CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE REP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION REP 425-11058 RESCUE TRANSPORT UNIT DATE: 10/08/12

		·	Total Cost	372,390.28	383,088.10
		4		٠٨	2 \$
			Polnts Final Ranking	1 03	
			Total Combined	3.50	6.00
			etnioq agaravA	1.17	2.00
			stnioq lstoT	1.50	2.00
		÷.	Point Subtotal	1.00	2 1.00
l		Cost to the City	Kanking	2	
l			Weight Factor	5 0.5	0.5
l		ility: eervico o the encer encer encer ty for repair	Point Subtotal	0.05	0.10
l		idmity of seidimity and rriwanty and rriwanty.	Ranking	1	2
	er	Se loc City pe ser warr	Weight Factor	0.05	0.05
١	Lorb	ons on see of ing	Point Subtotal	0.10	0.20
	Jo-Ann Lorber	Experience, qualifications almost and performances of the proposing firm including: Design snf engineering reliability factors including all performance tests referenced in the specifications, ligistical and past performance including meeting service support and past performance including meeting scheduled dates. References.	Ranking	н	2
١	_	Expa quali firm i Des Des enform i Des servico perfix ests ri perfix ests ri inch ligisti recipion perfix en o perfix est	Weight Factor	0.1	0.1
۱			letotdu2 tnio9	0.05	0.10
ı		dependen testing laboratory sport result nd check lis	Ranking	1 0	2 0
ı		Independent testing laboratory report results and check list.	Weight Factor	0.05	0.05
l			Point Subtotal	0.30	0.60
		How well the equipment troposed meet the troposed meet the Technical Specifications ontained in the RFP; esign/Enginee ing of proposec product; maintenance; service and production delivery schedule.	Ranking	H	2
		How well the equipment proposed meets the requirements of the Technical Specifications contained in the RFP; Design/Engineer ing of proposed product; maintenance; service and production delivery schedule.	Weight Factor	0.3	0.3
Ì			stnio4 letoT	1.00	2.00
		th th	Point Subtotal	0.50	2 1.00
		Cost to the	Kanking	H	1
			Weight Factor	0.5	0.10 0.5
		ility: earlice or the ences g g cof ty for repair	Point Subtotal	0.05	0.10
		Service Facility: Proximity of service Iocation(s) to the City and references regarding performance of service facility for warranty and repair work.	Kankin€	н	2
		Sen Proxir locat City a r pert servi	Weight Factor	0.05	0.05
	Chris Dietz	o 4= 0	Point Subtotal	0.10	
	Chris	Experience, qualifications an arformances of t proposing firm proposing firm suding. Design a engineering eliability factor including all erformance test eferenced in the specifications, istical and servi upport and pass vupport and pass cheduled dates References.	Ranking	+	2
		Experience, qualifications and performances of the proposing firm ncluding: Design strengment and performance tests including all performance tests specifications, specifications, ligistical and service support and past performance including meeting scheduled dates. References.	Weight Factor	1.0	
١		-	Point Subtotal	0.05	
		Independent testing laboratory report results and check list.		1 0.0	
١		Indep sting 1 chert cher	Weight Factor Ranking	0.05	0.5
			Point Subtotal	0.30 0	0.60 0.05 2
		How well the equipment proposed meets the trequirements of the requirements of the Technical Specifications contained in the RFP; Design/Engineer ing of proposed product; maintenance; service and production delivery schedule.			<u> </u>
		How v equiliprocessing the Tree of the Tre	Weight Factor Ranking	0.3	0.3
ı		g 5 0 0 7 -		+	
	RFP 425-11058 Scoring Tabulation		PROPOSING FIRM	Hall-Mark Fire Apparatus, Inc.	Bridges Coaches, Inc. d/b/a/Tavlor
	RFP			Ha	Brid

How well the Experience, equipment qualifications and proposed meets performances of the the mronosing firm
of inc
the Technical engineering specifications Specifications Independent including all
testing laboratory report results and
ing of proposed check list. specifications,
product; ligistical and service
maintenance; support and past
service and performance
production including meeting delivery
Point Subtotal Weight Factor Ranking Point Subtotal
1 0 00 0 00
0.05 2 0.10