

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION - FINAL RANKING

RFP# 555-11633

TITLE: Voluntary Benefits Administration

DATE: 7/29/15

		Rater #1 -J. Gaskins															
		Ability to provide communication, enrollment			Overall competitiveness of voluntary products proposed			Improved benefit communications, efficient collection, transfer of data.....			Comprehensive-ness of performance agreement			Past performance, history of business, references			
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
MetLife	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	2.00	
American Fidelity	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	3.00	
FBMC	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	1.00	
Combined Insurance	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	4.00	

	Rater #2 - J. Piechura																
	Ability to provide communication, enrollment			Overall competitiveness of voluntary products proposed			Improved benefit communications, efficient collection, transfer of data.....			Comprehensive-ness of performance agreement			Past performance, history of business, references				
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	
MetLife	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	3.00	
American Fidelity	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	2.00	
FBMC	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	1.00	
Combined Insurance	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	4.00	

	Rater #3 - H. Grace-Dansoh																					
	Ability to provide communication, enrollment			Overall competitiveness of voluntary products proposed			Improved benefit communications, efficient collection, transfer of data.....			Comprehensive-ness of performance agreement			Past performance, history of business, references									
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	Local Vendor Preference	Local Preference Deduction	TOTAL FINAL SCORE	FINAL RANKING
MetLife	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	2.40	2.47	7.40	5%	0.37	7.03	2
American Fidelity	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	2.60	2.53	7.60	0%	0.00	7.60	3
FBMC	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	1.00	1.00	3.00	0%	0.00	3.00	1
Combined Insurance	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	0.20	4	0.80	4.00	4.00	12.00	0%	0.00	12.00	4