



# Memorandum

Memo No: 23/24-9

Date: 8/20/2024

To: Honorable Mayor and Commissioners

From: Patrick Reilly, CPA PR

City Auditor

Re: Review of the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2024/2025

The City Auditor's Office (CAO) has performed a review of the FY 2024/2025 Proposed Budget. The budget is compiled by the City Manager of the City of Fort Lauderdale (City), pursuant to section 4.09 of the City Charter. Our evaluation consisted of staff inquiries, analytical procedures, review of documentation provided by management, and limited testing of the evidence provided to substantiate staff's assertions.

## **CONCLUSION**

As per the line-item review of the budget for the current year, the CAO concludes that the proposed budget of the primary government represents a balanced budget as presented.

The "Areas of Concern" section, noted below, which is not all inclusive, but currently represents areas the CAO deemed important enough to be brought to the attention of the City Commission. These concerns are related to on-going events and may impact the budget and City resources going forward.

In addition, the priorities established in the Commission Annual Action Plan (CAAP) all show funding allocations in the coming year and the proposed millage is in compliance with Florida Statutes.

## **Objectives**

The primary focus of our review was to ensure that the budget is balanced, revenue and expenditure estimates are reasonable and materially correct, and that the proposed millage is in compliance with Florida Statutes. We did not attempt to identify operational areas where additional cost savings might be achieved; however, the CAO was involved in meetings as they pertained to forecasting revenues and expenses.

#### **Scope**

We analyzed the City Manager's Proposed Budget for FY 2024/2025 as presented to the City Commission. The documents reviewed included the Budget Message, Executive Summary including supporting tables and schedules, as well as revenue and expenditure detail reports from the City's SHERPA software system. The CAO further examined items of interest identified by the City Commission and Budget Advisory Board (BAB), and considered other issues that may impact the City and the City's obligations.

The CAO would like to recognize that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has continued its constructive dialogue with the BAB and that Revenue Estimating Committee discussions were had, in which all departments had an opportunity to discuss their respective budgets and potential changes for the upcoming year.

As in the past several years, the CAO currently considers the review of the Budget and OMB's work compiling the Budget to be a low-risk engagement due to the following criteria; however, concerns do remain as noted below in "Areas of Concern":

- The CAO budget review is performed every year.
- Ongoing communication occurs frequently between Management, the BAB, the CAO, and the City Commission.
- OMB has had continuity of senior staff within the department.
- No significant errors were noted on previous reviews.

# **Methodology**

The CAO performed various analytical procedures, reviewed budget support worksheets and made inquiries to OMB as needed. Additionally, the CAO compared the line-item detail from the Proposed Budget to the projections of actual expenditures through 9/30/2024. Furthermore, the CAO analyzed trends and variances of the three prior fiscal years' budget vs. actual to gain a historical perspective to identify opportunities to improve the accuracy of revenue and expenditure estimates.

Finally, as part of our audit work, the CAO attended all Budget meetings, and any relevant meetings as they related to the development of the new Budget. This participation provided further insight into potential future operating conditions and budget requests. By coordinating with staff during the preparation of the budget, rather than after its presentation to the City Commission, the CAO continues to implement a continuous audit approach. This results in a deeper understanding of the departmental budget requests and service level enhancements.

# **Areas of Concern:**

### **Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)**

• Upgrades and updates are a normal part of Information Technology Operations (IT), and even though ERP funding seems appropriately budgeted, the CAO believes this is still an area of concern as there are associated costs to enhancing other software applications that work in conjunction with ERP. These upgrades/enhancements are not budgeted within ERP, but instead are budgeted in other areas, therefore not providing the consolidated true cost of ERP implementation.

## For Example:

- More employees have been hired full time to address ERP concerns and implementation issues, which further adds to the overall cost.
- o Continued issues with the payroll module remain, including, but not limited to, vacation and sick time tracking and accrual accuracy.
- Other shortcomings include the late production of financial statements for external audit

#### **Overtime**

• The CAO notes a significant amount of City funds being allocated to overtime. In our review, overtime is consistently understated when compared to actual overtime incurred. To fill the actual overtime expense, what seems to be occurring is that budgeted vacancies, which are funded unfilled positions, and have been relatively unchanged over the years, are being used to pay for the increase in overtime. The overtime budgeted numbers should more accurately reflect what is needed.

See Exhibit 1: PoliceSee Exhibit 2: Fire

See Exhibit 3: All Departments

## **Community Investment Plan (CIP)**

Regarding all construction projects in the CIP, it should be noted that the continued increase in inflation will also have an impact on the cost of materials and labor as the City proceeds with current and new projects. The potential increase is difficult to quantify; however, it should be strongly considered as a high likelihood of occurring. Hence, if current projects are delayed or there is a delay in new projects starting, this could potentially have a significant impact on City resources.

Some examples include, but are not limited to:


- o Police Station
- Water Treatment Plant and Associated City Enabling Work

cc: Susan Grant, Acting City Manager
Thomas Ansbro, City Attorney
David Soloman, City Clerk
Anthony Fajardo, Assistant City Manager
Laura Reece, Acting Assistant City Manager
Ben Rogers, Acting Assistant City Manager
Chris Cooper, Acting Assistant City Manager
Yvette Matthews, Acting Director of the Office of Management and Budget



# Office of Management and Budget

# Police Overtime Funding Snapshot



<sup>\*</sup> FY 2020 and FY 2021 overtime actuals are reduced by \$641,838 and \$34,678 respectively from direct labor credits associated with federal COVID relief funding.

**Source:** Office of Management and Budget presentation to the Budget Advisory Board on 4/17/2024. **Auditor's Note:** The Overtime on 9/30/2024 is estimated to be \$12.3M.

FY 2025
Budget
\$8,147,100
(FY 2024 + 8%)


The Police Department has historically used salary savings to offset overtime overages.

<sup>\*</sup>FY 2018, 2019 and 2022 budgets were amended to ensure that overtime expenditures remained in budget.



# Office of Management and Budget

# Fire Rescue Overtime Funding Snapshot



<sup>\*</sup> The FY 2019, 2021, 2022, and 2023 budgets were amended to obtained approve from the City Commission to increase overtime budget.

**Source:** Office of Management and Budget presentation to the Budget Advisory Board on 4/17/2024.

**Auditor's Note:** The Overtime on 9/30/2024 is estimated to be \$2.1M.

FY 2025

Budget

\$2,775,800

(FY 2024 Amended + 8%)

The Fire Rescue
Department's budget was
amended each year in FY
2018 through FY 2022
except for FY 2020 to
obtain approval from the
City Commission to
increase the overtime
budget.

CAM 24-0792 Exhibit 1

<sup>\*\*</sup> FY 2020 and FY 2021 overtime actuals are reduced by \$947,045 and \$1,941,312 respectively from direct labor credits associated with

# **Overtime Expenses By Department**

Department Name Merged	Fund	FY 2018 Actuals	FY 2019 Actuals	FY 2020 Actuals	FY 2021 Actuals	FY 2022 Actuals	FY 2023 Actuals
City Attorney's Office	001	8.00	43.00	-	-	-	-
City Clerk's Office	001	2,986.00	2,294.00	1,927.00	2,542.00	4,613.00	3,597.88
City Manager's Office	001	11,725.00	11,279.00	792.00	170.00	511.00	6,397.14
Development Services Department	001	120,200.00	159,302.00	80,976.00	104,037.00	124,948.00	95,964.59
Finance Department	001	9,205.00	4,686.00	1,205.00	4,331.00	1,520.00	4,493.50
Fire Rescue Department	001	1,398,977.00	2,382,925.00	1,863,410.00	4,512,553.00	3,731,611.00	3,274,921.11
Human Resources Department	001	3,524.00	13,064.00	7,809.00	3,355.00	6,321.00	5,378.77
Office of the Mayor and City Commission	001	223.00	-	-	163.00	-	-
Parks and Recreation Department	001	233,810.00	264,643.00	158,203.00	189,735.00	301,297.00	713,651.21
Police Department	001	6,966,065.00	7,855,648.00	7,918,022.00	7,634,843.00	8,306,710.00	10,292,553.04
Public Works Department	001	39,455.00	139,415.00	118,351.00	180,599.00	257,505.00	344,390.67
Transportation and Mobility Department	001	2,626.00	1,125.00	228.00	-	30.00	1,144.71
Development Services Department	108	-	57.00	106.00	-	-	413.28
Development Services Department	140	624,192.00	803,324.00	780,236.00	783,693.00	751,145.00	670,634.43
Parks and Recreation Department	409	185,538.00	119,295.00	64,432.00	105,153.00	125,983.00	165,317.81
Public Works Department	409	1,028.00	1,125.00	563.00	571.00	372.00	6,367.24
Parks and Recreation Department	430	-	14,278.00	17,573.00	31,319.00	94,885.00	156,110.26
Finance Department	450	7,749.00	3,840.00	1,719.00	2,889.00	11,248.00	10,633.07
Public Works Department	450	2,494,793.00	2,006,273.00	2,362,318.00	2,123,204.00	1,995,224.00	1,887,707.85
Public Works Department	451	106,550.00	106,715.00	149,484.00	250,946.00	177,436.00	137,431.93
Transportation and Mobility Department	461	74,729.00	99,071.00	46,659.00	40,654.00	76,350.00	90,953.64
City Manager's Office	468	24,951.00	37,423.00	28,532.00	25,531.00	18,402.00	24,022.09
Public Works Department	470	239,472.00	288,067.00	290,506.00	305,586.00	173,066.00	324,480.11
Public Works Department	530	-	-	44,212.00	30,729.00	11,520.00	3,689.69
Human Resources Department	543	-	120.00	336.00	304.00	199.00	16.55
Human Resources Department	545	18,592.00	46,867.00	33,690.00	30,778.00	632.00	302.94
Information Technology Services Department	581	31,329.00	20,843.00	17,725.00	15,041.00	12,237.00	21,297.29
Development Services Department	582	-	-	-	-	-	-
Public Works Department	583	469.00	2,051.00	177.00	-	64.00	8.80
Transportation and Mobility Department	643	1,351.00	1,695.00	921.00	8.00	1,584.00	1,256.20
		12,599,547.00	14,385,468.00	13,990,112.00	16,378,734.00	16,185,413.00	18,243,135.80

Source: Prepared by Office of Management and Budget

Auditor's Note: The estimated Overtime on 9/30/2024 is expected to be over \$20M.