



The following report outlines code enforcement lien settlements for the week ending:
February 27, 2015.

Total Original Amount of Liens: **\$28,100.00**

Total Recommended Reduction Amount: **\$14,050.00**

The following schedule was used to develop the reduction recommendations in the case of *Waterworks* liens:

Waterworks 2011 Approved Lien Settlement Matrix

Duration of Non-Compliance (mos.)	Code & WW2011 Division Admin. Charges	"Penalty" Charge – 1,2,3 Unit Residential	"Penalty" Charge – Commercial & >3 Unit Residential
1	520	50	100
2	520	100	200
3	520	150	300
4	520	200	400
5	520	250	500
6	520	300	600
7	520	350	700
8	520	400	800
9	520	450	900
10	520	500	1000
11	520	550	1100
12	520	600	1200

For all cases that exceed 12 months of non-compliance, the total amount has been increased by \$500.00 per month.

PROPERTY INFORMATION WORKSHEET

Case Number	CE13040237	Mortgage Amount	\$4,300,000.00
Address	2513 Mercedes Drive	Date of Lis Pendens	August 9, 2011
Property Owner	Alexander, Richard A	Date of Final Judgment	No
Zoning	RS-4.4	Amount of Final Judgment	No
BCPA Assessed Value	\$3,038,470.00	Pending Sales Price	No
BCPA Taxes	\$60,924.22	Closing Date	No
# of Properties Owned	2	Homestead Tax Exempt	No
Violation Information			
Code Violation(s)	18-11 (b) The pools on this property are full of green stagnant water. There is fountain mote in the front of the property that is full of green stagnant water. This presents a health and safety concern for the neighborhood in that this creates a breeding ground for mosquitos.		
Date of Violation(s)	April 2, 2013		
Date of 1st Hearing	July 18, 2013		
Result of 1st Hearing	Special Magistrate ordered compliance within 10 days or \$100 per day thereafter.		
Date(s) of Extension(s)	No		
Hearing to Impose A Fine	September 19, 2013		
Date of Compliance	May 7, 2014		
Days out of Compliance	281 days		
Lien Amount	\$28,100.00		
Date Lien Recorded	November 14, 2013		
Book and Page	Book 50308 Page 1886-1887		
City Direct Costs	\$1,040.00		
City's Recommendation	\$14,050.00		
Background Information	The current owner's representative also represents the estate of the deceased previous owner. According to the property representative, the property was out of compliance due to the property being a part of an estate that consists of one other property and there being a financial hardship to maintain both properties. Although the City cannot attest to the finances of the previous owner (now deceased), research does support the fact that the property was sold on May 23, 2014 via a Personal Representative Deed. Also, the fact that the property was a part of an estate is detailed in the Personal Representative Deed. Research also confirms that there was a Lis Pendens filed against the previous owner on August 9, 2011; however there was not a Final Judgment. Neither of the properties that are owned by the current owner is homesteaded.		
Current Photo(s):			