



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFQ EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION - PRESENTATIONS

RFQ# 12335-206
TITLE: CONSULTANT SERVICES FOR NEW POLICE HEADQUARTERS
DATE: 2/6/2020

			Rater #1 - Irina T															
			Description and Resources of Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Proposed Design Team			Suitability			Approach to Scope of Work			
PROPOSING FIRM			Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Leo Daly			0.10	3	0.30	0.15	4	0.60	0.20	4	0.80	0.25	4	1.00	0.30	4	1.20	3.90
Arch. Design Group			0.10	4	0.40	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	2	0.40	0.25	3	0.75	0.30	2	0.60	2.60
HOK			0.10	1	0.10	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	3	0.60	0.25	2	0.50	0.30	3	0.90	2.25
AECOM			0.10	2	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.25	1	0.25	0.30	1	0.30	1.25

		Rater #2 - Enrique S.															
		Description and Resources of Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Proposed Design Team			Suitability			Approach to Scope of Work			
PROPOSING FIRM		Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Leo Daly		0.10	4	0.40	0.15	4	0.60	0.20	4	0.80	0.25	4	1.00	0.30	4	1.20	4.00
Arch. Design Group		0.10	3	0.30	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	2	0.40	0.25	2	0.50	0.30	2	0.60	2.25
HOK		0.10	2	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	3	0.60	0.25	3	0.75	0.30	3	0.90	2.75
AECOM		0.10	1	0.10	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	1	0.20	0.25	1	0.25	0.30	1	0.30	1.00

				Rater #3 - Karen D.															
				Description and Resources of Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Proposed Design Team			Suitability			Approach to Scope of Work			
PROPOSING FIRM				Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Leo Daly				0.10	4	0.40	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.25	4	1.00	0.30	3	0.90	3.35
Arch. Design Group				0.10	2	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	2	0.40	0.25	2	0.50	0.30	2	0.60	2.00
HOK				0.10	3	0.30	0.15	4	0.60	0.20	4	0.80	0.25	3	0.75	0.30	4	1.20	3.65
AECOM				0.10	1	0.10	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	1	0.20	0.25	1	0.25	0.30	1	0.30	1.00

				Rater #4 - Rob H.															
				Description and Resources of Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Proposed Design Team			Suitability			Approach to Scope of Work			
PROPOSING FIRM				Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Leo Daly				0.10	3	0.30	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.25	3	0.75	0.30	3	0.90	3.00
Arch. Design Group				0.10	4	0.40	0.15	4	0.60	0.20	4	0.80	0.25	4	1.00	0.30	4	1.20	4.00
HOK				0.10	2	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	2	0.40	0.25	1	0.25	0.30	1	0.30	1.45
AECOM				0.10	1	0.10	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	1	0.20	0.25	2	0.50	0.30	2	0.60	1.55

		Rater #5 - Ella P.																	
		Description and Resources of Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Firm			Experience and Qualifications – Proposed Design Team			Suitability			Approach to Scope of Work					
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	FINAL RANKING
Leo Daly	0.10	4	0.40	0.15	4	0.60	0.20	4	0.80	0.25	4	1.00	0.30	4	1.20	4.00	3.65	18.25	4
Arch. Design Group	0.10	3	0.30	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	2	0.40	0.25	3	0.75	0.30	3	0.90	2.50	2.67	13.35	3
HOK	0.10	2	0.20	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.25	2	0.50	0.30	1	0.30	2.05	2.43	12.15	2
AECOM	0.10	1	0.10	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.25	1	0.25	0.30	2	0.60	1.45	1.25	6.25	