

MAR 3, 2015 BUS-2
 PROVIDED BY EMILIE SMITH

Benefit Rating Criteria	SCORING CRITERIA GUIDE			Relative Weight:
	0 = Project is not mandated or otherwise required by federal, state or local regulations, or legal requirements such as court order, judgment, or interlocal agreements	1 = Project is needed to meet required by federal, state or local regulations, or legal requirements such as court order, judgment, or interlocal agreements	2 = Project is required by federal, state or local regulations, or legal requirements such as court order, judgment, or interlocal agreements	
Relationship to federal, state or other legal requirements	0 = It is not feasible at this time or within 5-years	1 = Project is feasible but may have certain obstacles to overcome	2 = It is feasible to proceed with project based on staff and/or service, installment contract capacity, a well-planned timeline and requirements, no milestones, no obstacles (land acquisition or easements, approvals required, etc.)	
Project feasibility	0 = Project would increase debt service, installment payments, personnel or other operating costs and/or decrease revenues	1 = Project would neither increase nor decrease debt impact results, productivity or efficiency, or may help establish a performance measure baseline.	2 = Project would decrease debt service, installment payments, personnel or other operating costs and/or increase revenues. Project could be grant funded.	
Costs and sources of funds	0 = Project does not impact productivity or efficiency	1 = Project may impact results, productivity or efficiency, or may help establish a performance measure baseline.	2 = Project data shows a projected improvement that is "smarter, cheaper, faster" delivery of service through innovation, productivity, or efficiency.	
Relevant performance measures	0 = Project has no direct tie to any existing City Commission approved plan.	1 = Project may be consistent with a plan under development and in line with City Commission adopted or under development.	2 = Project is explicitly included in City Commission approved plan.	
Project consistency with existing approved plans	0 = Project does not help to fill mobility gaps, support more effective mobility gaps, rise, flooding, energy efficiency, water quality, or other sustainability measures.	1 = Project helps to fill mobility gaps and support more effective addressing seed-level infrastructure (e.g. City's aging repair or replacement of the roads) or provide for capital maintenance bases, increased property values, or improved job opportunities.	2 = Project would significantly address flooding, energy efficiency, water quality, water aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital maintenance of existing City facilities (e.g. swimming pools, or sports complex)	
Improves traffic, connectivity, pedestrian, cyclist safety	0 = Project no or a negative impact on City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital maintenance investment, the tax neighbor health, safety or risk reduction	1 = Project would provide for some repair or replacement of the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital maintenance bases, increased property values, or improved job opportunities.	2 = Project would significantly repair or replace the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital investment, hazardous and/or safety and/or safety neighbor health and/or safety urgent safety need	
Improves pedestrian, cyclist safety	0 = Project does not help to fill mobility gaps, support more effective mobility gaps, rise, flooding, energy efficiency, water quality, or other sustainability measures.	1 = Project would begin to aid in addressing seed-level infrastructure (e.g. City's aging repair or replacement of the roads) or provide for capital maintenance bases, increased property values, or improved job opportunities.	2 = Project would significantly address flooding, energy efficiency, water quality, water aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital maintenance of existing City facilities (e.g. swimming pools, or sports complex)	
Environmental benefits	0 = Project would not repair or replace the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital maintenance investment, the tax neighbor health, safety or risk reduction	1 = Project has a minimal impact on capital investment, increased tax bases, increased property values, or improved job opportunities.	2 = Project would significantly repair or replace the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital investment, hazardous and/or safety and/or safety neighbor health and/or safety urgent safety need	
Addresses aging infrastructure needs and maintenance of existing facilities	0 = Project has either no impact or a negative impact on capital investment, the tax neighbor health, safety or risk reduction	1 = Project would provide for some repair or replacement of the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital maintenance bases, increased property values, or improved job opportunities.	2 = Project would significantly repair or replace the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provide for capital investment, hazardous and/or safety and/or safety neighbor health and/or safety urgent safety need	
Promotes/accelerates sustainable economic development	0 = Project would have no impact on neighbor health, safety or risk reduction	1 = Project would positively impact public safety or not address an urgent health need	2 = Project reduces a risk, addresses an immediate neighbor health and/or safety urgent safety need	
Improves Neighborhood	0 = Project would have no impact on neighbor health, safety or risk reduction	1 = Project would positively impact public safety or not address an urgent health need	2 = Project reduces a risk, addresses an immediate neighbor health and/or safety urgent safety need	