

Fiscal Year 2018 Community Investment Plan Prioritization

PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA		Mayor John P. "Jack" Seiler	Vice Mayor Bruce G. Roberts	Commissioner Dean J. Trantalis	Commissioner Robert L. McKinzie	Commissioner Romney Rogers	Final Average Weight
Basic Program Attributes	Federal, state or other legal requirements Whether there is a federal, state, local mandate, grant, court order, judgment, or other requirement that the project must be completed.	4	5	1	5	4	3.8
	Project feasibility Whether there are obstacles to proceeding with the project. (land acquisition, easements, approvals required, etc.).	1	1	3	1	1	1.4
	Costs and sources of funds Whether the project would impact the City's operating costs, debt service level, and/or whether the project would yield revenue.	5	3	4	4	5	4.2
	Relevant performance measures Is the impact of the project measurable? Will completing the project improve key performance measures or result in efficiencies?	3	2	2	2	3	2.4
	Project consistency with existing approved plans Whether the project is directly consistent with a Commission approved plan, advances the Strategic Plan, the Commission Annual Action Plan (CAAP), and/or the 2035 Community Vision.	2	4	5	3	2	3.2

PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA		Mayor John P. "Jack" Seiler	Vice Mayor Bruce G. Roberts	Vice Mayor Dean J. Trantalis	Commissioner Robert L. McKinzie	Commissioner Romney Rogers	Final Average Weight
Impact on Strategic Goals	Improves traffic, mobility, connectivity, pedestrian, cyclist safety Whether the project would result in filling mobility gaps, supporting more effective interconnectivity, and ensuring increased and safe accessibility to activities, events and locations (bikeway path, commuter rail).	4	2	1	2	4	2.6
	Environmental benefits Whether the project would address sea-level rise, flooding, energy efficiency, water quality, water efficiency or other sustainability measures.	2	1	3	3	1	2.0
	Addresses aging infrastructure needs and maintenance of existing facilities Whether the project helps to repair or replace the City's aging infrastructure (e.g. bridges, seawalls, roads) or provides for capital maintenance of existing City facilities (e.g. community centers, swimming pools, or sports complex).	1	4	4	1	5	3.0
	Promotes/accelerates sustainable economic development Whether the project would directly result in capital investment, increased tax base, increased property values, or improved job opportunities.	3	3	2	4	2	2.8
	Improves neighbor safety Whether the project reduces an immediate or future risk, addresses a public health and/or safety hazard, or addresses an urgent safety need.	5	5	5	5	3	4.6