

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION
RFP 425-11058 RESCUE TRANSPORT UNIT
DATE: 10/08/12

RFP 425-11058 Scoring Tabulation	Chris Dietz										Jo-Ann Lorber																							
	How well the equipment proposed meets the requirements of the Technical Specifications contained in the RFP; Design/Engineer ing of proposed product; maintenance; service and production delivery schedule.	Independent testing laboratory report results and check list.	Experience, qualifications and performances of the proposing firm including: Design snf engineering reliability factors including all performance tests referenced in the specifications, logistical and service support and past performance including meeting scheduled dates. References.	Service Facility: Proximity of service location(s) to the City and references regarding performance of service facility for warranty and repair work.	Cost to the City	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Ranking	Weight Factor	Point Subtotal	Average Points	Total Combined Points	Final Ranking	Total Cost			
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Average Points	Total Combined Points	Final Ranking	Total Cost
Hall-Mark Fire Apparatus, Inc.	0.3	1	0.30	0.05	1	0.05	0.1	1	0.10	0.05	1	0.05	0.1	1	0.10	0.05	1	0.05	0.5	2	1.00	0.5	2	1.00	0.5	2	1.00	0.5	2	1.00	1.50	3.50	1	\$ 372,390.28
Bridges Coaches, Inc. d/b/a/Taylor	0.3	2	0.60	0.05	2	0.10	0.1	2	0.20	0.05	2	0.10	0.1	2	0.20	0.05	2	0.10	0.5	2	1.00	0.5	2	1.00	0.5	2	1.00	0.5	2	1.00	2.00	6.00	2	\$ 383,088.10

RFP 425-11058 Scoring Tabulation				Phil Pennington															
	PROPOSING FIRM	How well the equipment proposed meets the requirements of the Technical Specifications contained in the RFP, Design/Engineering of proposed product; maintenance; service and production delivery schedule.			Independent testing laboratory report results and check list.			Experience, qualifications and performances of the proposing firm including: Design and engineering reliability factors including all performance tests referenced in the specifications, logistical and service support and past performance including meeting scheduled dates, References.			Service Facility: Proximity of service location(s) to the City and references regarding performance of service facility for warranty and repair work.			Cost to the City					
		Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal
		0.3	1	0.30	0.05	1	0.05	0.1	1	0.10	0.05	1	0.05	0.5	1	0.50			1.00
	Hall-Mark Fire Apparatus, Inc. Bridges Coaches, Inc. d/b/a/Taylor	0.3	2	0.60	0.05	2	0.10	0.1	2	0.20	0.05	2	0.10	0.5	2	1.00			2.00