MAY6, 2014 CIT-4

Christine Timmon
National Community Activist
12 S. E. 20th Street F1
Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33316
954.356.9989

Pam Bondi Office of Attorney General PLO – 01 The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399

RE: State Controlled Sexual Bondage, Slavery, and Prostitution in Marriages

Dear Ms. Bondi,

It has been brought to my attention that Florida's marriage laws require "sexual consummation" to finalize the marriage and make it legal. Several attorneys have stated that legal marriage does not occur when the minister, judge or other marriage official pronounces "man and wife". Marriage occurs when the couple consummate. If there is no consummation, either party can demand an annulment, and no alimony can be demanded without sexual consummation. The marriage ceremony appears to be a part of the licensing process and not the final say that a couple is legally married and bound by the laws.

My goal with this letter is to get a written response concerning this allegation that states a marriage is not legal until consummation in Florida. If this is true, I say to you, such a law is unconstitutional via the United States Constitution. Several clauses prohibit states from enforcing such marriage laws and such divorce laws. Alimony is unconstitutional under Amendment VIII that prohibits excessive fines and cruel and unusual punishment. Life-time alimony is cruel and unusual punishment and an excessive fine; as well as a violation of Amendment XIII which does not allow involuntary servitude or slavery. That is what life-time alimony is—servitude and slavery; in that usually the husband is required to work and give his ex-wife his earnings for which she did not work for. When the marriage contract ends; a person should not be required to continue with policies that were a part of the contract.

Further, the United States Constitution does not allow States to enforce laws that violate constitutional clauses. That is; Amendment XIV that says "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." When life-time alimony is enforced, it deprives a person of their life, liberty and their property. Enforcing slave-style alimony is not in the sphere of legitimate "due process". There can be no due process that violates the United States Constitution. Amendment IX states, "The enumeration in the Constitution of certain rights shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people." What with equal rights for women and Women's Lib, alimony is not equal because 95% of the instances find husbands paying alimony even if the ex-wife is well-to-do. Even if the wife is not well off, she still should not be paid by her ex-husband. The contract ended, and so should marital obligations.

The constitution of the United States does not give the federal government, Congress, Executive Branch or the federal judiciary the power to regulate marriage. I have not found any clauses in the constitution

of the United States that gives either branch of government, federal or state the power to regulate any marriages. Amendment IX and Amendment X gives the people the right to have their own style social choices and Amendment XIV prohibits the feds and states from enforcing laws that deny citizens their right to make their own choices in their social behavior.

Therefore, I must insist that the State of Florida cease and desist from enforcing unconstitutional laws concerning marriages and divorce. Basically, what is occurring if it is true that Florida marriage law does require sexual consummation to legalize marriage; and without consummation no alimony can be paid, that creates a defacto State of Florida "institution of prostitution"; because when the couple had the sex to consummate it, they were not legally married. The Oxford American Dictionary defines prostitution as receiving money for sex, and the receiver is a whore, slut, trollop, hustler, hooker or gigolo.

What we now have is not really marriage. Marriage is when a couple want to be together, sometimes it is for a life-time; and sometimes it is not. That is their own constitutional right to make that decision. No state or any other government has the right to tell them they must remain married or the husband has to pay the wife forever or at all. This business of Family Court judges having the power to freeze all of the husband's bank accounts and credit cards to pay his wife off and her attorneys must stop. That is just plain stealing; not only his finances but all of his life's work and sometimes decades of education to secure their position in life. No ex-wife; or ex-husband can do that under the United States Constitution.

In actuality, the State of Florida marriage laws make the marital residence a defacto "whore-house" because it is based on sex without which no alimony can be issued. It is not a marriage that requires only love and wanting to be together. The marriage should be legal when the minister or judge pronounces it and signs the legal forms. There should be no State of Florida laws that say the couple must have sex to make it legal. That is creating a State of Florida whore-house. It is none of the State of Florida's business to tell people to have sex for any reason. Marriage needs to be governed by the couple who make their rules of engagement to determine property issues themselves before they marry. It is no business of the State of Florida to regulate marriage under the United States Constitution.

Therefore, please send me an answer in writing concerning the State of Florida running sexual bondage, prostitution, and marital hookers. This business of holding husbands in sexual bondage, which is what state ordered consummation is, and making husbands defacto "wards of the court" and taking their hard earned money to feed divorce attorneys who are the ones behind most of the state laws that control marriage and divorce must stop. Divorce attorneys are no less that "divorce pimps" with the housewife as the hookers and Family Court judges as the "procurers".

I read on-line about how the Florida House of Representatives passed HB549 in their 2012 session. The Florida Senate did not hear their bill, SB 748 in that session. 96 % of persons interviewed by the Orlando Sentinel want alimony reforms. I want adherence to the United States Constitution as well. The State of Florida also cannot tell a person they can only have one wife. A man should be able to have as many wives as he pleases and vice-versa. Nothing in the United States Constitution says anything about the so-called polygamy and bigamy laws; nor does it ban same-sex marriages. Everyone has a choice.

Ehristine Dimmon

Sincerely

Christine Timmon