

Exhibit 5

RFP Evaluation Criteria												
1 Is in the best interest of the CRA and promotes or facilitates the furtherance of the goals, objectives and policies of the NPF CRA Plan, and is most responsive to the requirements of the RFP.				2 Demonstrates quality construction, materials and features of the structure and site, and				3 Demonstrates the financial capacity, experience, qualifications and abilities best suited to carry out such proposal.				
Proposing Firm The Central County Community Development Corporation								Total Points				
	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal		Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal		Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Rater # 1 Avis Wilkinson	Wt .333	1	0.333		Wt .333	1	.333		Wt .333	1	.333	1
Rater # 2 Corey Ritchie	Wt .333	1	0.333		Wt .333	1	.333		Wt .333	1	.333	1
Rater #3 Eleni Ward-Jankovic	Wt .333	1	0.333		Wt .333	1	.333		Wt .333	1	.333	1
												3

1 Is in the best interest of the CRA and promotes or facilitates the furtherance of the goals, objectives and policies of the NPF CRA Plan, and is most responsive to the requirements of the RFP.				2 Demonstrates quality construction, materials and features of the structure and site, and				3 Demonstrates the financial capacity, experience, qualifications and abilities best suited to carry out such proposal.				
Proposing Firm Shumer Capital Corp.								Total Points				
	Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal		Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal		Weight	Ranking	Point Subtotal	
Rater # 1 Avis Wilkinson	Wt .333	2	0.666		Wt .333	2	0.666		Wt .333	2	0.666	2
Rater # 2 Corey Ritchie	Wt .333	2	0.666		Wt .333	2	0.666		Wt .333	2	0.666	2
Rater #3 Eleni Ward-Jankovic	Wt .333	2	0.666		Wt .333	2	0.666		Wt .333	2	0.666	2
												6

Summary Analysis		Total Points	Ranking
Proposing Firm The Central County Community Development Corporation		3	1
Proposing Firm Shumer Capital Corp.		6	2