



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
Development Services Department
Main Lobby
700 NW 19th Ave
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311
Monday, February 2, 2026 – 5:00 P.M.

Cumulative Attendance
6/2025 through 6/2026

Table with 4 columns: Board Members, Attendance, Present, Absent. Lists members like Arthur Marcus, Shane Taylor, Robert Golden, etc., with their attendance records.

City Staff

- Shaun Amarnani, Assistant City Attorney
Gabrielle Bush, Assistant City Attorney
Trisha Logan, Principal Urban Planner
Lina Allakhverdieva, Urban Planner II
Jonathan D'Angelo, Urban Planner I
Chris Cooper, Deputy City Manager
J. Opperlee, Recording Secretary, Prototype Inc.

Others

- Michaela Conca, President, Broward Trust for Historic Preservation
Ralph Brookes, Attorney, Broward Trust for Historic Preservation
Michael Gehron
Patsy West
Jamie Pissakos
Ralph Krugler
Bob Carr

Communication to the City Commission

Motion made by Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. Schiavone, to recommend communicating to the City Commission the need to review and strengthen enforcement of city ordinances regarding the use of metal detectors on the beach park. In a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

I. Call to Order/Pledge of Allegiance

The meeting of the Historic Preservation Board was called to order at 5:06 p.m.

II. Determination of Quorum/Approval of Minutes

Roll was taken, and it was determined that a quorum was present.

a. Approval of Minutes: January 5, 2026

Motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Golden, to approve the minutes of the January 5, 2026, meeting as presented. In a voice vote, the motion passed 7-0.



CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE

DRAFT
HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD
CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
Development Services Department
Main Lobby
700 NW 19th Ave
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311
Monday, February 2, 2026 – 5:00 P.M.

Cumulative Attendance
6/2025 through 6/2026

<u>Board Members</u>	<u>Attendance</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>
Arthur Marcus, Chair	P	8	0
Shane Taylor, Vice Chair	P	7	1
Robert Golden	P	7	1
Allen Jones	P	8	0
Jonathan Keith	P	6	2
Kim Roberts	P	5	0
Tim Schiavone	P	8	0

City Staff

Shaun Amarnani, Assistant City Attorney
Gabrielle Bush, Assistant City Attorney
Trisha Logan, Principal Urban Planner
Lina Allakhverdieva, Urban Planner II
Jonathan D'Angelo, Urban Planner I
Chris Cooper, Deputy City Manager
J. Opperlee, Recording Secretary, Prototype Inc.

Others

Michaela Conca, President, Broward Trust for Historic Preservation
Ralph Brookes, Attorney, Broward Trust for Historic Preservation
Michael Gehron
Patsy West
Jamie Pissakos
Ralph Krugler
Bob Carr

Communication to the City Commission

Motion made by Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. Schiavone, to recommend communicating to the City Commission the need to review and strengthen enforcement of city ordinances regarding the use of metal detectors on the beach park.
In a voice vote, the motion **passed** unanimously.

I. Call to Order/Pledge of Allegiance

The meeting of the Historic Preservation Board was called to order at 5:06 p.m.

II. Determination of Quorum/Approval of Minutes

Roll was taken, and it was determined that a quorum was present.

a. Approval of Minutes: January 5, 2026

Motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Golden, to approve the minutes of the January 5, 2026, meeting as presented. In a voice vote, the motion **passed 7-0**.

III. Public Sign-in/Swearing-In

All members of the public wishing to address the Board on any item were sworn in. Board members disclosed communications prior to each item.

IV. Agenda Items

1.

REQUEST: Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition and New Construction

- Demolition of Two Multi-family Residences Identified as Non-contributing Properties within the Sailboat Bend Historic District and the Construction of Two (2) Three-story, Three-unit Townhouse Buildings.

Case Number	UDP-HP26001	FMSF	
Agent	Stewart Robin, Project Manager		
Owner	Las Olas Homes LLC		
Address	923-925 W Las Olas Boulevard, Fort Lauderdale, FL, 33312		
General Location	Approximately 70 ft east of SW 10th Ave and West Las Olas Boulevard on the north side of the street		
Legal Description	WAVERLY PLACE 2-19 D LOTS 11,12 &S1/2 VAC ALLEY LYING N OF SAID LOTS BLK 113		
Existing Use	Multi-Family Residence		
Proposed Use	Multi-Family Residence		
Zoning	RML-25		
Applicable ULDR Sections	47-24.11.D.3.c.i; 47-24.11.D.3.c.iii; 47-17		
Section 166.033, Florida Statutes	180-day Expiration Date	Extension Date (s)	
	July 13, 2026	Not Applicable	
Authored By	Lina Allakhverdieva, Urban Planner II		

Ms. Allakhverdieva reviewed the staff memo and concluded with:

Summary Conclusion:

Staff finds that the application for a **COA for Demolition** under case number **UDP-HP26001** located at 923-925 W Las Olas Boulevard meets the criteria as outlined in Section 47-24.11.D.3.c.i. of the ULDR and meets the criteria as outlined in Sections 47-24.11.D.4. of the ULDR.

The following conditions are provided for consideration by the HPB if the application is to be approved:

1. The demolition of the structure shall not negatively impact properties within the historic district and protection from construction debris and construction equipment shall be provided, as necessary.
2. This property is located in an Archaeologically Significant Zone. No archaeological deposits are anticipated within the subject property, however, if archaeological materials or features are discovered, then work in vicinity of the discovery shall stop and the City shall be notified immediately to coordinate the discovery. If unmarked human remains are encountered, then excavation in the vicinity of the find shall halt immediately and the archaeologist shall alert the City's historic preservation staff to coordinate the discovery and take measures to implement Chapter 872.05 Florida

Statutes as it pertains to the discovery of unmarked human remains.

3. This application is subject to the approval by Building, Zoning, and all ULDR requirements, including landscaping.

Stewart Robin, representative for the applicant, provided an overview of the proposed redevelopment. Mr. Robin described the intent to remove two existing non-contributing multi-family structures and replace them with two three-story, three-unit townhouse buildings. He reviewed the proposed architectural design, massing, and materials, and stated the project was intended to be compatible with the surrounding context along West Las Olas Boulevard while providing updated residential units.

Mr. Robin addressed the relationship of the proposed buildings to adjacent properties and discussed setbacks, building height, and articulation. He stated the existing buildings were not contributing resources and noted the proposal had been revised to respond to staff comments.

Chair Marcus opened the public hearing. There being no members of the public wishing to speak, the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Keith asked how much time the applicant had spent coordinating with staff regarding the design details, specifically asking about the extent of communication with Ms. Logan. Mr. Robin responded that there had been multiple email exchanges and ongoing communication with staff, noting that comments were provided by staff and revisions were made accordingly. He stated most staff comments were agreed with and incorporated.

Mr. Robin explained the primary points of hesitation related to the railings on the third floor and the shutters proposed on the first and second floors. He stated the applicant felt those elements added additional detail that did not seem necessary. Mr. Robin described the third-floor balcony running across the front of the building and explained that the project included a habitable rooftop intended for resident use, including outdoor activities.

Chair Marcus asked for clarification as to whether all three floors would have the same railing design. Mr. Robin confirmed all three floors would have the same railing design, noting the only difference was that the roof deck railing on the third floor would be slightly shorter due to the roof configuration along the façade. Mr. Keith acknowledged the clarification and indicated understanding.

Ms. Roberts stated the proposal reflected older vernacular influences alongside contemporary design and asked whether the applicant opposed incorporating additional vernacular elements given the Sailboat Bend Historic District context.

Mr. Robin clarified the reference was Florida vernacular rather than Key West vernacular and stated the applicant was willing to accept changes but had concerns regarding functionality where second-floor balconies extended approximately three feet and railings added limited usable space.

Mr. Taylor asked whether staff recommended shutters replace the first-floor window entirely or cover the existing window and questioned whether the smaller front-elevation windows served bathroom spaces on multiple floors.

Mr. Robin responded the smaller windows were bathrooms and stated shutters could be added to visually extend the window proportions to align with other façade openings. Mr. Taylor stated a preference for shutters over railings and commented favorably on the overall appearance, noting roof color was not within the Board's purview.

Chair Marcus stated the proposal reflected Florida vernacular influences rather than Sailboat Bend architecture and incorporated multiple stylistic directions. He stated a contemporary Florida vernacular approach was appropriate and preferable to replicating historic architecture, with suggestions to refine window proportions, shutters, and second-floor articulation while maintaining consistent railing design. Chair Marcus stated roof color discussion remained between the applicant and staff and supported garage door dimensions due to placement away from primary elevations.

Board members commented positively on coordination between staff and the applicant and noted the proposal improved conditions compared to existing development. It was suggested to use shutters to address window proportions and noted roof color preferences while acknowledging review limitations.

Mr. Robin described prior outreach to the Sailboat Bend neighborhood, stating an early presentation received positive feedback and limited concerns.

Motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Mr. Golden, to approve the resolution for a Certificate of Appropriateness for Demolition and New Construction under case number UDP-HP26001 located at 923–925 W Las Olas Boulevard based on the findings of fact, and two conditions, as outlined in the staff report

In a voice vote, the motion **passed** unanimously.

2.

REQUEST: Archaeological Site Designation

Case Number	UDP-HPD25002	FMSF#	8BD1/8BD4826
Owner	City of Fort Lauderdale		
Applicant	Broward Trust for Historic Preservation, Michaela M. Conca, President		
Property Address	640 Seabreeze Boulevard		
General Location	Approximately 330 feet north of the intersection of Harbor Drive and Seabreeze Boulevard on the east side of Seabreeze Boulevard.		
Legal Description	Parcels 37 and 38, BAHIA MAR, according to the Plat thereof, as recorded in Plat Book 35 at Page 39 of the Public Records of Broward County, Florida.		
Existing Use	Park		
Zoning	P – Parks, Recreation, and Open Space		
Applicable ULDR Sections	47-24.11.C.		
Section 166.033, Florida Statutes	180-day Expiration Date	Extension Date(s)	
	February 4, 2025	August 3, 2026	
Authored By	Trisha Logan, AICP, Principal Urban Planner		

Ms. Logan reviewed the staff report and concluded with:

Summary Conclusion:

While the request generally meets two criteria for archaeological site designation, following the review of the additional testing provided by the applicant, the overall boundary proposed as part of this application appears to not encapsulate the extent of the significant and intact portions of

this site that are most likely to yield historic information and accurately represent the location of the Third Fort Lauderdale. In accordance with Section 47-24.11.C of the ULDR, staff finds that the application for Archaeological Site Designation of Third Fort Lauderdale Resource Group located at 640 Seabreeze Boulevard under case number UDP-HPD25002 meets criteria (a) and (b) but does not meet criterion (c). Within the designation report, emphasis is placed on the significance of the location of the Third Fort Lauderdale starting in 1839 and subsequent settlements through 1947. Based on the additional information provided, it is in the opinion of staff that a more precise boundary, potentially with a buffer area, related to findings of positive test results and conclusions as to where the Third Fort was most likely located could be considered. This would allow the designated portion of the beach to encapsulate the extent of the significant and intact portions of this site that are most likely to yield historic information and accurately represent the location of the Third Fort Lauderdale.

The Board must make a Motion for Approval, a Motion for Approval with Conditions, or a Motion for Denial.

If, however, the HPB adopts a motion for approval with conditions, the following conditions for the Archaeological Site Designation are provided for consideration by the HPB:

1. As an active beach and park site, it is recommended that a management plan is developed to utilize in evaluating future ground disturbing activities that are conducted on this site.
2. Consider placement of a State Historic Marker to denote the location of the Third Fort Lauderdale as there are markers for both the First and Second Forts already installed.

Additional members of the public wishing to address the Board on any item were sworn in.

Chair Marcus opened the public hearing.

Michaela Conca addressed the Board on behalf of the Broward Trust for Historic Preservation (BTHP) and introduced the request for historic and archaeological designation of the Third Fort Lauderdale site. Ms. Conca stated the purpose was to preserve and recognize the site's historical significance and described archaeological evidence representing multiple periods, including Indigenous occupation, the 1839 United States military fort, the House of Refuge, and the United States Coast Guard. Ms. Conca noted the designation request was supported by more than 35 years of research and introduced the project presenters.

Mr. Michael Gehron presented historical maps and survey data identifying the location of the Third Fort Lauderdale site. Mr. Gehron reviewed Indigenous activity, military use during the Seminole Wars, and the strategic importance of the barrier island location. Mr. Gehron presented comparative mapping illustrating historic and modern geography, including the inlet, river mouth, and haulover routes, and stated the evidence supported the proposed designation area.

Patsy West introduced herself as a Fort Lauderdale native and ethnohistorian and presented the Indigenous perspective related to the Third Fort Lauderdale site. Ms. West discussed Miccosukee and Seminole presence during the Second Seminole War and identified Pine Island and Long Key as significant habitation sites. Ms. West described relationships between Indigenous groups and the fort, including visits by Seminole leader Abiaca, and stated the site reflected cultural interaction rather than continuous hostility. Ms. West emphasized the importance of preserving this history and offered to respond to questions.

Jamie Pissakos read a statement into the record on behalf of Susan Gillis, historian and former curator of the Fort Lauderdale Historical Society. The statement expressed strong support for designation of the Third Fort Lauderdale site and identified the fort as one of the city's most significant historic resources. Third Fort, known as the Beach Fort, was described as the longest-occupied and most documented installation, with evidence extending beyond the fort enclosure

into surrounding activity areas. The statement referenced subsequent use of the site for the House of Refuge and Coast Guard Base No. 6 and urged approval of the designation to protect archaeological resources.

Ralph Krugler presented historical information regarding the House of Refuge system along Florida's east coast and discussed the role of House of Refuge No. 4 in Fort Lauderdale. Mr. Krugler described the purpose, layout, and operation of the facilities and stated the Lauderdale site played a critical role in lifesaving, mail routes, and coastal history. Mr. Krugler discussed relocation of the structure closer to the New River and emphasized the site's connection to other regional landmarks and historic narratives.

Bob Carr, Director of the Archeological and Historical Conservancy, presented archaeological findings related to the Third Fort Lauderdale site, including military artifacts, Indigenous materials, and a radiocarbon-dated wooden post consistent with a stockade or picket structure. The evidence was cited as supporting the fort's location and significance, and the proposed boundary was described as encompassing the principal fort area and associated activity zones. Concerns regarding looting and metal detecting were noted, with designation identified as supporting long-term preservation and management.

Deputy City Manager Chris Cooper stated City park rules prohibit digging and metal detecting and violations would be addressed by park and ranger staff. Signage was identified as a potential enforcement aid.

Ralph Brooks addressed the Board as legal counsel for the Broward Trust for Historic Preservation and reviewed consistency with applicable designation criteria. The application was described as meeting archaeological significance standards, and designation was clarified as not precluding park use or development. Preservation benefits, public education, and heritage tourism were discussed, and approval of the designation was encouraged.

Jaris Goss spoke in support of the archaeological designation and emphasized recognition of history, culture, and community memory tied to the land. Concerns were raised regarding process, environmental impacts of ground disturbance, and the importance of allowing time for thoughtful consideration to avoid repeating past harms.

Courtney Crush, 900 Coconut Drive, expressed concerns regarding the application, noting the area already falls within designated archaeologically significant zones requiring archaeological review for development. Questions were raised regarding boundary specificity, justification for treating the site differently from surrounding areas, and potential implications of landmark designation on public beach access and routine activities requiring Certificates of Appropriateness.

Chris Nelson spoke in support of designation and emphasized the importance of protecting historic resources from future development pressures. Comments referenced prior losses of historic sites in Fort Lauderdale and urged designation to ensure long-term protection beyond reliance on future commissions. A request was made to ensure designation would not restrict public use of the beach.

Tracy Powell, Fort Lauderdale resident, supported archaeological designation of the city-owned site and cited documented multi-period occupation, including Indigenous presence and military activity associated with the Third Fort. The role of the Board was described as applying adopted criteria based on evidence rather than weighing future policy considerations. Procedural refinements such as boundary clarification were described as not diminishing eligibility for designation.

Ted Inserra, representing the River Oaks Civic Association, spoke in support of designation and emphasized long-term residency in Fort Lauderdale. Comments focused on the importance of historic preservation amid ongoing development pressures and urged the Board to protect foundational sites tied to the city's origins.

Chris Stachowski spoke in support of designation and emphasized public ownership of the land. Comments urged the Board to protect the city's history and foundational sites, describing preservation as a responsibility to residents and future generations.

Sara Ayers-Rigsby, Florida Public Archaeology Network, commended the applicant, consultants, and city staff for detailed research and review. Emphasis was placed on the importance of a long-term management plan to balance preservation, public access, and educational value following designation.

Sally Alshouse, lifetime member of the Daughters of the American Revolution, spoke in support of the application and preservation of the existing DAR marker. Verification of the marker's authenticity and location was described, along with historical background related to the original Fort Lauderdale marker placement. Support was expressed for designation in recognition of America 250.

Leo Lorenz referenced Florida ethics statutes and raised concerns regarding potential conflicts of interest related to development activity. Comments focused on the importance of ethical decision-making and transparency in the designation process.

Maggie Hunt, Sailboat Bend resident and Broward Trust for Historic Preservation member, spoke in support of designation and cited specific archaeological findings, including military artifacts and structural evidence. Comparisons were made to other designated archaeological sites used as public parks, noting continued public access following designation.

Chair Marcus closed the public hearing.

Mr. Jones stated voting in alignment with the staff report and expressed concurrence with designation based on the site's cultural and archaeological significance. Support was expressed for the proposed boundaries as depicted in the staff presentation and consistent with the identified primary activity area.

Mr. Schiavone acknowledged differing viewpoints and emphasized shared concern for the city and its history. Comments focused on the importance of ensuring any action taken was legally sound, clearly defined, and defensible, given the permanent nature of designation. Questions were raised regarding public concerns about potential development, with clarification requested regarding existing limitations on private development and the effect of archaeological designation on future recreational or accessibility improvements.

Mr. Schiavone stated discomfort with proceeding to approval based on the application as submitted and indicated additional discussion, refinement, and clarification were needed, including boundary considerations and management planning. Chair Marcus noted an inclination to deny the application in its current form pending amendments and further review.

Mr. Taylor expressed appreciation for public participation and concurred with concerns regarding boundary clarity. Comments were made supporting stronger enforcement of existing prohibitions on metal detecting and noting that future communication to the City Commission regarding enforcement could be appropriate. Agreement was expressed with the need for further refinement before proceeding.

Ms. Roberts emphasized the Board's responsibility was limited to determining whether the site met the criteria for historic preservation designation based on factual, data-driven evidence. She noted the discussion included the 2011 study, the more recent research, and the additional information requested by the Board, all of which supported designation. She clarified the decision before the Board was whether the site qualified for historic designation, not speculation about future actions or past conduct, and indicated support for approval based on the record.

Mr. Keith thanked the members of the public for their participation and acknowledged the shared concern for the city and its history. He stated the Board's role was to evaluate the application based on the Code and the evidence presented, rather than future development speculation or unrelated policy matters.

Chair Marcus asked whether the City had entered into any agreement with the adjacent Tate development that could affect the subject site.

Assistant City Attorney Amarnani responded that no such information was before the Board and stated the question fell outside the Board's purview, noting the Board's review was limited to whether the application met the criteria for historic designation.

Chair Marcus acknowledged the response but stated the repeated correspondence from the Tate development raised concerns that could relate to boundary considerations and requested the Board remain aware of the broader context.

Mr. Keith continued by raising concerns regarding coastal erosion and questioned how significant erosion events would be addressed in the absence of a formal management plan for the potential archaeological site. He stated discomfort with making a designation decision without established management protocols. Additional concerns included why the site was not designated following archaeological activity and investigations conducted around 2011. Mr. Keith noted the presence of the coastal construction line and stated existing regulatory processes already provide certain protections, and offered these points as feedback for Board consideration.

Mr. Golden stated he carefully considered the presentations and public comments and referenced a background in local historic research and long-term residency in Fort Lauderdale. He acknowledged learning new information about the House of Refuge but expressed concern about designating the beach area as historic and stated the record was not conclusive enough to support approval.

Chair Marcus thanked the public and Mr. Carr for the presentation and stated agreement with staff but noted unresolved issues remained. He cited the need for clearer, finalized boundaries, a defined management plan, and better public education elements. Chair Marcus also raised concerns about erosion and questioned the need for additional designation given existing archaeological protections, asking whether any development agreements affected the site.

Deputy City Manager Cooper explained that the beach is zoned for park and public uses, with any development subject to City Charter requirements and state review, including the coastal construction line. It was noted that an existing agreement with the Bahia Mar developer discussed adding public facilities, such as pickleball courts, near the proposed area. He clarified that an archaeological designation would not prohibit development but could add review requirements depending on depth and whether a management plan is adopted.

Motion made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Ms. Roberts, to continue consideration of the historic designation for two months. In a roll call vote, the motion **failed** by 3-4, with Mr. Golden, Mr. Keith, Mr. Schiavone, and Mr. Taylor voting in opposition.

Board members briefly discussed the vote.

Motion was made by Mr. Jones, seconded by Ms. Roberts, to recommend approval of the request for archeological site designation of the Third Fort Lauderdale Resource Group, located at 640 Seabreeze Boulevard, to the City Commission under Case No. UDP-HPD25002, based on findings that the request met the criteria outlined in Section 47-24.11.C.7. of the ULDR, specifically criteria (a) and (b) as identified in the staff report. In a roll call vote, the motion **failed** by 2-5, with Mr. Golden, Mr. Keith, Mr. Schiavone, and Mr. Taylor, voting in opposition.

Board member discussed considerations to recommend to the City Commission.

Board members noted ongoing concerns with the application, citing unclear boundaries, the absence of a management plan, and unanswered questions about future impacts, including erosion and potential development.

Mr. Schiavone stated the board needed to consider long-term impacts beyond current conditions, including deeper excavation, utilities, and future facility expansion. He noted regulations and site uses could change over time and expressed concern about making a permanent decision without fully understanding those implications, emphasizing the need to proceed carefully.

Ms. Logan clarified the code does not set a minimum depth and that the 15-inch depth was proposed by the applicant. Ms. Logan explained any changes to a designated site would require Board review, with a management plan guiding future ground disturbance and monitoring.

Deputy City Manager Cooper stated the Board's action, other than a continuance, would be sent to the City Commission as a recommendation. A denial would not end the application and should include clear reasons. Approval must cite criteria, and a City Commission denial could allow reapplication.

Motion made by Ms. Roberts, seconded by Mr. Jones, to recommend approval with conditions of the request for Archaeological Site Designation for the Third Fort Lauderdale resource group located at 640 Seabreeze Boulevard under case number UDP-HPD25002, based on findings that the request met criteria and as outlined in Section 47-24.11.C.7 of the ULDR. The conditions proposed were as outlined in the staff report as well as a modification in the boundaries. In a roll call vote, the motion **failed** 3-4, with Mr. Golden, Mr. Keith, Mr. Schiavone, and Mr. Taylor voting in opposition.

Mr. Schiavone expressed concern about long-term implications of designation, including future development, public use, and the absence of clear boundaries and a management plan. He questioned whether existing agreements or potential park improvements could affect the site and emphasized the need to understand those impacts before acting. Mr. Schiavone recommended that the basketball courts remain in place and not be relocated.

Deputy City Manager Cooper explained the City Charter governs leasing or licensing of park property, including the beach. Any permitted use would be limited to public, park-related purposes and would follow a formal approval process, precluding private development such as condominium construction.

Mr. Schiavone made a motion to deny the application based on it not meeting ULDR 47-24.11.C, that the boundaries are unclear and the absence of a specific management plan. Mr. Taylor stated that he would second the motion if Tim would amend the motion to remove the comment that it is not meeting ULDR 47-24.11.C. and Mr. Schiavone agreed.

Motion made Mr. Schiavone, seconded by Mr. Taylor, to deny the application based on unclear boundaries for the proposed archeological designation area and the absence of a specific management plan. In a roll call vote, the motion **passed** 4-3, with Mr. Jones, Ms. Roberts, and Chair Marcus voting in opposition.

V. Communication to the City Commission

Motion made by Mr. Taylor, seconded by Mr. Schiavone, to recommend communicating to the City Commission the need to review and strengthen enforcement of city ordinances regarding the use of metal detectors on the beach park. In a voice vote, the motion **passed** unanimously.

VI. For the Good of the City of Fort Lauderdale

Assistant City Attorney Amarnani announced a transition in board counsel and introduced Gabrielle Bush as the new attorney assigned to the board, noting her prior experience in the City's Risk Management Department.

Adjournment

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 8:18 p.m.

Attest:

Chairman:

Prototype Inc. Recording Secretary

Arthur Marcus, Chair

The City of Fort Lauderdale maintains a website for the Historic Preservation Board Meeting Agendas and Results:

<http://www.fortlauderdale.gov/departments/city-clerk-s-office/board-and-committee-agendas-and-minutes/historic-preservation-board>

Any written public comments made 48 hours prior to the meeting regarding items discussed during the proceedings have been attached hereto.