	2	1	2	
5.3	Drainage Map (Including Interchanges)		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.4	Typical Section Sheets							
5.4.1	Typical Sections		EA	0	0		0	Bridge typical section included in structure plans, no roadway typicals (match existing)
5.4.2	Typical Section Details		EA	0	0		0	No feathering details, instead show on roadway plan sheets
5.5	General Notes/Pay Item Notes		Sheet	1	3	1	3	Include notes in bridge plans
5.6	Summary of Quantities		Sheet	1	3	1	3	Include quantities in bridge plans
5.7	Box Culvert Data Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.8	Bridge Hydraulics Recommendation Sheets		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.9	Summary of Drainage Structures		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.10	Optional Pipe/Culvert Material		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.11	Project Layout		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.12	Plan/Profile Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	No geopak profile, only details in plan view and/or bridge plans
5.13	Profile Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.14	Plan Sheet		Sheet	2	6	2	12	Two 20'-scale plan sheets
5.15	Special Profile		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.16	Back-of-Sidewalk Profile Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.17	Interchange Layout Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	

# Project Activity 5: Roadway Plans

Task No.	Task	Scale	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
5.18	Ramp Terminal Details (Plan View)		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.19	Intersection Layout Details		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.20	Special Details		EA	1	4		4	Assume replace bridge in kind, no new guardrail/end anchorages details will be proposed bridge railing (existing railing has no protection for drop-off or collision)
5.21	Drainage Structure Sheet (Per Structure)		EA	0	0		0	Assume none, no reconstruction
5.22	Miscellaneous Drainage Detail Sheets		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.23	Lateral Ditch Plan/Profile		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.24	Lateral Ditch Cross Sections		EA	0	0		0	
5.25	Retention/Detention Ponds Detail Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.26	Retention Pond Cross Sections		EA	0	0		0	
5.27	Cross-Section Pattern Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.28	Roadway Soil Survey Sheet		Sheet	1	1	1	1	from geotech firm
5.29	Cross Sections		EA	0	0		0	None, milling and resurfacing only
5.30	Temporary Traffic Control Plan Sheets		Sheet	6	4	6	24	2 phases x 3 plan sheets per phase = 6 sheets x 4 hr/sheet = 24 hours
5.31	Temporary Traffic Control Cross Section Sheets		EA	0	0		0	N/A
5.32	Temporary Traffic Control Detail Sheets		Sheet	3	4	3	12	1 General notes sheet with pay item table; 1 typical section sheet with phasing notes; 1 advanced signage sheet for approaches to Coconut Isle Dr along Las Olas Blvd; 3 sheets x 4 hr/sheet
5.33	Utility Adjustment Sheets		Sheet	2	4	2	8	utility adjustments by others (ex. overhead facilities longitudinal to bridge)
5.34	Selective Clearing and Grubbing		Sheet	0	0	0	0	

# Project Activity 5: Roadway Plans

Task No.	Task	Scale	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
5.35	Erosion Control Plan		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.36	SWPPP		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
5.37	Project Network Control Sheet		Sheet	1	1	1	1	provided by surveyor
5.38	Environmental Detail Sheets		LS	0	0		0	
5.39	Utility Verification Sheet (SUE Data)		Sheet	0	0	0	0	Assume none
		Roadwa	ay Plans	Technica	Subtotal	19	74	
5.40	Quality Assurance/Quality Control		LS	%	5%		4	
5.41	Supervision		LS	%	5%		4	
			5. Ro	adway Pl	ans Total	19	82	

# Project Activity 6: Drainage Analysis

## Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
6.1	Determine Base Clearance Water Elevation	Per Basin	0	0	0	
6.2	Pond Siting Analysis and Report	Per Basin	0	0	0	
6.3	Design of Cross Drains	EA	0	0	0	
6.4	Design of Ditches	Per Ditch Mile	0	0	0	
6.5	Design of Stormwater Management Facility (Offsite or Infield Pond)	EA	0	0	0	
6.6	Design of Stormwater Management Facility (Roadside Ditch as Linear Pond)	Per Cell	0	0	0	
6.7	Design of Flood Plain Compensation	Per Flood-plain Basin	0	0	0	
6.8	Design of Storm Drains	EA	0	0	0	
6.9	Optional Culvert Material	LS	0	0	0	
6.10	French Drain Systems	Per Cell	0	0	0	
6.11	Drainage Wells	EA	0	0	0	
6.12	Drainage Design Documentation Report	LS	1	0	0	Not required, no additional impervious, maintain same drainage pattern
6.13	Bridge Hydraulic Report	EA	0	0	0	
6.14	Temporary Drainage Analysis	LS	1	0	0	
6.15	Cost Estimate	LS	1	0	0	
6.16	Technical Special Provisions	LS	1	0	0	
6.17	Other Drainage Analysis	LS	1	0	0	

# Project Activity 6: Drainage Analysis

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
		Subtotal	0			
6.18	Field Reviews	LS	1	0	0	
6.19	Technical Meetings	LS	1	0	0	Meetings are listed below
6.20	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	%	5%	0	
6.21	Independent Peer Review	LS	%	0%	0	
6.22	Supervision	LS	%	5%	0	
	Drai	nage Analysis Nont	echnical	Subtotal	0	
6.23	Coordination	LS	%	2%	0	
		6. Draina	ge Analy	sis Total	0	

Technical Meetings					
Base Clearance Water Elevation	EA	0	0	0	
Pond Siting	EA	0	0	0	
Agency	EA	0	0	0	N/A, no changes in drainage patterns
Local Governments (cities, counties)	EA	0	0	0	
FDOT Drainage	EA	0	0	0	
Other Meetings	EA	0	0	0	
Subtotal Technical Meetings	-32			0	
Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT)	EA	0	0	0	
Phase Review Meetings	EA	0	0	0	
Total Meetings				0	
entrante, entra circus de los manus de antre ( les) est estrectes ( il orde ( il activitationes ) est indi-				Carries to 6	19

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3

# **Project Activity 7: Utilities**

## Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	Comments
7.1	Kickoff Meeting	LS	1	4	4	Meeting with City after NTP re: anticipated utility coordination effort; 2 attendees x 1 meeting x (1 hr travel + 1 hr meeting)
7.2	Identify Existing UAO(s)	LS	1	6	6	Includes Research Time (office and field time) and travel time. Identify all utilities in the corridor; review prior utility permits, reports, existing plans and surveys provided. Identification shall include type, size, capacity (transmission or distribution for gas or power), Contact Sunshine 811 and perform a field visit. Design ticket indicates 6 UAOs, including Broward County OES (Water/Sewer), Comcast Cable, City of Ft. Lauderdale, FPL Distribution, TECO Peoples Gas, AT&T Florida (Dist)

# **Project Activity 7: Utilities**

# Project Activity 7: Utilities

7.5	Preliminary Utility Meeting	LS	1	10	10	2 hrs pre-meeting preparation time + 2 attendees x (1 hr travel time + 2 hr meeting duration) + 2 hr preparation of minutes. Schedule (time and place), notify participants, and conduct a preliminary utility meeting with all affected UAO(s) for the purpose of presenting the project, review the current design schedule, evaluate the utility information collected, provide follow-up information on compensable property rights, discuss the utility work by highway contractor option with each utility, and discuss any future design issues that may impact utilities. This is also an opportunity for the UAOs to present proposed facilities. Prepare accurate minutes and distribute a copy to all attendees.
7.6	Individual/Field Meetings	LS	1	16	16	2 meetings with UAOs individually/in-field x [(2 attendees x (1 hr travel + 2 hr meeting)+ 2-hr followup]
7.7	Collect and Review Plans and Data from UAO(s)	LS	1	12	12	6 UAOs x 2 hr/UAO = 12 hours; Review utility marked plans and data individually as they are received for content. Ensure information from the UAO (utility type, material and size) is included in the plans. Forward all requests for utility reimbursement and supporting documentation to the City
7.8	Subordination of Easements Coordination	LS	1	0	0	N/A

# **Project Activity 7: Utilities**

7.9	Utility Design Meeting	LS	1	8	8	2 hours pre-meeting preparation time + 2 attendees x (1 hr travel time + 2 hr meeting duration) + 1 hr preparation of minutes. The Consultant shall schedule (time and place), notify participants, and conduct a Utility meeting with all affected UAOs. Discuss maintenance of traffic (construction phasing), review the current design schedule and letting date, evaluate the utility information collected, provide follow-up information on compensable property rights, discuss with each UAO the utility work by highway contractor option, discuss any future design issues that may impact utilities, etc., to the extent that they may have an effect on existing or proposed utility facilities with particular emphasis on drainage and maintenance of traffic with each UAO.  The intent of this meeting shall be to assist the UAOs in identifying and resolving conflicts between utilities and proposed construction prior to completion of the plans, including utility adjustment details. Also to work with the UAOs to recommend potential resolution between known utility conflicts with proposed construction plans as may be deemed practical by the UAO. Provide accurate minutes and distribute a copy to all attendees within 3 days. See Task 4.5.1 for utility conflict location identification and adjustments.
7.10	Review Utility Markups & Work Schedules, and Processing of Schedules & Agreements	LS	1	12	12	2 hr per UAO document x 6 UAO x 1 doc per UAO (i.e. work schedule) = 12 hours; Review utility marked up plans and work schedules as they are received for content, and coordinate review with the design. Based on documentation received from the UAO, ensure resolution between UWS conflicts and the proposed construction plans/schedule.

StaffhourEstimationForms\_Coconut Isle Dr. Bridge Replacement\_rev06.xls

7. Utilities Page 23 of 37 5/29/2015

## Project Activity 7: Utilities

7.11	Utility Coordination/Followup  Utility Constructability Review	LS	1	12	12	interpreting plans, and assisting and the completion of the UAO's work schedule and agreements. Includes phone calls, face-to-face meetings, etc., to motivate and ensure the UAO(s) complete and return the required documents in accordance with the project schedule. Ensure the resolution of all known conflicts. This task can be applied to all phases of the project. The Consultant shall keep accurate minutes of all meetings and distribute a copy to all attendees.  6 UAOs x 1 hr each
7.12						
7.13	Additional Utility Services	LS	1	0	0	N/A
7.14	Processing Utility Work by Highway Contractor (UWHC)	LS	1	0	0	Not anticipated
7.15	Contract Plans to UAO(s)	LS	1	0	0	N/A
7.16	Certification/Close-Out	LS	1	4	4	
7.17	Other Utilities	LS	1	0	0	N/A
			tilities Total	102		

## **Project Activity 7: Utilities**

Technical Meetings		
Kickoff	EA	1
Preliminary Meeting	EA	0
Individual UAO Meetings	EA	0
Field Meetings	EA	2
Design Meeting	EA	1
Other Meetings	EA	0
Total Technical Meetings		4

## Project Activity 9: Structures Summary and Miscellaneous Tasks and Drawings

### Estimator:

Task		Units	Desig	n and Prod	uction Staf	fhours					
No.	Task		No. of Units	Hours per Unit	No. of Sheets	Total			Comment	S	
	General Drawings										
9.1	Key Sheet and Index of Drawings	Sheet	1	8	1	8					
9.2	Project Layout	Sheet	1	8	1	8					
9.3	General Notes and Bid Item Notes	Sheet	1	8	1	8					
9.4	Miscellaneous Common Details	Sheet	1	0	1	0					
9.5	Incorporate Report of Core Borings	Sheet	1	0.5	1	0.5					
9.6	Existing Bridge Plans	LS	1	4		4					
9.7	Assemble Plan Summary Boxes and Quantities	LS	0	0		0					
9.8	Cost Estimate	LS	1	12		12					
9.9	Technical Special Provisions	LS	1	0		0					
Stru	ictures - Summary and Miscellaneous and Drawings S				5	40.5					
Task No.	Task	Total	Task 10	Task 11	Task 12	Task 13	Task 14	Task 15	Task 16	Task 17	Task 18
10-16	Bridge 1	503	112	44	347						
10-16	Bridge 2	0									
10-16	Bridge 3	0									
17	Retaining Walls	146								146	
18	Miscellaneous Structures	0									
	Structures Technical Subtotals	649	112	44	347	0	0	0	0	146	0
Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours per Unit	Total			Cor	nments		
9.10	Field Reviews	LS	1	0	0						

## Project Activity 9: Structures Summary and Miscellaneous Tasks and Drawings

9.11	Technical Meetings	LS	1	13	13	Meetings are listed below
9.12	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	%	5%	34	
9.13	Independent Peer Review	LS	1	0	0	
9.14	Supervision	LS	%	5%	34	
	Structures Nontechnical Subtotal				81	
9.15	Coordination	LS	1	2	2	
	Structures - Summary and Miscell     Tasks and Drawings Nontechn     Coordination	ical and			123.5	

Technical Meetings				
BDR Coordination/Review	EA	1	1	1
90/100% Comment Review	EA	3	2	6
Aesthetics Coordination	EA	3	2	6
Regulatory Agency	EA	0	0	0
Local Governments (cities, counties)	EA ·	0	0	0
Utility Companies	EA	0	0	0
Other Meetings	EA	0	0	0
Subtotal Technical Meetings		A PAGE		13
Progress Meetings	EA	0	0	0
Phase Review Meetings	EA	0	0	0
Total Meetings				13
100 milestatus vai – milestat medicat discussion <del>- a</del> utori				Carries to 9.11

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3.

Page 27 of 37

## Project Activity 10: BDR

Estimator:

Bridge Identifier (Number or Name):

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
	General Requirement						
10.1	Bridge Geometry	LS	1	16		16	
10.2	Ship Impact Data Collection	LS	1	0		0	
10.3	Ship Impact Criteria	EA	0	0		0	
114	Superstructure Alternatives						
10.4	Short Span Concrete Bridge	EA ALT	1	8		8	
10.5	Medium Span Concrete Bridge	EA ALT	0	0		0	
10.6	Long Span Concrete Bridge	EA ALT	0	0		0	
10.7	Structural Steel Bridge	EA ALT	0	0		0	
9	Foundation & Substructure Alternatives						
10.8	Pier/Bent	EA Type	0	0		0	
10.9	Shallow Foundations / GRS Abutments	EA Type	0	0		0	
10.10	Deep Foundations	EA Foundation Evaluated	1	16		16	
	Movable Span						
10.11	Data Collection and Design Criteria	LS	1	0		0	
10.12	Movable Span Geometrics and Clearances	LS	1	0		0	
10.13	Deck System Evaluation	LS	1	0		0	
10.14	Framing Plan Development	LS	1	0		0	
10.15	Main Girder Preliminary Design	LS	1	0		0	
10.16	Conceptual Span Balance/Counterweight	LS	1	0		0	
10.17	Support System Development	LS	1	0		0	
10.18	Drive Power Calculations	LS	1	0		0	
10.19	Drive System Development	LS	1	0		0	
10.20	Power and Control Development	LS	1	0		0	
10.21	Conceptual Pier Design	LS	1	0		0	

## Project Activity 10: BDR

Task No.	Task	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
10.22	Foundation Analysis (FL PIER)	LS	1	0		0	
10.23	Tender Visibility Study	LS	1	0		0	
	Other BDR Issues						
10.24	Aesthetics	LS	1	8		8	
10.25	TCP/Staged Construction Requirements	LS	1	16		16	
10.26	Constructibility Requirements	LS	1	16		16	
10.27	Load Rating for damaged/widened structures	EA Unit	1	0		0	
10.28	Quantity and Cost Estimates	EA ALT	1	16		16	
10.29	Quantity and Cost Estimates - Movable Span	LS	1	0		0	
10.30	Wall Type Justification	LS	1	16		16	
	Report Preparation						No. 1 and the second se
10.31	Exhibits	EA SHT	0	0		0	
10.32	Exhibits - Movable Span	EA SHT	0	0		0	
10.33	Report Preparation	LS	1	0		0	
10.34	Report Preparation - Movable Span	LS	1	0		0	
10.35	BDR Submittal Package	LS	1	0		0	
	10. Structures - Brid	lge Develop	ment Rep	oort Total		112	

When ONLY 30% plans are final deliverable, use Task Nos. as shown for applicable bridge types for project Activities 12 thru 16. Staffhours to be negotiated and scaled appropriately.

# Project Activity 11: Temporary Bridge

## Estimator:

Bridge Identifier (Number or Name):

Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
	General Layout Design and Plans [Task	s under Activity	/ 11 are	for Prefab	ricated Te	emporary	Bridges only]
11.1	Overall Bridge Final Geometry	LS	1	4		4	
11.2	General Plan and Elevation	Sheet	1	16	1	16	
11.3	Miscellaneous Details	Sheet	1	16	1	16	
	End Bent Design and Plans						
11.4	End Bent Structural Design	EA Design	0	8		0	
11.5	End Bent Details	Sheet	1	8	1	8	
	Intermediate Bent Design and Plans						
11.6	Intermediate Bent Structural Design	EA Design	0	0		0	
11.7	Intermediate Bent Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
	Miscellaneous Substructure Design an	d Plans					
11.8	Foundation Layout	EA	0	16		0	
		11. Tempo	orary Bri	idge Total	3	44	

## Project Activity 12: Structures- Short Span Concrete

### Estimator:

Bridge Identifier (Number or Name):

Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments					
	General Layout Design and Plans											
12.1	Overall Bridge Final Geometry	LS	1	16		16						
12.2	Expansion/Contraction Analysis	EA Unit	1	1		1						
12.3	General Plan and Elevation	Sheet	1	30	1	30						
12.4	Construction Staging	Sheet	1	32	1	32	Difficult MOT (1 lane with Flagman)					
12.5	Approach Slab Plan and Details	Sheet	1	8	1	8						
12.6	Miscellaneous Details	Sheet	1	12	1	12	Utility attachment					
	End Bent Design and Plans											
12.7	End Bent Geometry	EA End Bent	1	8		8						
12.8	End Bent Structural Design	EA Design	1	24		24						
12.9	End Bent Plan and Elevation	Sheet	2	16	2	32						
12.10	End Bent Details	Sheet	1	16	1	16						
	Intermediate Bent Design and Plans											
12.11	Bent Geometry	EA Bent	0	0		0						
12.12	Bent Stability Analysis	EA Analysis	0	0		0						
12.13	Bent Structural Design	EA Design	0	0		0						
12.14	Bent Plan and Elevation	Sheet	0	0	0	0						
12.15	Bent Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0						
	Miscellaneous Substructure Design a	nd Plans										
12.16	Foundation Layout	Sheet	1	24	1	24						
	Miscellaneous Superstructure Design	and Plans										
12.17	Finish Grade Elevation Calculation	LS	1	16		16						
12.18	Finish Grade Elevations	Sheet	1	12	1	12						

## Project Activity 12: Structures- Short Span Concrete

Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments				
	Cast-in-Place Slab Bridges										
12.19	Bridge Deck Design	EA Unit	0	0		0					
12.20	Superstructure Plan	Sheet	0	0	0	0					
12.21		Sheet	0	0	0	0					
	Prestressed Slab Unit Bridges										
12.22	Prestressed Slab Unit Design	EA Design	1	16		16					
12.23	Prestressed Slab Unit Layout	Sheet	1	16	1	16					
12.24	Prestressed Slab Unit Details and Schedule	Sheet	1	24	1	24					
12.25	Deck Topping Reinforcing Layout	Sheet	1	16	1	16					
12.26	Superstructure Sections and Details	Sheet	1	16	1	16					
	Reinforcing Bar List										
12.27	Preparation of Reinforcing Bar List	Sheet	1	12	1	12					
WANTE											
12.28	Load Ratings	EA Unit	1	16		16					
2476	12. Structures - Sh	ort Span Con	crete Bri	dge Total	14	347					

# Project Activity 17: Retaining Walls

## Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Unit	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
	General Requirements						
17.1	Key Sheet	Sheet	1	4	1	4	
17.2	Horizontal Wall Geometry	Per Wall	2	16		32	
	Permanent Proprietary Walls						
17.3	Vertical Wall Geometry	Per Wall	0	0		0	
17.4	Semi-Standard Drawings	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.5	Wall Plan and Elevations (Control Drawings)	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.6	Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
	Temporary Proprietary Walls						
17.7	Vertical Wall Geometry	Per Wall	0	0		0	
17.8	Semi-Standard Drawings	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.9	Wall Plan and Elevations (Control Drawings)	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.10	Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
	Cast-in-Place Retaining Walls						
17.11	Design	EA Design	0	0		0	
17.12	Vertical Wall Geometry	EA Wall	0	0		0	
17.13	General Notes	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.14	Wall Plan and Elevations (Control Drawings)	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.15	Sections and Details	Sheet	0	0	0	0	
17.16	Reinforcing Bar List	Sheet	0	0	0	0	

# Project Activity 17: Retaining Walls

Task No.	Task	Unit	No. of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Secretary Company of the Company	Comments
	Other Retaining Walls and Bulkheads						
17.17	Design	EA Design	1	32		32	
17.18	Vertical Wall Geometry	EA Wall	2	16		32	
17.19	General Notes, Tables and Misc. Details	Sheet	1	12	1	12	
17.20	Wall Plan and Elevations	Sheet	2	12	2	24	
17.21	Details	Sheet	1	10	1	10	
	17. Struc	tures - Retain	ing Wa	lls Total	5	146	

# Project Activity 19: Signing and Pavement Marking Analysis

## Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Units	Total Hours	Comments
19.1	Traffic Data Analysis	LS	1	0	0	N/A
19.2	No Passing Zone Study	LS	1	0	0	N/A
19.3	Reference and Master Design File	LS	1	0	0	No S&PM design, include notes only in plans to match existing striping
19.4	Multi-Post Sign Support Calculations	EA	1	0	0	N/A
19.5	Sign Panel Design Analysis	EA	1	0	0	N/A
19.6	Sign Lighting/Electrical Calculations	EA	1	0	0	N/A
19.7	Quantities	LS	1	2	2	
19.8	Cost Estimate	LS	1	0	0	
19.9	Technical Special Provisions	LS	1	0	0	
19.10	Other Signing and Pavement Marking	LS	1	0	0	
	Signing and Pavement Marking Analy	sis Te	chnical	Subtotal	2	
19.11	Field Reviews	LS	1	0	0	Include in roadway reviews
19.12	Technical Meetings	LS	1	0	0	Meetings are listed below
19.13	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	LS	%	5%	0	
19.14	Independent Peer Review	LS	%	0%	0	
19.15	Supervision	LS	%	5%	0	
Signing and Pavement Marking Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal				Subtotal	0	
19.16	Coordination	LS	%	2%	0	
	19. Signing and Pavement	Markin	g Analy	sis Total	2	

# Project Activity 19: Signing and Pavement Marking Analysis

k Task	Units	No. of Units	Hours/ Units	Total Hours	Comments
Technical Meetings					
Sign Panel Design	EA	0	0	0	
Queue Length Analysis	EA	0	0	0	
Local Governments (cities, counties)	EA	0	0	0	
Other Meetings	EA	0	0	0	
Subtotal Technical Meetings				0	
Progress Meetings	EA	0	0	0	
Phase Review Meetings	EA	0	0	0	
Total Meetings				0	
And the state of t				Carries to 19.12	

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3

# Project Activity 20: Signing and Pavement Marking Plans

### Estimator:

Task No.	Task	Scale	Units	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	No. of Sheets	Total Hours	Comments
20.1	Key Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	No key sheet required, no S&PM plan component
20.2	Summary of Pay Items Including TRNS•Port Input		LS	1	0		0	
20.3	Tabulation of Quantities		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
20.4	General Notes/Pay Item Notes		Sheet	1	2	1	2	For providing notes to structural design for inclusion in bridge general notes
20.5	Project Layout		Sheet	0	0	0	0	
20.6	Plan Sheet		Sheet	1	4	1	4	For Providing layout for inclusion on General Plan and Elevation
20.7	Typical Details		EA	0	0		0	
20.8	Guide Sign Worksheet(s)		EA	0	0		0	
20.9	Traffic Monitoring Site		EA	0	0		0	
20.10	Cross Sections		EA	0	0		0	
20.11	Special Service Point Details		EA	0	0		0	,
20.12	Special Details		LS	1	0		0	
20.13	Interim Standards		LS	1	0		0	
	Signing and Pavement M	arking F	Plans Te	chnica	Subtotal	2	6	America de la constante de la
20.14	Quality Assurance/Quality Control		LS	%	5%		0	
20.15	Supervision		LS	%	5%		0	
	20. Signing and	d Paven	nent Ma	rking P	ans Total	2	6	

# Exhibit 4 - Sub-Consultant Fee Schedule



May 25, 2015 (Revised)

Mr. Ron Sanchez, PE Hardesty & Hanover 1000 Sawgrass Corporate Parkway, Suite 544 Sunrise, FL 33323

Re: Coconut Isle Bridge Fee Proposal

**Environmental Permitting Services** 

City of Fort Lauderdale

Dear Mr. Sanchez:

Cyriacks Environmental Consulting Services, Inc. (CECOS) is pleased to submit this proposal to provide environmental permitting services for the subject project. Our project understanding, scope of work, fee and schedule are provided below.

### Understanding of Project/Scope

The project involves replacement of the low level Coconut Isle Bridge in Fort Lauderdale with a similar structure. It is assumed that this structure is not considered eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. The structure may be slightly wider to accommodate sidewalks and the seawalls will be replaced. A temporary (acrow) bridge is being considered. CECOS will be responsible for assisting in the environmental permitting effort for this bridge replacement project. Our scope of work is detailed below:

## 1.0 Data Collection & Benthic Survey

This task involves conducting basic data collection activities including literature review for listed species, permit file review, aerial and GIS map review. A field review of the project site will be conducted including a marine benthic survey. The marine benthic survey is required due to the potential for seagrass to be present including the federally listed species *Halophia johnsonii*. Utilizing SCUBA, we will survey the area under the bridge and 50 feet to either side. Seagrass will be delineated using a sub-meter GPS unit and other benthic resources will be noted if present (I.e., oysters). A seagrass map will be prepared on an aerial at sufficient scale for permitting. The GIS file will be provided to H&H for preparation of the permit sketches and impact calculations.

## 2.0 Prepare Permit Application

CECOS, in association with H&H, will prepare and track the required environmental permit applications. CECOS will prepare the application packages with input from H&H. Engineering information, including permit sketches and drainage detail will be prepared and provided by H&H. The following permits are anticipated:

Agency	Permit	Purpose
SFWMD	Environmental Resource Permit/	Required for the bridge replacement (Stormwater management system & impact to Waters of the State)
USACE	Nationwide permit	Required for the bridge replacement (impact to Waters of the US)
USCG	Advanced Approval	Required for the bridge replacement in navigable/tidal waters
BC EPGMD	Wetland License	Required for the bridge replacement (impact to resources of Broward County)
BC EPGMD	Stormwater License	Required for the bridge replacement (Stormwater management system)

#### South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) Environmental Resource Permit (ERP)

This task involves preparing the SWERP application. CECOS will prepare the permit package including application forms, support documentation and maps. H&H will prepare permit sketches (CECOS will provide GIS files delineating benthic resources) and provide all engineering information. CECOS will package and submit application package via ePermitting. In association with H&H, we will respond to agency Request for Additional Information (RAI) (one RAI). CECOS will coordinate with agency reviewer(s) and track status of application.

No mitigation is contemplated as being required. If agencies request mitigation then this is considered optional services. Based on the location of the bridge, it is anticipated that the submerged lands are owned by the City of Fort Lauderdale, as such a Sovereign Submerged Lands (SSL) Easement from the State is not required. CECOS will coordinate with the City to obtain ownership information of the submerged lands. This fee does not include preparing sketches and documentation to obtain a Sovereign Submerged Lands (SSL) easement.

### US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Section 404 Dredge-Fill Permit

It is anticipated that this project will qualify for a Nationwide Permit. We will submit the SWERP application and the USACE Environmental Impact Worksheets. We will prepare the USACE Checklist of Information Needed to Complete Section 7 Consultations and required EFH documentation. The permit application package will be submitted to the USACE Regulatory Office. CECOS will coordinate with USACE track status of application.

No mitigation is contemplated as being required. If agencies request mitigation then this is considered optional services. If Section 7 consultation with NMFS is required due to potential impacts to Johnson's seagrass or other federally listed species (swimming sea turtles, small toothed sawfish), optional services will be required to the address the additional ESA. If impacts to EFH occur optional services will be required to address impacts and develop mitigation plan.

Cyriacks Environmental Consulting Services, Inc 3001 SW 15<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite B Deerfield Beach, FL 33442 954.571.0290 Fax: 954.480.6692

### US Coast Guard (USCG) Bridge Permit

It is anticipated that project will not require a bridge permit. In order to obtain this determination (Advanced Approval), CECOS will prepare a Bridge Project Questionnaire and submit to the USCG. This task includes the effort necessary to complete this package and to coordinate with the USCG. This task does not include preparing a Bridge application.

Broward County Environmental Protection & Growth Management Department (BC EPGMD) Wetland License

We will prepare the permit application along with all required support document in accordance with Broward County regulations. CECOS will prepare permit application, in conjunction with H&H, respond to one RAI, coordinate with permit reviewer and track permit status. H&H will prepare permit sketches, design plans and calculations. Additional RAIs will be optional services. No mitigation is contemplated as being required. If agencies request mitigation then this is considered Additional Services.

### BC EPGMD Stormwater License

We will prepare the permit application along with all required support document in accordance with Broward County regulations. CECOS will prepare permit application, in conjunction with H&H, respond to one RAI, coordinate with permit reviewer and track permit status. H&H will prepare design plans, drainage and calculations. Additional RAIs will be Additional SServices.

## 3.0 Agency Coordination/Meetings

#### **Pre-Application Meetings**

This task includes scheduling, preparation and attendance at the pre-application meetings with the permitting agencies. This task also includes preparing meeting minutes from the pre-application meeting. Three pre-application meetings are proposed and will include: Meeting 1 - SFWMD, USACE and NMFS; Meeting 2 – BC EPGMD Wetlands and Stormwater Divisions; Meeting 3 – USCG.

No follow up meetings are anticipated with the agencies to discuss RAI responses. Additional meetings would be considered Additional services.

### 4.0 Project Coordination, Meetings, Scheduling

Includes attendance at one Team meeting and project coordination (up to 12 hours) including teleconferences to discuss permit status; schedule updates, internal coordination, invoicing, file management and organization, progress reports and general project management activities.

#### Estimated Fee Summary

The lump sum (LS) fee to complete the identified scope of work is \$25,712. This fee includes all labor and expenses (except permit fees). Permit fees are to be paid by others.

#### **Additional Services**

If not identified in our above scope of work additional services would be required for the following services but not limited to the services listed below. Many of these activities are dependent on the results of the marine benthic survey and the results of agency coordination.

- Development of a wetland mitigation plan. If wetlands (seagrass, mangroves, etc) are determined to be
  present and proposed to be impacted this will be considered a change to existing conditions and will require
  additional services.
- Conducting UMAM analysis (or similar) for impacts to seagrass and mitigation.
- Preparation of a State Sovereign Submerged Land (SSL) Easement.
- Detailed Barge Plan.
- Section 7 consultation for impact to Johnson's seagrass.
- Conduct a Cultural resources survey.
- Prepare ESBA & conduct formal Section 7 consultation with NMFS for impacts to Johnson's seagrass, swimming sea turtles, small toothed sawfish or other federally listed species.
- Prepare EFH & conduct consultation with NMFS.
- Additional meetings with agencies
- Prepare a USCG permit.
- Prepare a Dewatering permit.
- Permit Close-out services.
- Post Design services.

CECOS can provide these services for an additional fee. Please note these services are not included in the above LS fee and would be additional services. These additional services would be negotiated at the time requested.

We are very excited about the opportunity to work with you on this project. Please contact me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Very truly yours,

Cyriacks Environmental Consulting Services, Inc.

Wendy Cyriacks
Wendy Cyriacks



Revised March 23, 2015 March 4, 2015

Hardesty & Hanover 1000 Sawgrass Corporate Parkway, Suite 544 Sunrise, Florida 33323

Attn: Mr. Ron Sanchez, P.E., Project Manager

Re: Proposal for Geotechnical Services

South Ocean Drive Bridge Replacement

Ft. Lauderdale, Florida TSF Proposal No.: 1503-099

#### Dear Ron:

As requested, **Tierra South Florida**, **Inc.** (**TSF**) is pleased to submit this proposal for the above-referenced project. The proposal is based on information provided by Hardesty & Hanover.

It is our understanding that the existing 40 foot span bridge will be replaced with a new bridge along with associated roadway approach improvements.

This proposal includes an outline of our proposed scope of work, an estimate of the total fees, and our anticipated schedule for completion of the work.

## PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK

All work performed by TSF will be in general accordance with Broward County and FDOT standards. As requested, based on our understanding of the project, we propose perform the following:

## **Bridge Replacement:**

 A total of 2 Standard Penetration Test (SPT) borings to a depth of 100 feet below existing grade. Perform SPT sampling at 2.5 feet interval (as required by FDOT guidelines). Lane closure will be required to perform the borings. Hardesty & Hanover TSF Proposal No. 1503-099 Page 2

Boring locations will be approximately located in the field by our personnel by using hand held GPS and measuring distances with a tape from known reference points. We recommend as-drilled boring locations be survey located by others.

Prior to drilling at the project site, TSF will notify the local utility companies and request that underground utilities be marked. Our experience, however, is that the utility companies will not mark privately owned utilities. Our proposal assumes that private utility lines will be located in the field by others prior to mobilization of the drill rig.

Upon completion of the field exploration, laboratory testing will be performed on selected samples. The study will be summarized in accordance with the FDOT's Soils and Foundations handbook, 2014.

A geotechnical engineer will evaluate the results of all drilling and laboratory testing. A report will be issued that contains the exploration data, a discussion of the site and subsurface conditions, recommendations for foundation, and a discussion of some construction considerations.

#### ESTIMATED FEES

It is proposed that the fee for the performance of the services outlined above be determined on a unit price basis in accordance with the attached Fee Schedule, and that the work be performed pursuant to TSF General Conditions enclosed herewith and incorporated into this proposal.

Our estimate covers the work needed to present our findings and recommendations in a formal report. Not included are reviews of drawings, preparation of construction specifications, special conferences and any other work requested after submittal of our report.

Boring, sampling, and testing requirements are a function of the subsurface conditions encountered. Therefore, the estimated fee previously indicated is approximate, and compensation for the exploration will be based on the actual work and tests performed. We will endeavor to keep the exploration cost at a minimum consisting with good engineering practice.

### SCHEDULE AND AUTHORIZATION

TSF will proceed with the work after receipt of a signed copy of this proposal. With our present schedule, we can commence work within several days of project approval (weather permitting and permit approval). The fieldwork will take about 3 to 4 days to complete. The written report can be submitted about 4 weeks after completion of the field exploration, depending on the extent of the laboratory-testing program. Verbal preliminary recommendations can be made to appropriate parties prior to submittal of the written report.

We at TSF appreciate the opportunity to submit this proposal and look forward to working with you on this project. If you should have any questions concerning our proposal, please contact our office.

Respectfully submitted,

TIERRA SOUTH FLORIDA, INC.

Raj Krishnasamy, P.E.

President/Principal Engineer

Attachments: 1. Fee Estimate for Bridge Replacement

AUTHORIZED BY:	INVOICE TO:
Name:	Firm:
Title:	Name:
Date:	Address:

#### Hardesty & Hanover TSF Proposal No. 1503-099 Page 4

#### Tierra South Florida's General Conditions

- 1. SCOPE OF WORK: Work means the specific geotechnical, analytical, testing or other service to be performed by Tierra South Florida, Inc. (TSF) as set forth in TSF's proposal, Client's acceptance of the scope of work and these General Conditions. Additional work ordered by Client shall also be subject to these General Conditions. "Client" refers to the person or business entity ordering the work to be done by TSF. Client shall communicate these General Conditions to each and every third party to whom Client transmits any part of TSF's work. TSF shall have no duty or obligation to any third party greater than that set forth in TSF's proposal, Client's acceptance of TSF's proposal and these General Conditions. The ordering of work from TSF, or the reliance on any of TSF's work, shall represent acceptance of the terms of TSF's proposal and these General Conditions.
- 2. RIGHT-OF-ENTRY -The client will provide right-of-entry for TSF and all necessary equipment in order to complete the work. While TSF will take all reasonable precautions to minimize any damage to the property, it is understood by Client that in the normal course of work some damage may occur, the correction of which is not part of this agreement.
- 3. DAMAGE TO EXISTING MAN-MADE OBJECTS -The Client, will provide the location of all underground utilities or obstructions to TSF who, in the prosecution of their work, will take all reasonable precautions to avoid damage or injury to any such subterranean structure or utility. The Owner agrees to hold TSF harmless for any damages to subterranean structures which are not called to TSF attention and correctly shown on the plans furnished and will reimburse TSF for any expenses in connection with any claims or suits including reasonable attorney fees at the trial and appellate levels.
- 4. IN-PLACE MATERIALS TESTING -TSF will not be responsible for repair or damage to portions of structures designated for in-place materials testing. Repairs can be made for aesthetic reasons if requested in advance of the work to be performed. The cost for labor and materials would be charged.
- 5. SAMPLE RETENTION -TSF will retain all soil and rock samples obtained for geotechnical explorations for 30 days. Samples subjected to Construction Materials and Laboratory testing are disposed of subsequent to testing. Further storage or transfer of samples can be made at Client's expense upon written authorization.
- DEFINITION OF RESPONSIBILITY (OBSERVATION SERVICES) The presence of our field representative will be for the purpose of providing observation and field testing. Our work does not include supervision or direction of the actual work of the contractor, his employees or agents. The contractor for this project should be so advised.
  - 6.1. The Contractor should also be informed that neither the presence of our field representative or the observation and testing by our firm shall excuse him in any way for defects discovered in his work. It is understood that TSF will not be responsible for the Contractor's job or site safety on his project. That will be the sole responsibility of the contractor.
- STANDARD OF CARE Service performed by TSF under this Agreement will be conducted in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing under similar conditions. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.
  - 7.1. Client recognizes that subsurface conditions may vary from those encountered at the location where borings, surveys or explorations are made by TSF and that the data, interpretations and recommendations of TSF are based solely on the information available to it. TSF shall not be responsible for the interpretation by others of information developed
- 8. ORAL AGREEMENTS -No oral agreement, guarantee, promise, representation or warranty shall be binding
- OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS -All reports, boring logs, field data and notes, laboratory test data, calculations, estimates and other documents prepared by TSF, as instruments of service, shall remain the property of TSF until final payment is received and a letter of copyright transfer been executed.
- 10. BASIS OF PAYMENT Payment is due within 30 days of date of invoice. Payments not made when due shall bear interest at eighteen (18) percent annum or at the maximum rate allowed by law from the date of the invoice until same is paid.
  - 10.1. If the Client fails to make any payment due to TSF for service and/or expenses within 60 days of date of invoice, TSF may, after giving seven days' written notice to Client, suspend services until all outstanding amounts have been paid to TSF in full. Further, TSF may, in addition to withholding services, or singularly, withhold reports, plans and other documents not paid in full by the Client. In the event that final payment for completed work is not made, TSF shall request that all copyrighted documents which were submitted to client be returned and all information used in project plans be removed from project documents.
  - 10.2. In the event it is necessary to take legal action to effect collection, whether or not litigation is commenced, the Client agrees to reimburse TSF for expenses in connection with any claims or suits, including reasonable attorney's fees, including but not limited to the trial and appellate levels.
  - 10.3. This contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Florida.
- 11. CONSTRUCTION REVIEW TSF cannot accept responsibility for any design work unless the work includes services for construction review to determine whether or not the work performed is in substantial compliance with TSF's conclusions and recommendations.
- 12. INDEMNIFICATION -TSF agrees to hold harmless and indemnify Client from and against liability arising out of TSF's negligent performance of the work. Client agrees to indemnify and hold TSF harmless from all liability including all costs, attorney's fees and expenses of defense for any claims by any other person or corporation which may arise out of the performance or breach of this contract for which TSF was not solely negligent.
- 13. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY The Client/Owner agrees to limit TSF liability for negligent professional acts, errors or omissions, such that the total aggregate liability of TSF shall not exceed \$50,000 or the total fee for the services rendered on this project; whichever is greater. The Owner further agrees to require the contractor and his subcontractors a similar limitation of liability suffered by the contractor or the subcontractors arising from TSF negligent professional acts, errors or omissions.
  - 13.1. If Client prefers to have higher limits on professional liability, TSF agrees to increase the limits up to a maximum of \$1,000,000 upon Client's written request at the time of accepting our proposal provided that Client agrees to pay an additional consideration of 5 percent of our total fee. The additional charge for the higher liability limits is because of the greater risk assumed and is not strictly a charge for additional professional liability insurance.
- 14. INSURANCE -TSF represents and warrants that it and its agents, staff and consultants employed by it are protected by Worker's Compensation insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance in conformance with applicable state laws. TSF has such coverage under public liability and property damage insurance policies that TSF deems to be adequate. A Certificate of Insurance can be supplied evidencing such coverage upon request.
  - 14.1. Within the limits and conditions of such insurance, TSF agrees to indemnify and save client harmless from and against any loss, damage or liability arising from any negligent acts by TSF, its agents, staff and consultants employed by it. TSF shall not be responsible for any loss, damage or liability arising from any acts by clients, its agents, staff and other consultants employed by it.
  - 14.2. Cost of the above coverage is included in our quoted fees. If additional coverage or increased limits of liability are required, TSF will endeavor to obtain the requested insurance and charge separately for costs associated with additional coverage or increased limits.
- 15. TERMINATION -This agreement may be terminated by either party upon seven days written notice in the event of substantial failure by the other party to perform in accordance with the terms thereof. Such termination shall not be effective if the substantial failure has been remedied before expiration of the period specified in the written notice. In the event of termination, TSF shall be paid for services performed to the termination notice date plus reasonable termination expenses.
  - 15.1. In the event of termination or suspension for more than three months, prior to completion of all reports contemplated by this Agreement, TSF may complete a report on the services performed to the date of notice of termination or suspension. The expenses of termination or suspension shall include all direct costs for TSF in completing such analyses, records and reports.
- 16. CLIENT'S OBLIGATION TO NOTIFY TSF Client represents and warrants that it has advised TSF of any known or suspected hazardous materials or conditions, utility lines and pollutants at any site at which TSF is to do work hereunder, and unless TSF has assumed in writing the responsibility of locating subsurface objects, structures, lines or conduits, Client agrees to defend, indemnify and save TSF harmless from all claims, suits, losses, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees as a result of personal injury, death or property damage occurring with respect to TSF's performance of its work and resulting to or caused by contact with subsurface or latent objects, structures, lines or conduits where the actual or potential presence and location thereof were not revealed to TSF by Client
- 17. HAZARDOUS MATERIALS -This agreement shall not be interpreted as requiring TSF to assume the status of an owner, operator, generator, storer, transporter, treater or disposal facility as those terms appear within RCRA or within any Federal or State statute or regulation governing the generation, transportation, treatment, storage and disposal of pollutants.

Initial			

## Tierra South Florida Fee Schedule - City of Fort Lauderdale

Discipline	Rate/Hr /unit	Quantity	Total
Geotechnical Engineering/Inspections			
Project Manager	\$165.00	8	\$1,320.00
Principal Engineer	\$140.00	15	\$2,100.00
Senior Engineer	\$100.00	25	\$2,500.00
Project Engineer	\$85.00	30	\$2,550.00
Senior Technician	\$65.00	24	\$1,560.0
CADD	\$55.00	8	\$440.0
Asphalt Plant Inspection	\$60.00		\$0.0
Asphalt Field Inspection	\$60.00		\$0.0
Technician - Soil Densities	\$50.00		\$0.0
Technican - Concrete Testing	\$50.00		\$0.0
Technican - Pile Driving Inspection	\$65.00		\$0.0
Technican - Pre-stress Yard Inspection	\$65.00		\$0.0
Field Investigation			
Mobilization of Men and Equipment			
Truck-Mounted Equipment	\$350.00		\$350.0
Specialized ATV/Mudbug	\$720.00		\$0.0
Support Vehicle	\$150.00	1	\$150.0
Barge-Mounted Equipment	\$8,500.00		\$0.0
Crane Rental	\$250.00		\$0.0
Support Boat	\$500.00		\$0.0
Standard Penetration Test Borings			
(By Truck-Mounted Equipment)			
Land: 0 - 50 ft depth	\$12.00	100	\$1,200.0
50 - 100 ft depth	\$14.00	100	\$1,400.0
Grout-Seal Boreholes			
(By Truck-Mounted Equipment)			
Land: 0 - 50 ft depth	\$4.50	100	\$450.0
50 - 100 ft depth	\$5.50	100	\$550.0
Casing Allowance			
(By Truck-Mounted Equipment)			
Land: 0 - 50 ft depth	\$8.00	100	\$800.0
50 - 100 ft depth	\$10.00	100	\$1,000.0
Standard Penetration Test Borings			
(By Barge-Mounted Equipment)			
Water: 0 - 50 ft depth	\$20.00		\$0.0
50 - 100 ft depth	\$27.00		\$0.0
Grout-Seal Boreholes			
(By Barge-Mounted Equipment)			
Water: 0 - 50 ft depth	\$9.00		\$0.0
50 - 100 ft depth	\$11.00		\$0.0
Casing Allowance			
(By Barge-Mounted Equipment)			
Water: 0 - 50 ft depth	\$14.00		\$0.0
50 - 100 ft depth	\$17.00		\$0.0
Rock Coring (Truck)	\$65.00		\$0.0
Rock Coring (Track)	\$80.00		\$0.0
Field Permeability Tests	\$300.00		\$0.0
Pavement Cores, Asphalt	\$95.00		\$0.0
Pavement Cores, Asphalt Pavement Cores, Concrete	\$125.00		\$0.0
MOT	\$1,200.00	1	\$1,200.0
			- Helking
Laboratory Testing			***
Natural Moisture Content Tests	\$10.00	4	\$40.0
Grain-Size Analysis - Full Gradation	\$65.00	4	\$260.0
Grain-Size Analysis - Single Sieve	\$35.00		\$0.0
Organic Content Tests	\$35.00		\$0.0
Atterberg Limit Tests	\$75.00		\$0.0
Field CBR	\$600.00		\$0.0
Lab CBR	\$300.00		\$0.0
LBR	\$275.00		\$0.0
Rock compression test	\$125.00		\$0.0
Split tension test	\$150.00		\$0.0
Grain-Size with Hydrometer	\$115.00		\$0.0
Proctor Test a) Modified	\$100.00		\$0.0
b) Standard	\$100.00		\$0.0
Bitumen Extraction	\$150.00		\$0.0
Bitumen Gradation	\$150.00		\$0.0
		TOTAL	\$17,870.0





Hardeep Anand, P.E. Public Works Director City of Fort Lauderdale

Dear Mr. Anand,

Please let this letter serve as a formal request from Hardesty & Hanover, LLC (CONSULTANT) to utilize the following sub-consultants for Task Order No. 1 Title: COCONUT ISLE DRIVE BRIDGE RELACEMENT.

Cyriacks Environmental Consulting Services, Inc. in the amount of \$25,712.00.

The primary scope of work for which the above sub-consultants shall be utilized are for Environmental Permitting.

All of the above-mentioned vendors shall abide by the terms for sub-consultants in the Master Agreement for Civil Engineering Consulting Services between the CONSULTANT and the City of Fort Lauderdale dated November 6. 2012 and extended by City Commission on October 21, 2014.

Please indicate authorization for use of these sub-consultant services on this project by signing below and returning a copy to the CONSULTANT.

Signature Block

Ronald Sanchez, P.E.

Project Manager

Hardesty & Hanover, LLC

ACCEPTANCE OF REQUEST

By:

Name. Hardeep Anand. P .E

Title: Public Works Director