#### **RESOLUTION NO. 25-130**

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, INITIATING THE PROCESS FOR REIMPOSITION OF THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SERVICES ASSESSMENT IN THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING OCTOBER 1, 2025; DIRECTING THE PREPARATION OF AN ASSESSMENT ROLL; AUTHORIZING A PUBLIC HEARING AND DIRECTING THE PROVISION OF NOTICE THEREOF; PROVIDING FOR RESCISSION OF CONFLICTING PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, on June 16, 2020, the City Commission of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida (the "City Commission") adopted Ordinance No. C-20-18 (the "Ordinance") authorizing the City Commission to impose a stormwater management program assessment to fund all or any portion of the planning, construction, operation, maintenance, and administration of a public stormwater management system upon benefited parcels at a rate of assessment based on the special benefit accruing to such parcel from the provision of a stormwater management program; and

WHEREAS pursuant to the Ordinance the City Commission adopted Resolution No. 20-123 (the "Initial Assessment Resolution") that (a) describes the property to be located within the proposed stormwater management system benefit area; (b) describes the stormwater management program proposed for funding from proceeds of the assessments; (c) estimates the stormwater management program cost; (d) describes with particularity the proposed method of apportioning the stormwater management program cost among the parcels of property located within the proposed stormwater management system benefit area, such that the owner of any parcel of property can objectively determine the number of assessment units and the amount of the assessment; (e) describes the provisions, if any, for acceleration and prepayment of the assessment; (f) describes the provisions, if any, for reallocating the assessment upon future subdivision or other changes in condition that affects the method of apportioning the stormwater management program cost; and (g) includes specific legislative findings that recognize the fairness provided by the apportionment methodology; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Ordinance, the City Commission adopted Resolution No. 20-154 (the "Final Assessment Resolution") that (a) created the stormwater management system benefit area; (b) confirmed the initial assessment resolution with such amendments, if any, as may be deemed appropriate by the city commission; (c) established the maximum amount of an assessment for each assessment unit; (d) approved the assessment roll, with such amendments as it deems just and right; and (e) determined the method of collection.

The imposition of stormwater management program assessments requires certain processes such as notice and the preparation of the Assessment Roll; and

WHEREAS, Section 28-207 of the Ordinance (codified as Section 28-200.7 of the City of Fort Lauderdale's Code of Ordinances) provides for an annual process for the approval of the assessment roll through the adoption of an annual assessment resolution; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, deems it to be in the best interest of the citizens and residents of the City of Fort Lauderdale to adopt this Preliminary Assessment Resolution as a prerequisite to the adoption of the Annual Assessment Resolution for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2025;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

<u>SECTION 1.</u> AUTHORITY. This Resolution is adopted pursuant to Sections 166.021 and 166.041, Florida Statutes, and other applicable provisions of law.

<u>SECTION 2</u>. PURPOSE AND DEFINITIONS. This Resolution constitutes a Preliminary Annual Assessment Resolution to initiate the annual process for updating the Assessment Roll and directs the reimposition of stormwater management assessments for the Fiscal Year beginning October 1, 2025. All capitalized words and terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Ordinance, the Initial Assessment Resolution, and the Final Assessment Resolution.

SECTION 3. ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY. It is hereby ascertained, determined, and declared that the method of determining the Stormwater Management Special Assessment as set forth in Resolution No. 20-123 (the "Initial Assessment Resolution") and confirmed by the Resolution No. 20-154 (the "Final Assessment Resolution") is a fair and reasonable method of apportioning the Annual Assessment Cost among parcels of Assessed Property located within the Assessment Area. The proposed Annual Assessed Cost to be assessed and apportioned among benefitted tax parcels pursuant to the cost apportionment and the parcel apportionment for Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2025, is the amount determined in the Assessment Cost Allocation, attached hereto as Appendix A. The Annual Assessed Costs shall be apportioned each Fiscal Year to specially benefitted Tax Parcels based upon the net effective impervious area and trip generation attributable to each Tax Parcel in the manner hereinafter described and adapted from City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida FY 2021 Stormwater Fee Study – Final Report prepared by Stantec, dated May 25, 2020 ("Fee Study") also described in Appendix B attached hereto.

SECTION 4. PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT ROLL. The City Manager is hereby directed to prepare, or cause to be prepared, a Preliminary Assessment Roll for the Fiscal Year commencing October 1, 2025, in the manner provided in the Ordinance. The Assessment Roll shall include all Tax Parcels within the Stormwater Management Program Benefit Area. The City Manager shall apportion the estimated Project Cost to be recovered through Stormwater Management Program Assessment in the manner set forth in Final Assessment Resolution.

A copy of the Initial Assessment Resolution, this Preliminary Annual Assessment Resolution, and the Preliminary Assessment Roll shall be maintained on file in the office of the City Clerk and open to public inspection. The foregoing shall not be construed to require that the Preliminary Assessment Roll be in printed form if the amount of the Stormwater Management Program Assessment for each parcel of property can be determined by the use of a computer terminal available to the public.

- <u>SECTION 5.</u> AUTHORIZATION OF PUBLIC HEARING. There is hereby established a public hearing to be held at 5:01 p.m. on September 12, 2025, at the Broward Center for the Performing Arts, Mary N. Porter Riverview Ballroom, 201 S.W. 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, 33312, at which time the City Commission will receive and consider any comments on the Stormwater Management Special Assessment from the public and affected property owners and to consider the adoption of the Annual Assessment Resolution.
- <u>SECTION 6.</u> NOTICE BY PUBLICATION. The City Manager shall publish a notice of the public hearing in the manner and time provided in Sections 28-204 of the Ordinance (codified as Section 28-200.4 of the City of Fort Lauderdale's Code of Ordinances). The notice shall be published no later than August 23, 2025, in substantially the form attached hereto as Appendix C.
- <u>SECTION 7</u>. NOTICE BY MAIL. The City Manager shall also direct the provision of notice by first class mail to the owner of each parcel of Assessed Property, as required by Section 28-205 of the Ordinance (codified as Section 28-200.5 of the City of Fort Lauderdale's Code of Ordinances). Such notices shall be mailed no later than August 23, 2025.
- <u>SECTION 8</u>. CONFLICTS. That any resolution or part of a resolution in conflict herewith be, and is hereby rescinded to the extent of such conflict.
- <u>SECTION 9</u>. SEVERABILITY. If any clause, section, part, or application of this Resolution is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, it shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of this Resolution.

#### **RESOLUTION NO. 25-130**

PAGE 4

SECTION 10. EFFECTIVE DATE. This Preliminary Annual Assessment Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage and adoption.

ADOPTED this 30th day of June, 2025.

Mayor

DEAN J. TRANTALIS

ATTEST:

City Clerk

DAVID R. SOLOMAN

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CORRECTNESS:

Interim City Attorney

D'WAYNE M. SPENCE

Dean J. Trantalis

Yea

John C. Herbst

Not Present

Steven Glassman

Yea

Pamela Beasley-Pittman Yea

Ben Sorensen

Yea

# APPENDIX A ASSESSMENT COST ALLOCATION

Category	Estimated	EBU Type	# of EBUs	Estimated
	Project	or Billing Unit		Assessment
	Costs	_		
	Allocation**			
	per EBU			
Category i	\$318.17	Dwelling Unit	44,328	\$14,103,840
Category II	\$3,306.66	Acres	5,534	\$18,299,495
Category III	\$824.85	Acres	604	\$497,852
Trips	\$6.10	Trips	1,496,597	\$9,129,242
				\$42,030,429

#### APPENDIX B


CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA FISCAL YEAR 2021 STORMWATER FEE STUDY – FINAL REPORT BY STANTEC



## · 其门 "看这些事的是被国际的"的"人"之外的"

# FY 2021 Stormwater Fee Study – Final Report

May 25, 2020





May 25, 2020

Mr. Chris Lagerbloom City Manager City of Fort Lauderdale 100 N Andrews Ave Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Re: FY 2021 Stormwater Fee Study – Final Report Dear Mr. Lagerbloom,

Stantec Consulting is pleased to present this Final Report of the FY 2021 Stormwater Fee Study (Study) that we performed for the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida (City). We appreciate the fine assistance provided by you and all of the members of the City Staff who participated in the Study.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to the City and look forward to the possibility of doing so again in the near future.

Sincerely,

Kyle Stevens Managing Consultant (904) 610-2910 Kyle Stevens@stantec.com

Stantec 777 S. Harbour Island Blvd., Suite 600 Tampa, Florida 33602

**Enclosure** 

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Int	rod	uction	1
	1.1		ckground	
	1.2		ope of Services	
2.	Fir		cial Sustainability Analysis	
	2.1		scription	
	2.2		urce Data	
	2.	2.1	Beginning Fund Balance	
	2.	2.2	Revenues	
	2.	2.3	Operating Expenditures	
	2.	2.4	Capital Improvement Program	
	2.3	Ass	sumptions	
	2.	3.1	Cost Escalation	5
	2.	3.2	Interest Earnings	6
	2.	3.3	Billed Unit Growth	
	2.	3.4	Minimum Reserve Policy	6
		3.5	Future Borrowing & Capital Funding	
		3.6	Debt Service Coverage	
	2.4		sults	
3.	Sto	rm	water Fee Design	9
	3.1	Cur	rent Stormwater Billing Basis	9
	3.2		commended Stormwater Billing Basis	
	3.3		asurement of Billing Basis	
	3.4		t of Service	
	3.5	Sto	mwater Fee Design	22
4.	Par	cel	Bill Changes	27
	4.1		nicipal Stormwater Fee Comparison	
5.	Sto	rmv	water Collection Methodology	30
	5.1		mwater Collection Methodology Evaluation	
	5.2		mwater Collection Methodology Peer Comparison	
	5.3		ling of Special Benefit of the City's Stormwater Service	
	5.3		Special Benefit – The First Requirement	
	5.3	3.2	Fair and Reasonable Apportionment – The Second Requirement	
6.	Stu	dy l	Recommendations	
			ustainability Analysis	
			Fee Modifications	
			Fee Collection Methodology	
٩p			: FSA Supporting Schedules	
			COSA Supporting Schedules	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) has conducted a Stormwater Fee Study (Study) for the Stormwater Utility (Utility) of the City of Fort Lauderdale (City). This report presents the approach, methodology, source data, and assumptions, as well as the findings and recommendations of the Study.

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The City of Fort Lauderdale established a Stormwater Utility in 1992 to provide for the collection, storage, treatment, and conveyance of stormwater within the City limits. The Public Works Department's Stormwater Operations Section of the City is responsible for maintaining and improving the City's stormwater system infrastructure, which consists of 183.5 miles of stormwater pipe, 1,151 manholes, 1,038 outfalls, 6 drainage wells, and 8,848 catch basins. The stormwater system is a critical piece of infrastructure that serves to protect property and the City's transportation network from flooding, while reducing the impacts of urban runoff on the natural environment. The City has established an enterprise fund for the Utility to account for the financial transactions relating to the management of the stormwater in the City.

While the City evaluates the level of its stormwater user fees annually as part of its budget process, this comprehensive Study goes beyond the normal annual review to include a ten-year revenue sufficiency analysis, full cost of service allocation, fee structure analysis, billing method review, and fee benchmarking.

The Utility is funded though user fees paid by active utility accounts in the City limits. For most properties receiving monthly municipal utility bills for services such as water, sewer, and garbage, the stormwater utility fee is included on the monthly utility bill. For properties that do not receive monthly municipal utility bills for other services, the stormwater utility fee is often sent to the property owner as determined from the property appraiser tax rolls on an annual basis.

This Study originally commenced in 2016 and this report represents the accumulation of effort and analysis that has occurred over the five-year period resulting in the recommendations contained herein. In addition, the results outlined in this report have been shared with the City Commission, Infrastructure Task Force, Budget Advisory Board, and Council of Civic Associations. The Infrastructure Task Force, Budget Advisory Board, and Council of Civic Associations have all voted in favor of the recommendations developed during the Study that are reflected in this report. The City Commission has provided guidance to proceed with implementation activities for the recommendation herein and is expected to formally consider the recommendation for approval and adoption within the year.

#### 1.2 SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of this Study was to develop a sustainable financial plan and modernize the fee structure for the Utility to satisfy the projected cost of providing the desired level of service, ensure an equitable allocation of system costs to different parcel types, and utilize the most appropriate billing method to collect user fees from parcels in the City's service area. As such, the scope of services for the Study to accomplish these objectives are as follows:

Financial Sustainability Analysis – Develop a ten-year financial plan for the Utility to ensure that stormwater fees will provide sufficient revenues to cover all operations, maintenance, debt service, and capital costs, while maintaining sufficient levels of reserve funds throughout the projection period.

**Stormwater Fee Structure Design** – Review the options for structuring stormwater fees and develop specific fees by customer class that advance the inherent equity of the City's stormwater fees, enhance transparency, and increase administrative efficiency. Develop a master account file to summarize the stormwater fee for each parcel to be billed.

**Stormwater Collection Method** – Review and determine the appropriate billing method for the City's stormwater fee (i.e. continuing to bill on the monthly water and sewer utility bill or converting to collecting the stormwater fee as a non-ad valorem assessment on the property tax bill).

### 2. FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS

#### 2.1 DESCRIPTION

This section presents the development of annual system revenue requirements and the corresponding plan of annual revenue adjustments for the Utility as identified during the Financial Sustainability Analysis (FSA). The following sub-sections of the report present a description of the source data, assumptions, and resulting multi-year financial plan, while Appendix A includes detailed supporting schedules for the financial management plan identified herein for the Utility.

During the FSA, Stantec reviewed several alternative multi-year financial management plans and corresponding stormwater annual revenue adjustment plans through interactive work sessions with City staff. During these work sessions, Stantec examined the impact of various inputs and assumptions upon key financial indicators summarizing the results of the forecasting model under assumed conditions. In this way, local information and management input was incorporated as Stantec developed the recommended financial management plan for the Utility. The result is a financial plan that considers the City's current and best assumptions and data to satisfy the Utility's revenue requirements over a multi-year period while meeting key financial performance objectives and minimizing fee adjustments to the extent possible.

In order to initialize the FSA, Stantec obtained the City's historical and budgeted financial information regarding the Utility's operation, as well as information pertaining to working capital balances and future cost requirements. Stantec worked with the City to incorporate the Utility's multi-year capital improvement program (CIP) into the analysis, including projects identified in the City's 2009 Stormwater Master Plan. Stantec discussed with City staff other assumptions and policies that would affect the performance of the Utility, such as planned developments, capital funding sources, debt coverage ratios, reserve fund levels, earnings on invested funds, escalation rates for operating costs, and other factors.

This information was entered into Stantec's Financial Analysis and Management System (FAMS) interactive modeling system. FAMS produced a ten-year projection of the sufficiency of the revenue provided by stormwater fees to meet current and projected financial requirements. Based upon these projections, Stantec then determined both the level of revenue and revenue adjustments necessary in each year of the projection period to satisfy the Utility's annual financial requirements.

FAMS utilizes all projected available funds in each year of the projection period (after payment of operations and maintenance expenses, and debt service) to pay for capital projects. The model incorporates the rules of cash application as defined and applied by City staff, and it produces a detailed summary of the funding sources to be used for each project in the CIP. To the extent that current revenues and unrestricted reserves are not adequate to fund all capital projects in any year of the projection period, the model identifies a borrowing requirement to fund those projects or portions thereof that are determined to be eligible for borrowing. In this way, the FAMS model is used to develop a borrowing program that includes the required borrowing amount by year and the resultant debt service requirements for each year in the projection period.

#### 2.2 SOURCE DATA

The following sections describe the various source data and assumptions used to determine the Utility's revenue requirements during the course of the Study.

#### 2.2.1 Beginning Fund Balance

City staff provided audited Fiscal Year (FY) 2018 financial information used to establish the beginning FY 2019 balances for the revenue (operating fund) of the Utility. The detailed balances (as of September 30, 2018) that serve as the FY 2019 beginning fund balances are presented on Schedule 2 of Appendix A.

#### 2.2.2 Revenues

The revenue projections utilized in the Study reflect an evaluation of multiple years of historical results, FY 2018 audited actual results, the FY 2019 Amended Budget, and the FY 2020 Adopted Budget. Budgeted revenues for the Utility consist of stormwater user fee revenues, other operating revenues from miscellaneous service charges, and interest income. FY 2019 projected stormwater user fee revenues are based upon the City's current fee structure, the projected number of billing units for residential properties, non-residential properties, and the assumed number of acres of undisturbed properties. Revenue projections for the remainder of the forecast represent FY 2019 projected revenues adjusted for assumed property development and annual fee increases. Interest earnings in FY 2019 and FY 2020 were set equal to the budgeted numbers provided by City staff, whereas future forecasted interest earnings were calculated annually based upon projected average fund balances and assumed annual interest earnings rates. A summary of projected cash inflows is presented on Schedule 3 of Appendix A.

#### 2.2.3 Operating Expenditures

The Utility's operating expenditures include all personnel service costs, operation and maintenance (O&M) expenses, calculated debt service requirements, and minor capital outlay requirements. All revenue requirements in FY 2019 and FY 2020 reflect the FY 2019 amended budget and FY 2020 adopted budget, respectively. All operating expenses and inter-fund transfers were projected each year thereafter based upon the FY 2020 adopted budget, assumed future cost escalation factors, and information staff provided relative to future minor capital outlays. It is important to note that in each year of the forecast, with the exception of FY 2020 budget year, spending execution rates of 95% were assumed for all fixed operating expenses, while execution rates of 100% were assumed in all years for all personal service costs and budgeted/projected minor capital outlays. In addition to the budgeted expenses, allowances were made for anticipated expenses such as master plan capital, O&M, and ongoing asset management expenses. Projected operating expenditures and cash outflows (excluding the cash funding of capital) are presented on Schedule 4 of Appendix A.

#### 2.2.4 Community Investment Plan

A 10-year community investment plan (CIP) was developed during this Study through interactive work sessions with staff and primarily based on the current adopted CIP for the Utility. The CIP identified in the Study is presented in project level detail for the two main categories of projects: ongoing renewal and reinvestment projects and large generational investments. The first category of projects is related to the normal ongoing renewal and reinvestment in the system which is paid out of annual cash flow. FY 2019 capital expenditures for these projects were based on encumbered project balances for FY 2019. The proposed CIP was utilized for FY 2020 – FY 2024. From FY 2025 – FY 2029, a 5-year moving average was used to estimate the Utility's unspecified ongoing capital requirements.

The second category of capital projects is larger generational investments that were identified in the City's 2009 Stormwater Master Plan and are to be funded primarily through the issuance of municipal revenue bonds. Revenue bonds are appropriate for these types of capital investments as they are long-lived assets that are expected to provide intergenerational benefits to customers. The locations of these projects, designated as Phase Two stormwater improvement projects, are included in the detailed list below and are expected to be funded in FY 2020 and FY 2021 in the amount of \$200M in total. In addition to Phase Two, an additional \$200M of master plan project funding has been identified for FY 2026.

- Edgewood
- River Oak
- Dorsey Riverbend
- Durrs Area
- Progresso
- Victoria Park
- Southeast Isles

More information related to the debt-funding of capital projects is discussed in Sections 2.3.5 of this report. A detailed list of the specific projects and costs by year is included on Schedule 6 of Appendix A.

#### 2.3 ASSUMPTIONS

#### 2.3.1 Cost Escalation

Annual cost escalation factors for the various types of operating expenses were developed based upon discussions with staff, a review of historical trends, and Stantec's industry experience. These factors are applied in each year of the projection period beginning in FY 2021. The specific escalation factors assumed for the various categories of expenses can be found on Schedule 5 of Appendix A.

#### 2.3.2 Interest Earnings

The Study reflects assumed interest earning rates on invested funds of 1.75% in FY 2021 and 2.00% in FY 2022 and each year thereafter. Projected interest earnings are included on Schedule 3 of Appendix A.

#### 2.3.3 Customer Growth

Customer growth projections were developed in consultation with staff based upon historical trends and future expectations. Customer growth in FY 2021 through FY 2029 was projected assuming an average increase of 189 units annually in the residential category, an average increase of 9 units annually in the commercial category, and an average decrease of 19 units annually in the unimproved land category. Schedule 1 of Appendix A presents detailed annual stormwater system customer growth rates.

#### 2.3.4 Minimum Reserve Policy

Reserves are funds set aside for a specific cash flow requirement, financial need, project, task, or unforeseen system requirements. These balances are maintained in order to meet short-term cash flow requirements and minimize the risk associated with meeting the financial obligations and continued operational and capital needs under adverse conditions. The level of reserves maintained is an important component and consideration in developing a multi-year financial management plan.

Many utilities, rating agencies, and the investment community place a significant emphasis on having sufficient reserves available for potentially adverse economic conditions. The rationale related to the maintenance of adequate reserves is twofold. First, it helps to ensure that adequate funds will be available to meet financial obligations during unusual periods (i.e. when revenues are unusually low and/or expenditures are unusually high). Second, it provides funds that can be used for emergency repairs or replacements to the system that can occur as a result of natural disasters or unanticipated system failures.

The financial management plan presented in this report assumes that the City will maintain a minimum revenue fund balance or unrestricted cash reserve balance equal to 1.5 months of annual O&M expenses for the Utility in FY 2019. However, in an effort to build stronger reserve levels consistent with those of other utilities in the industry, and to present compelling fiscal strength to secure favorable credit ratings when borrowing money, it is recommended that the minimum reserve policy for the Utility be raised to 3.0 months of annual O&M expenses by FY 2021. Consequently, the financial management plan presented herein assumes that the City will maintain a minimum reserve of 3.0 months in FY 2021 and each year thereafter.

#### 2.3.5 Future Borrowing & Capital Funding

As the 10-year CIP for the Utility was developed, sources of funding for individual projects were identified. Approximately \$443 million in capital funding was determined to be provided through revenue bonds, with the remainder of projects designated to be paid out of annual revenue and available fund balances. The projects identified for revenue bond funding are Phase Two components of the City's 2009 Stormwater Master Plan (Master Plan).

Given the immediacy of the need associated with the Phase Two Master Plan capital projects, the City has elected to utilize an interim source of financing for approximately \$70M of the identified \$200M in projects. In doing so, construction can commence on an accelerated timeline and the benefits of the improvements can be realized sooner. Long-term permanent financing for the Phase One projects will take the form of a municipal revenue bond with a targeted issuance date of early FY 2021 for the full amount of \$200M.

The new long-term debt required during the projection period is assumed to be issued for a 30-year term, with a 2.00% cost of issuance, and annual cost of borrowing equal to 4.00% in all years of the projection period. The debt is assumed to be paid in the form of level annual debt service consisting of interest and principal. Additionally, any new debt issuance has been assumed to require a debt service reserve equal to one year's worth of debt service. It is important to note that the Utility has not previously financed capital projects. Thus, the City's actual future financing and funding decisions will reflect then-current market conditions, rating agency guidance, and broader City-wide financing objectives. The projections used in this Study reflect reasonable expectations of overall conditions and are appropriate for planning purposes.

A complete schedule of assumed CIP funding can be found on Schedule 9 of Appendix A and projected future senior lien borrowing can be found on Schedule 11 of Appendix A.

#### 2.3.6 Debt Service Coverage

The Utility currently has no outstanding debt as of FY 2019; however, a minimum senior-lien debt service coverage ratio of 1.25 (with a target of 1.50), was utilized in the Study for projected future debt issuances. The debt service coverage ratio signifies that net income must be at least 1.25 times annual debt service.

The ratio of net income to annual debt service requirement (referred to as a debt service coverage ratio hereafter) described above was developed through discussions with City staff and the City's financial advisor and represents the minimum requirement of bondholders. As a policy decision, utilities frequently opt to measure revenue sufficiency and set fees based upon a higher debt service coverage ratio in order to ensure compliance with rate covenants in the event that future projections of revenue and expenses do not occur as predicted (due to unanticipated capital requirements or substantial operating cost increases, natural disasters, etc.). The financial management plan presented herein results in projected total debt service coverage greater than or equal to 1.50 in each year of the forecast.

#### 2.4 RESULTS

Based upon the source data and assumptions presented herein, it is anticipated that the Utility will require revenue adjustments throughout the projection period in order to provide sufficient revenues to fund the ongoing operation and maintenance costs, capital improvement projects, renewal & replacement costs, new debt service, and the reserve requirements of the Utility.

Most notably the Utility is expected to see a large increase in expenditures in FY 2021, due to the issuance of a revenue bond to fund the Phase Two Master Plan projects. As such, the plan of revenue adjustments has been sized to reflect the annual repayment of principal and interest as well as coverage on the debt.

The recommended financial management plan and corresponding plan of rate adjustments is based upon the source data and assumptions as described in this report. Appendix A includes several detailed schedules presenting key aspects of the ten-year financial management plan, while the required rate revenue adjustment plan for the immediate five-year planning period is presented in Table 2-1:

Table 2.1 Stormwater Revenue Adjustment Plan

Fiscal Year	FY 2020 <sup>1</sup>	FY 2021 <sup>2</sup>	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Effective Date	10/1/2019	10/1/2020	10/1/2021	10/1/2022	10/1/2023
Annual Adjustment	16.67%	54.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%

It is important to note that the projections of future conditions underlying this analysis are not intended to be predictions. Applicable to many utility systems, there are multiple factors beyond the City's control, such as i) severe weather, ii) regulatory changes, iii) national, regional, and local economic conditions, iv) the rate of growth in developed properties, v) operating and capital cost inflation, and vi) changes in the timing and composition of the Utility's CIP, that may have material impacts on the future financial condition of the Utility. Furthermore, the projections in this Study rely upon data and guidance provided by the City during the development of the Study, and while the information utilized in this Study is believed to be reliable, detailed independent reviews or auditing of the data were not conducted.

As a result, there will usually be differences between forecasted and actual results because events and circumstances frequently do not occur as expected, and those differences may be material. While Stantec has no responsibility to update this report for events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report, future management actions should be based upon and adjusted to reflect future results as they occur. These comments are provided to emphasize the importance of active management informed by the actual future results of Utility operations by the City. While the planning effort supported by this Study will serve to guide and inform the City in balancing future revenue and spending decisions, it is only through observation of future results, and the update of this analysis, that the City will be able to determine the actions required to ensure its financial and operational objectives are met over time.

Appendix A includes detailed schedules presenting all components of the financial management plan developed for the Utility.

<sup>1</sup> Reflects adopted increase for FY 2020, percentage equals a \$2 change in residential rate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FY 2021 amount will be recovered through the new fee structure presented in Section 3 of this report.

#### 3. STORMWATER FEE DESIGN

#### 3.1 CURRENT STORMWATER BILLING BASIS

The use of a stormwater system in a highly urbanized area, such as the City of Fort Lauderdale, is both ubiquitous and not directly measurable. In contrast, within the City's water utility, a water meter provides a highly precise basis for determining a customer's usage of the water system. Within a stormwater utility, no such meter or exact measurement of usage currently exists, thus communities rely on bases that serve as a proxy for parcel benefit related to the provision of stormwater services. The collection of dedicated stormwater revenues from property owners is accomplished through the use of different billing bases in communities all over the country. The billing basis is essentially the methodology used to measure the stormwater benefit each parcel receives and is intended to fairly apportion the stormwater utility's revenue requirement among benefitting parcels. The process of choosing a stormwater billing basis methodology is driven by several key factors, including primarily the availability of data in the community and level of complexity. For example, while it would require limited information to bill each parcel owner in the City the same flat fee, this approach would certainly not recognize the different stormwater contribution potential from parcels and benefit conferred to the diverse set of parcels in the City's service area. There are a number of different approaches that have been utilized around the country to address stormwater cost apportionment; a list of the most common billing bases is included in Figure 3.1.

Figure 3.1 Common Stormwater Billing Basis



The City currently charges stormwater to parcels within the City using two of the of the most common billing bases described above: gross area and dwelling units. In addition to these directly measured units, the City's current stormwater fees were originally developed using a method known as net effective impervious area (NEIA). This method applies an intensity of development factor to the aggregate gross area of parcels by Department of Revenue (DOR) land use in the community to determine the net effective impervious area being served. The result is then used to distribute costs to three customer classifications based on the relative effective impervious area of each class. The City's three customer classifications are as follows:

**Category I** means any lot or parcel developed exclusively for residential purposes limited to, single-family homes, manufactured homes, multifamily, apartment buildings, and condominiums designed to accommodate three (3) or fewer dwelling units. For billing coding purposes, Category I parcels are referred to as STMS.

**Category II** means any developed lot or parcel not in Category I or Category III, as defined herein. For billing coding purposes, Category II parcels are referred to as STMC.

**Category III** means property which is undeveloped or not significantly altered from its natural state by the addition of improvements such as buildings, structures, impervious surfaces, changes of grade, or landscaping. This includes properties such as vacant parcels, parks, airports, golf courses and well fields. For purposes of this article, a property shall be considered developed upon issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon completion of construction or final inspection if no such certificate is issued. For billing coding purposes, Category III parcels are referred to as STMU.

The conversion of natural land to developed land with the addition of impervious area results in increased stormwater runoff. Most communities with stormwater utilities use impervious area, or some variation of impervious area, as the basis for the stormwater fees. Impervious area impedes the natural infiltration of stormwater into the ground and results in higher stormwater runoff during precipitation events that must be managed by the City's stormwater system.

Numerous engineering and hydrologic studies have demonstrated that impervious area is the single most important factor contributing to the quantity and quality of stormwater runoff from a property. As a result, impervious area has been demonstrated to be a highly defensible, widely used, and easily understood component of stormwater rates across the country.

The City's current stormwater fee is based on a calculation of effective impervious area on a parcel considering impervious as well as pervious area. This Study reviewed the various industry standard bases for recovery of stormwater costs and provides a recommended methodology that best fits the functions within the Utility as well the needs of the community by increasing transparency, administrative efficiency, and property owner understanding.

#### 3.2 RECOMMENDED STORMWATER BILLING BASIS

In developing a recommended billing basis for any community, one of the primary goals is to connect the community's stormwater service delivery model to the billing basis in order to create a strong nexus between the parcels being charged a fee for services and the stormwater benefits conveyed.

Based on detailed discussions with City staff, the City has a unique stormwater service delivery model strongly influenced by the City's underlying physical environment, mainly its coastal proximity and low ground elevation in relation to sea level. Normally when discussing stormwater services, it is assumed that

the source of the stormwater being managed is precipitation, but in the City of Fort Lauderdale, the ocean waters also play a prominent role. In fact, the City's stormwater system is often inundated by the presence of King Tides, which involve the highest tides of the year that backflow into the stormwater system though outfalls. These events result in the stormwater system being compromised when impacted by King Tides as the hydrologic capacity of the system is diminished. In the most extreme cases, the ocean water can infiltrate the stormwater system and spill onto the roadway surface, resulting in an impairment to the use of the road and consequently ingress or egress to property, even on sunny days.

In addition, most developed parcels in the City have been constructed above the crown of the road by a significant margin, mainly driven by building codes. This means that in most cases, when developed parcels generate stormwater during precipitation events, the stormwater is discharged into the roadway network to be collected and managed.

The confluence of both ocean/tidal and property-based stormwater contributions in the City's roadway network makes this component of the stormwater system critically important to the City's stormwater management. This is evidenced by the City's stormwater capital investments and operational activities, which contain a significant concentration in managing stormwater on the roadway network and keeping ocean/tidal forces at bay, in an effort to maintain passable roads.

The uniqueness of the City's stormwater system provides a significant opportunity from a fee making perspective to ensure there is a rational alignment between the parcels benefiting from stormwater services in the City and the stormwater fee that those parcels pay. The identification of the roadway network as a key component of the stormwater system where parcel-based stormwater contributions, ocean tidal forces, and the City's stormwater management activities converge, makes a compelling case that any modifications to the City's current stormwater fee structure should take this relationship into account.

Based on Stantec's project team's experience, trip generation rates were identified as a potential billing basis that would create a strong nexus between the benefit received by parcels and the fee levied against parcels in the City. Trip generation rates are studied and published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and provide detailed estimates of roadway usage by current Department of Revenue (DOR) land use types. Leveraged in fee setting, these estimates provide an ability to define the relative benefit of free and passable roads by DOR land use type, and by extension, the benefit of the City's stormwater services that work to limit the impairment of the City's roadways from stormwater and tidal forces. The following figure provides an example of the trip generation rates of 3 diverse DOR land uses commonly found within the City's service area.

Figure 3.2 Trip Generation Rate Example




Figure 3.2 illuminates several themes in how diverse parcel land uses benefit from free and passable roads within the City. As shown in the comparison, it is often the case that commercial parcels more intensely generate trips due to the economic activity that takes place on the parcel, leading to greater realized benefit of clear and passable roads than a parcel of similar size that happens to be a single-family home. Additionally, trip generation rates are measured in one of two units; the building square footage or the number of trip demand units (e.g. dwelling units).

These measurements consider the entirety of a parcel's development, including vertical extent, which stands in significant contrast to traditional measures of potential stormwater benefit measurements such as impervious area. For example, the impervious area of two parcels can be identical as measured overhead, but one parcel may contain a one-story building with 15 residential dwelling units while the second parcel was developed in a more vertical fashion and may contain 300 residential dwelling units. Traditional measurements of stormwater would conclude that these two parcels benefit the same from stormwater services based on their measured impervious area. Considering the significant and meaningful stormwater activities that the City engages in on the public roadway network to maintain a free and passable roadway network, it is evident that these two parcels benefit differently. The example parcel with more dwelling units generates more trips and derives more benefit in total than the parcel with a lower use of the roadway network. Trip generation rates, by virtue of their application, take into account the entirety of a parcel's activity, including this vertical benefit component.

Based on the key observations uncovered in the course of the study relating to the City's provision of stormwater services stemming from runoff on developed parcels and the City's efforts in combating the impairment of the system from ocean/tidal forces, there are unique benefits to stormwater service in the City of Fort Lauderdale. Given these dynamics it would be reasonable that the stormwater fee basis be structured in such a way as to ensure that the cost of providing service in the community is directed to

parcels in proportion to their benefit of the system. As such, Stantec recommends that the City consider the following bifurcated fee basis for use in assessing stormwater fees:

- 1. Net Effective Impervious Area (Current Fee Basis)
  - a. Rationale: The City's current fee basis is effective in proportioning cost to parcels based on their development characteristics and the benefit received by addressing the quantity of stormwater runoff generated by properties in the City.

#### 2. Trip Generations Rates

a. Rationale: Given the City's significant stormwater activities aimed at maintaining and preventing impairment by precipitation driven stormwater events or ocean/tidal forces as well as performing water quality activities in or adjacent to roadways, trip generation rates provide a clear and defensible mechanism by which the City can assess stormwater fees in proportion to the benefit received by use of the roadway network.

The recommended approach of using two billing bases recognizes the primary benefits that stormwater services provide in the community to developed parcels. Although the incorporation of two billing bases is more complex, the approach arguably makes significant advances in providing an equitable and reasonable allocation of stormwater costs to benefitting parcels within the City.

#### 3.3 MEASUREMENT OF BILLING BASIS

In order to successfully implement a stormwater billing basis, the appropriate attributes of each parcel that will be assessed must be measured accurately. This section of the report details the efforts undertaken to create an up-to-date billing database, that allows for the determination of each parcel's billing units and the total billing units of the Utility.

#### Current Stormwater Billing Data

The City currently bills stormwater fees on a monthly basis by utilizing the existing billing infrastructure that supports the City's water and wastewater services. Each utility billing account is assigned a stormwater customer class designation and includes a billing unit representative of the gross sq. ft. of the parcel(s) being billed under the account. An initial analysis of the current billing data indicated that the billing units would benefit from an update with the most recent Broward County Property Appraiser (PA) data to ensure that the gross sq. ft. being billed for each parcel is accurate. In addition, the City's service area is highly urbanized and has considerably complex water infrastructure (e.g. in many cases one water meter is serving multiple parcels). This presents a challenge from a stormwater billing perspective, as multiple parcels must be aggregated to one utility account in order to be billed correctly. In many cities this represents an ongoing challenge that requires significant dedicated resources and effort in order to ensure that connections are maintained and updated in alignment with the underlying property data. It is unclear as to the last time the City systematically validated all the parcels to account linkages. Furthermore, it should be expected that the underlying parcel data also changes frequently as development, redevelopment, parcel splits, and parcel reconfigurations take place.

Based on the initial analysis, which indicated that gains in accuracy could be made by updating the billing data, it was decided that as part of this Study the existing billing units would be updated using the most current and available PA data as of August, 2019. The PA data was utilized as the main data source for the analysis conducted herein, which likely will result in different measured units for certain parcels within the City as compared to the current billing data.

#### **Billing Roll Creation**

Stantec's project team developed an updated parcel database to calculate the recommended stormwater fee structure based on net effective impervious area and trip generation rates. The database was constructed using a geographical informational software environment (GIS) and the most up-to-date PA data. The City consists of over 82,000 parcels, including condo or cooperative parcels that are stacked upon each other in towers/stacks that were considered as part of the analysis. Table 3.1 includes a summation of the property roll by DOR land use type that was relied on to determine the net effective impervious area and trip generation. The updated parcel data reveals that the City is diverse from a land use perspective, notably with over 35,000 single-family homes and 27,000 condos.

**Table 3.1 Updated Parcel Database Summary** 

DORUSEDETAILS	Count	Puilding Area (or ft.)	Barral Amar ( 6.1
00 - Residential - Vacant Residential	1,605	Building Area (sq.ft.)	7arcel Area (sq.ft.) 14,534,821
01 - Residential - Single Family	35,658	71,134,102	294,027,433
02 - Residential - Mobile Homes	15	12,370	48,552
03 - Residentiai - Multi-family-10 units or more	372	18,727,579	17,935,622
04 - Residential - Condominium	27,584	35,811,008	60,219
05 - Residential - Cooperatives	3,988	3,665,011	-
07 - Residential - Miscellaneous residential (migra	12	4,988	216,473
08 - Residential - Multi-family - less than 10 units	4,700	11,356,146	36,705,445
09 - Residential - Undefined – reserved for use by	134	285,774	-
10 - Commercial - Vacant Commercial	380	-	6,702,386
11 - Commercial - Stores, 1-story	683	5,710,518	15,443,367
12 - Commercial - Mixed use - store and office or	507	2,283,552	3,040,163
13 - Commercial - Department Stores	8	1,301,654	1,454,302
14 - Commercial - Supermarkets 15 - Commercial - Regional Shopping Centers	9	348,439	852,129
16 - Commercial - Community Shopping Centers	2	2,079,191	1,647,091
17 - Commercial - Office buildings, non-profession	29 432	1,266,547	4,602,011
18 - Commercial - Office buildings, non-profession	452 464	2,467,432 18,650,210	8,923,850
19 - Commercial - Professional services building	357	2,365,865	17,006,762
20 - Commercial - Airports (private or commercia	196	1,094,756	2,932,451 28,903,675
21 - Commercial - Restaurants, cafeteria	122	575,524	2,128,504
22 - Commercial - Drive-în restaurants	64	179,884	1,765,805
23 - Commercial - Financial Institutions (banks, sa	39	170,774	1,360,267
26 - Commercial - Service Stations	59	193,075	1,667,626
27 - Commercial - Auto sales, repair and storage,	182	2,356,396	6,775,045
28 - Commercial - Parking lots (commercial or pat	802	3,567,976	18,706,235
29 - Commercial - Wholesale outlets, produce hor	1	45,000	88,602
32 - Commercial - Enclosed theatres, enclosed au	4	114,852	203,265
33 - Commercial - Nightclubs, cocktail lounges, ba	44	287,812	1,117,636
35 - Commercial - Tourist attractions, permanent	1	8,635	103,882
38 - Commercial - Golf courses, driving ranges	6	47,286	8,191,652
39 - Commercial - Hotels, motels 40 - Industrial - Vacant Industrial	1,265	11,139,429	10,353,047
41 - Industrial - Light manufacturing, small equipm	79 77	4 050 700	1,587,978
44 - Industrial - Packing plants, fruit & vegetable p	1	1,950,783	8,786,409
48 - Industrial - Warehousing, distribution termina	1,362	28,629 18,462,614	50,827
49 - Industrial - Open storage, new & used bldg su	84	31,369	43,342,753 1,638,757
52 - Agricultural - Cropland soil capability Class II	3	22,500	32,250
67 - Agricultural - Poultry, bees, tropical fish, rabb	2	_	651,407
69 - Agricultural - Ornamentals, miscellaneous agi	9	7,398	104,349
70 - Institutional - Vacant Institutional	60	•	1,013,462
71 - Institutional - Churches	199	3,187,924	15,104,925
72 - Institutional - Private Schools and Colleges	63	2,418,147	6,374,659
73 - Institutional - Privately owned hospitals	17	3,138,252	3,090,132
74 - Institutional - Homes for the aged	27	600,755	1,230,453
75 - Institutional - Orphanages, other non profit o	3	50,549	95,898
76 - Institutional - Mortuaries, cemeteries, crema	13	40,019	4,262,763
77 - Institutional - Clubs, lodges, union halls	29	214,764	881,583
78 - Institutional - Sanitariums, convalescent and	20	329,298	561,123
79 - Institutional - Cultural organizations, facilities 80 - Government - Undefined-Reserved for future	2	77,233	59,788
82 - Government - Forest, parks recreational area	235	246.577	7,313,297
83 - Government - Public county schools - include	91	246,675	25 <b>,2</b> 92 <b>,77</b> 3
85 - Government - Hospitals	1	46.524	
86 - Government - Counties (other than public sch	3	46,531 437 565	138,082
87-Government - State other than military, fores	4	437,565 240,273	499,555 1 961 431
88 - Government - Federal other than military, for	1	8,419	1,961,431 185,657
89 - Government - Municipal other than parks, re-	48	1,027,866	7,772,531
91 - Miscellaneous - Utility, gas & electricity, telep	34	403,133	2,576,323
94 - Miscellaneous - Right-of-way, streets, roads, i	-	,	-, 0,02.0
95 - Miscellaneous - Rivers and lakes, submerged	-	-	_
96 - Miscellaneous - Sewage disposal, solid waste,	2	-	1,936,205
98 - Centrally Assessed - Centrally assessed	3	44,909	510,807
777			
Total	82,196	230,244,890	644,554,493

#### Measurement of Net Effective Impervious Area Billing Basis

The billing roll presented in the proceeding section was built upon to include net impervious area multipliers. The multipliers, specific to each DOR land use type, allows for the gross area of diverse DOR land uses to be aggregated and the amount of effective impervious area to be estimated. The multipliers were sourced from the City's 2009 Stormwater Master Plan. In addition, parcels have been grouped according to the City's current customer classifications including Category I (STMS), Category II (STMC) and Category III (STMU). The following table presents the net effective impervious area calculated by customer category.


**Table 3.2 Updated Net Effective Impervious Area** 

		TMS		TMC	STMC SUM STM		STMU SUM	STM S SUM	STMC SUM :	TMU SUM
00 - Residential - Vacant Residential	0.10	-	2,677,151	149	1,577,080	1,136	10,250,982	267,715	157,708	1,025,098
01 - Residential - Single Family	0.28	36,645	294,016,331	1	11,102	-	-	82,324,573	3,109	
02-Residential - Mobile Homes	0.62	15	48,552	-	-	-	-	30,102	-	-
03 - Residential - Multi-family-10 units or more	0.62	-	-	372	17,935,622		-	-	11,120,086	-
04 - Residential ~ Condominium	0.62	29	112,680	27,555	27,224,726	-	-	69,862	16,879,330	-
05 - Residential – Cooperatives	0.62	-	-	3,988	4,841,115	-	-	· -	3,001,491	-
07 - Residential - Miscellaneous residential (migra	0.62	-	5,349	11	211,639		_	3,316	131,216	-
08 - Residential - Multi-family – less than 10 units	0.62	7,377	24,130,042	1,405	12,583,040	-	-	14,960,626	7,801,485	-
09 - Residential - Undefined - reserved for use by	0.28	-	-	134	209,631	-	-		58,697	
10-Commercial - Vacant Commercial	0.10	-	-	117	3,219,182	263	3.483.204	_	321,918	348,320
11-Commercial - Stores, 1-story	0.75	-	-	683	15,481,520				11,611,140	- 10,000
12-Commercial - Mixed use - store and office or	0.75	-	-	507	3,245,275		_		2,433,957	
13 - Commercial - Department Stores	0.75	-	-	8	1,454,302		_		1.090.726	_
14 - Commercial - Supermarkets	0.75	-	-	9	852,129		_		639,096	-
15 - Commercial - Regional Shopping Centers	0.75	-	_	2	1,647,091	_	_	_	1,235,318	
16 - Commercial - Community Shopping Centers	0.75	-	_	29	4,631,618	_	_	_	3,473,714	_
17 - Commercial - Office buildings, non-profession	0.75	_		432	9,040,178		_	_	6.780.133	
18-Commercial - Office buildings, non-profession	0.75			464	18,186,659	_	_		13,639,994	-
19-Commercial - Professional services building	0.75	-	_	357	3,338,576	-	_		2,503,932	-
20-Commercial - Airports (private or commercia	0.10	_	_	192	6,703,245	4	22,322,151			2 222 215
21 - Commercial - Restaurants, cafeteria	0.75	-	_	122	2,132,967	. "	,322,131	-	670,325 1,599,725	2,232,215
22 - Commercial - Drive-In restaurants	0.75	-	_	64	1,765.805			•		-
23 - Commercial - Financial institutions (banks, sa	0.75	-	_	39	1,360,267		-	•	1,324,354	-
26 - Commercial - Service Stations	0.75		_	59	1,667,626	-	-	-	1,020,200	•
27 - Commercial - Auto sales, repair and storage,	0.75	_	_	182	6,775,045	-	-	•	1,250,720	-
28 - Commercial - Parking lots (commercial or pat	0.75	_		802	18,833,276	•	-	-	5,081,284	•
29 - Commercial - Wholesale outlets, produce hou	0.75		Ţ.	1	88,602	•	-	*	14,124,957	-
32 - Commercial - Enclosed theatres, enclosed au	0.75			4	203,265	•	-	-	66,452	-
33 - Commercial - Nightclubs, cocktail lounges, ba	0.75	-	•	44		•	-	-	152,448	-
35 - Commercial - Tourist attractions, permanent	0.75	-	•		1,117,636	•	-	-	838,227	-
38-Commercial - Golf courses, driving ranges	0.10	-	•	1	103,882	٠.		-	77,912	-
39-Commercial - Hotels, motels	0.75	•	•			6	8,191,652	•	-	819,165
40-Industrial - Vacant Industrial	0.10	-	-	1,265	10,976,516			-	8,232,387	•
	0.10	-	•	50	434,573	29	1,153,405	-	43,457	115,341
41 - Industrial - Light manufacturing, small equipm		•	-	77	8,786,409	-	-	•	878,541	-
44 - Industrial - Packing plants, fruit & vegetable p	0.10	-	-	1	50,827	-	•	-	5,083	-
48 - Industrial - Warehousing, distribution termina	0.10	-	•	1,362	44,759,311	-	-	-	4,475,931	-
49 - Industrial - Open storage, new & used bldg su	0.10	-	-	84	1,638,757	•	-	•	163,876	-
52 - Agricultural - Cropland soil capability Class II	0.10	-	-	2	13,500	1	18,750	-	1,350	1,875
67 - Agricultural - Poultry, bees, tropical fish, rabb	0.10	-	-		•	2	651,407	•	-	65,141
69 - Agricultural - Ornamentals, miscellaneous agr	0.10	4	56,844	-	-	4	47,506	5,684	-	4,751
70 - Institutional - Vacant Institutional	0.10	-	-	9	327,277	51	686,186	-	32,728	68,619
71 - Institutional - Churches	0.75	-	-	199	15,104,925	-	-	-	11,328,693	-
72 - Institutional - Private Schools and Colleges	0.75	-	-	63	6,374,659	•	-	-	4,780,994	-
73 - Institutional - Privately owned hospitals	0.75	-	-	17	3,090,132	-	-		2,317,599	-
74 - Institutional - Homes for the aged	0.75	-	-	27	1,230,453		-	-	922,840	-
75 - Institutional - Orphanages, other non profit o	0.75	-	-	3	95,898	-	-	-	71,923	-
76 - Institutional - Mortuaries, cemeteries, crema	0.75	-	-	4	80,631	9	4,182,132		60,473	3,136,599
77 - Institutional - Clubs, lodges, union halls	0.75	-	-	29	881,583	-		-	661,188	· · · · -
78 - Institutional - Sanitariums, convalescent and г	0.75		-	20	561,123	-	-	•	420,842	-
79 - Institutional - Cultural organizations, facilities	0.75	-	-	2	59,788	-	_	-	44,841	-
80 - Government - Undefined-Reserved for future	0.10	-	-	9	281,632	226	7,031,665	_	28,163	703,166
82 - Government - Forest, parks recreational area	0.10	-		-	=	91	25,292,773	-	20,203	2,529,277
83 - Government - Public county schools - Include	0,75	-		-			•	_	_	_,,
85 - Government - Hospitals	0.75	-		1	138,082		-	-	103,561	
86 - Government - Counties (other than public sch	0.10	-	-	3	499,555	-	-	_	49,956	_
87 - Government - State other than military, fores	0.10	-		4	1,961,431	-	-	_	196,143	_
88 - Government - Federal other than military, for	0.10		-	1	185,657	_			18,566	
89 - Government - Municipal other than parks, re-	0.10			45	7,859,198	2	1,541	-	785,920	154
91 - Miscellaneous - Utility, gas & electricity, telep	0.10	_	-	34	2,576,323		1,J+1	-	785,920 <b>25</b> 7,632	154
94 - Miscellaneous - Right-of-way, streets, roads, i	0.10	-	_			-		•	431,032	-
				-	-	-	-	-	•	-
	0.10		-	_	_	_				
95 - Miscellaneous - Rivers and lakes, submerged	0.10	-	-	-	-	-	1 036 306	-	-	-
	0.10 0.10 0.75		-	3	- - 510,807	2	1,936,205	-	- - 383,105	193,621

Once the net effective impervious area calculation was completed, all parcels were summarized in terms of the customer class billing designations (STMS, STMC, and STMU). STMS parcels are currently billed


based on dwelling units on each parcel, while STMC and STMU parcels are billed on the amount of gross area of the parcel. The following figures show the updated billing units based on the parcel analysis presented herein against the City's current billed units (2018). It should be noted that differences between the current billed units and updated figures are to be expected, as a significant amount of time has elapsed since the last full parcel by parcel update of the stormwater billing units.

Figure 3.2 Single-Family (STMS) Dwelling Units Comparison



In updating the single-family customer classification, it was revealed that there were 3.1% or 1,306 more dwelling units recorded in the Property Appraisers database than were being billed as of FY 2018.

Figure 3.3 Developed Parcels (STMC) Sq. Ft. of Gross Area Comparison



In updating the STMC customer class, the billable sq. ft. was shown to decrease from 2018 billing levels. This is in large part a result of parcels being placed into customer classes based on the strict definition outlined in the City's current stormwater ordinance as part of this analysis. The updated classifications resulted in several large parcels that are currently being billed as STMC being included in the undeveloped STMU categorization. In total, the customer class's billing units contracted by 7.3% or 21.5 million sq. ft.




Figure 3.4 Undeveloped Parcels (STMU) Sq. Ft. of Gross Area Comparison

With regards to the undeveloped classification of parcels (STMU), the updated database shows that billing units have increased over the 2018 billing data. This was largely driven by the recategorization of multiple parcels from the STMC category to the STMU category for billing purposes, leading to an overall 11% or 8.5 million increase in billable STMU sq. ft.

#### Measurement of Trip Generation Billing Basis

In addition to the use of net effective impervious area, it has also been recommended that the City, as part of a bifurcated stormwater fee, utilize trip generation rates. Trip generation rates will allow the City to determine the benefit a parcel receives from clear and passable roads, a key benefit of effective stormwater services in the community. No existing measurement of trip generation rates exist for the City. As such, Stantec worked to create a database that contained every parcel in the City and then calculated the parcel's trip generation rates based on the detailed information contained in the Trip Generation Manual 10th Edition from the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The manual is widely considered the industry standard in estimating trip generation rates for specific parcels and is based on a wealth of observation data collected on individual parcels over a number of years.

For each DOR land use category or code, a trip generation rate was assigned based on the ITE trip manual, and a trip generation driver was determined (most commonly it is the sq. ft. of the building on the parcel or

the number of dwelling units on the parcel). Multiplying the trip generation rate by the trip generation driver yields the estimated number of trips generated for a parcel.

In addition to the core trip generation calculation applicable to all parcels, reasonable adjustments were made in certain cases and are outlined in Table 3.3. These adjustments were made to calibrate the trip generation data to the community-specific parcel data.


- Vacant land was given a trip generation rate of zero as this land use has no ongoing use of the roadway network and the ITE manual has no trip generation rate outlined for vacant land.
- Certain types of land use inclusive of mixed use, department stores and supermarkets have pass by rates applied to their trip generation rates. For example, supermarkets are often an intermediate trip destination, which ITE accounts for in its overall documentation of land uses that have a high proportion of intermediate trip stops. As such, supermarkets are only assigned 34% of the calculated trips to ensure that they are only charged for the estimated number of terminal trips to the parcel.

**Table 3.3 Calculation of Trips** 

DRUSEDETAILS	Silled Units Billed SOFT	Trip Gen Type Trip	Generation Trip	Gen (Pass By) Trip	Gen (Effective Tri:	os Units	Trips SQFT	Total Tr
- Residential - Vacant Residential		Units				- :		
l - Residential - Single Family	36,650	Units	9.44	100%	9.44	345,976		345,
: - Residential - Mobile Homes	15	Units	5.00	100%	5.00	75		042,
- Residential - Multi-family-10 units or more	15,902	Units	5.44	100%	5.44	86,507		86.
- Residential - Condominium	28,048	Units	4.45	100%	4.45	124,814	_	124.8
- Residential - Cooperatives	3,988	Units	4.45	100%	4.45	17,747		17,
-Residential - Miscellaneous residential (migrant camp, boarding homes, et						11,7-11	_	274
- Residential - Multi-family – less than 10 units	14,424	Units	7.32	100%	7.32	105,584		105,5
- Residential - Undefined – reserved for use by department of revenue only						203,504		103,.
- Commercial - Vacant Commercial								
- Commercial - Stores, 1-story	5.710.5	18 SQFT	9.74	100%	9.74	_	55,620	55,6
- Commercial - Mixed use - store and office or store and residential or residential		52 SQFT	9.74	36%	3.51		8,007	8,0
-Commercial - Department Stores		54 SQFT	22.68	34%	7.78	•	10,126	
- Commercial - Supermarkets		39 SQFT	106.78	34%	36.31	-		10,1
- Commercial - Regional Shopping Centers		S1 SQFT	37.75	100%	37.75	·	12,650	12,6
- Commercial - Community Shopping Centers		7 SQFT	37.75	100%	37.75 37.75	-	78,489	78,
- Commercial - Office buildings, non-professional services, one story		32 SQFT	9.74			-	47,812	47,
- Commercial - Office buildings, non-professional services buildings, multi-s	18,650,2			100%	9.74	-	24,033	24,0
- Commercial - Professional services building			9.74	100%	9.74	-	181,653	181,
- Commercial - Airports (private or commercial), bus terminals, marine terr		55 SQFT	9.74	100%	9.74	•	23,044	23,
-Commercial - Restaurants, cafeteria		66 SQFT	24.30	100%	24.30	-	26,598	26,
- Commercial - Drive-in restaurants		24 SQFT	16.97	50%	8.49	-	4,883	4,
- Commercial - Financial institutions (banks, savings & loan companies, mor		34 SQFT	470,95	100%	470.95	-	84,716	84,
- Commercial - Service Stations		4 SQFT	100.03	100%	100.03	-	17,083	17,
		75 SOFT	2.25	100%	2.25		434	
- Commercial - Auto sales, repair and storage, auto-service shops, body and	2,356,3	6 SQFT	16.28	100%	16.28	-	38,362	38,
- Commercial - Parking lots (commercial or patron), mobile home parks						-	-	
- Commercial - Wholesale outlets, produce houses, manufacturing outlets		O SQFT	0.55	100%	0.55		25	
Commercial - Enclosed theatres, enclosed auditoriums		2 SQFT	78.09	100%	78.09	-	8,969	8
- Commercial - Nightclubs, cocktall lounges, bars, yacht clubs, social clubs, I	287,8	2 SOFT	78,09	100%	78.09		22,475	22
- Commercial - Tourist attractions, permanent exhibits, other entertainmer	8,63	5 SQFT	3.58	100%	3.58		31	
- Commercial - Golf courses, driving ranges	47,2	6 SQFT	30.38	100%	30.38	-	1,437	1,
- Commercial - Hotels, motels	12,525	Units	1.06	100%	1.06	13,277		13,
- Industrial - Vacant Industrial						,		,
- ladustrial - Light manufacturing, small equipment manufacturing plants, si	1,950,78	3 SQFT	4.96	100%	4.96		9,676	9.
<ul> <li>Industrial - Packing plants, fruit &amp; vegetable packing plants, meat packing</li> </ul>	28,62	9 SQFT	4.96	100%	4.96		142	-
Industrial - Warehousing, distribution terminals, trucking terminals, van &	18,462,6:	4 SQFT	1.74	100%	1.74	_	32,125	32,
Industrial - Open storage, new & used bidg supplies, Junk yards, auto wrec		9 SOFT	1.74	100%	1.74		55	32,
Agricultural - Cropland soil capability Class II				255,5	4.77	=		
Agricultural - Poultry, bees, tropical fish, rabbits, etc.						•	-	
Agricultural - Ornamentals, miscellaneous agricultural						•	-	
Institutional - Vacant Institutional						-	-	
Institutional - Churches	3,187,92	A COST	6.95	100%		-	•	
Institutional - Private Schools and Colleges	2,418,14		11.59		6.95	•	22,156	22,
Institutional - Privately owned hospitals				100%	11.59	•	28,026	28,
Institutional - Homes for the aged	3,138,25		10.72	100%	10.72	-	33,642	33,
Institutional - Orphanages, other non profit or charitable services		5 SQFT	6.64	100%	6.64	-	3,989	3,
institutional - Mortuaries, cemeteries, crematoriums		9 SQFT	5.64	100%	6.64	-	336	
institutional - Clubs, lodges, union halls	4,262,76		0.00	100%	0.00	-	1	
		4 SQFT	6.95	100%	6.95	-	1,493	1,
Institutional - Sanitariums, convalescent and rest homes		8 SQFT	6.64	100%	6.64	-	2,187	2,
Institutional - Cultural organizations, facilities	77,23	3 SQFT	6.64	100%	6.64	-	513	
Government - Undefined-Reserved for future use						-		
Government - Forest, parks recreational areas	25,292,77	S SQFT	0.00	100%	0,00	-	0	
Government - Public county schools - includes all property of board of pu	-	SQFT	20.17	100%	20.17	_		
Government - Hospitals	46,53	1 SQFT	10.72	100%	10,72	-	499	
Government - Counties (other than public schools, colleges, hospitals) incl	437,56	S SQFT	9.74	100%	9.74	_	4,262	4,
Government - State other than military, forests, parks, recreational areas,	240.27	SOFT	9.74	100%	9,74	_	2,340	2,
Government - Federal other than military, forests, parks, recreational are:		SQFT	9.74	100%	9.74	-	2,340 82	2,
Government - Municipal other than parks, recreational areas, colleges, he	1,027,86		9.74	100%		-		
Miscellaneous - Utility, gas & electricity, telephone & telegraph, locally as:		SOFT	13.24	100%	9.74	•	10,011	10/
Miscelfaneous - Right-of-way, streets, roads, irrigation channel, ditch, etc.	-105,15	, may 1	13.24	100%	13.24	•	5,337	5,
Miscellaneous - Rivers and lakes, submerged lands						-	•	
Miscellaneous - Sewage disposal, solid waste, borrow pits, drainage resen						-	-	
Centrally Assessed - Centrally assessed		SQFT				•	-	
			9.74	100%	9.74		437	

After applying the trip generation rates to the trip demand factors by land use category, it was possible to calculate the estimated total number of trips contained within the City's boundaries within a day at peak trip times, which is 1,497,735 trips. Importantly, the distribution of trip generation within the City is a more meaningful metric that assists in understanding who benefits from using the roadway networks when they are clear and passable. Figure 3.4 displays the relative contribution of trips generated by the five major land use categories. Notably, residential and commercial land uses are representative of 89% of all trips and are roughly split in half in terms their respective contributions.

Figure 3.4 Distribution of Trips



■ Residential ■ Commercial ■ Industrial ■ Institutional □ Government ■ Misc.

#### 3.4 COST OF SERVICE

The preceding sections of this Study detailed the derivation of billing units from both the conventional and currently used net effective impervious area basis as well as the new billing basis which uses trip generation rates. Whenever a bifurcated fee basis is used, it fundamentally requires an allocation process to occur, which defines how much revenue will be recovered from each fee basis. The most appropriate method to determine these allocations is within a detailed cost allocation analysis that carefully considers the Utility's functions and then allocates revenue requirements to the fee structure in alignment with those functions.

Stormwater services in the City are provided through two primary service functions, water quantity and water quality. The water quantity function is concerned with flood management and ensuring that the stormwater system can collect, transport, and deposit stormwater into receiving bodies efficiently. In addition to managing quantity, the Utility works to reduce the pollutant loading of the waters transported through its systems to local water bodies. Such activities are commonly referred to as quality related. From a fee making perspective, the compartmentalization of cost into these two key service delivery mechanisms provides an ideal separation that can be leveraged to develop the basis for the fee structure.

In the City's case, the current annual operational and capital costs of the Utility are not clearly separated between quantity and quality in the standard operating budget detail. As such, a cost of service analysis was used in order to provide a current snapshot of stormwater activities and assign the current budget allocations to quantity and/or quality activities.

The cost of service analysis was initiated by first choosing a test year for analysis. A test year is simply a representative fiscal year used to examine system expenditures and split costs into the quality and quantity components. Given the planned capital expenditures for the Utility in the near future, FY 2021 was chosen

as the test year for analysis. A custom financial model was then populated using the FY 2021 revenue requirements in line item detail as forecasted in the FSA. Next, an interactive work session was conducted with City staff in order to gain operational insights and further support the cost allocation decisions. Stormwater staff provided valuable insights resulting in the allocation of quality and quantity budget portions, the results of which are shown in Table 3.4.

**Table 3.4 Cost Allocation Summary** 

Description	FY 21 Test Year Cost	Quality Portion	Quantity Portion
Stormwater Repair	\$2,337,883	\$0	\$2,337,883
Stormwater General Expenditures	2,128,705	834,164	1,294,541
Stormwater Insurance	241,465	94,622	146,843
Stormwater Watershed Asset Mgmt.	2,129,116	1,515,036	614,080
Swale Cutback	929,196	573,445	355,751
Storm Drain Maintenance	3,587,834	1,435,133	2,152,701
Debt Service	12,789,668	712,337	12,077,331
Bond Coverage Expense <sup>3</sup>	924,390	51,485	872,905
Cash Funded Capital	4,297,025	674.334	3,622,691
Transfer to Special Obligation Bonds	226,715	88,842	137,873
Total	\$29,591,997	\$5,979,398	\$23,612,599
% Allocation		20.21%	79.79%

The results of the cost of service analysis, illuminate that in FY 2021, approximately 20% of expenditures were related to water quality activities, while 80% of expenditures were associated with the quantity of stormwater. These results provide a basis for cost apportionment between the stormwater billing basis, as described in the fee design section (Section 3.5) of this report.

#### 3.5 STORMWATER FEE DESIGN

This section of the report examines the mechanics of creating a bifurcated stormwater fee and calculates the level of fees for FY 2021. The revenue requirement of the Utility as identified in Section 2 serves as the target level of revenue generation, while the billing units measured for the net effective impervious area and trip generation rate will serve to distribute the revenue requirement to individual parcels consistent with the cost of service analysis results presented in Section 3.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bond coverage expense for FY 2021 represents the additional revenue requirement of the Utility to meet its target senior debt service coverage ratio of 1.50, per discussions with City Staff.

#### Revenue Requirement

The operational revenue requirement is simply the amount of money that the Utility needs in FY 2021 to cover its expected operating and capital costs. For the purposes of calculating fees, assumptions related to additional costs of collecting the revenue requirement have been added to arrive at the total fee revenue requirement as shown in Table 3.4, assuming the City avails itself of using the non-ad valorem method of collection. Section 5 of this report details the collection methods available to the City, contrasts the benefits, and concludes by recommending the non-ad valorem method of collection. Additional cost assumptions related to collecting the total revenue requirement includes the pre-payment discount, which is assumed at 3% of the operational revenue requirement and accounts for the fact that most fee payers will pay their tax bills early, receiving a discount. Additionally, a standard tax collector fee of 2% of the operational revenue requirement was added to account for the cost of administering the fee thorough the non-ad valorem method. Finally, 1% of the operational revenue requirement has been added to account for non-payment issues that may arise. The summation of the four components of the stormwater fee revenue requirement yields a total revenue requirement of approximately \$31.4 million for FY 2021.

**Table 3.5 Fee Revenue Requirement** 

Fee Revenue Components	FY 2021 Amount		
Operational Revenue Requirement	\$29,591,997		
Pre-Payment Discount	887,760		
Tax Collector Fee	591,840		
Non-Collection Contingency	295,920		
Total Fee Revenue Requirement	\$31,367,517		

The next step in the analysis was to attach the stormwater billing bases to the total fee revenue requirement using the findings from the cost of service analysis performed on the Stormwater Utility for test year FY 2021. This analysis illuminated the fact that the Utility spends approximately 20% of its budget activities on stormwater quality and 80% on stormwater quantity.

Net effective impervious area is by its nature a measurement concerned with identifying the stormwater generation of a parcel. As such, it is recommended that stormwater quantity related costs be attached to the net effective impervious area portion of the stormwater fee basis. Doing so recognizes the strong relationship between the cost the Utility incurs in managing runoff from parcels generating the runoff.

The remaining 20% of the Utility's total fee revenue requirement are associated with water quality activities. Much of the City's stormwater quality impairment comes from debris accumulated in the roadways and consequently many of the City's quality activities take place adjacent to the roadway network. In addition, the Utility funds street sweeping, a critical water quality service that is performed in the roadway. As such,

it is recommended that stormwater quality related costs be attached to the trip generation portion of the stormwater fee basis. Doing so recognizes the relationship that exists between the cost the Utility incurs in managing water quality in and adjacent to roadways with the use of those roadways.

**Table 3.6 Revenue Requirement** 

Fee Revenue Components	FY 2021 Amount	Proportion
Total Fee Revenue Requirement	\$31,367,517	100%
Net Effective Impervious Area	25,094,014	80%
Trip Generation	\$6,273,503	20%

#### Calculation of Net Effective Impervious Fees

With a revenue target established for the net effective impervious area portion of the stormwater fee, the annual fees for FY 2021 can be calculated to capture the correct amount of revenue based on the amount of billable net impervious area in the service area. The first step is to further allocate the revenue requirement between the stormwater fee customer classifications. The customer classes include single-family homes with 3 dwelling units or less (STMS), all other developed parcels (STMC), and undeveloped parcels (STMU). The measurement of net effective impervious area for each customer class is used to proportionately distribute the net effective imperious area component of the revenue requirement. This process is shown in Table 3.7. Upon distributing this portion of the revenue requirement to each customer class, fees are then calculated using defined billing units for each class. Single-family (STMS) homes are billed by dwelling units, whereas developed and undeveloped land are billed per acre of gross parcel area.

Table 3.7 FY 2021 Net Effective Impervious Area Revenue Distribution

Total	5,837	100%	\$25,094,014
Undeveloped Parcels	258	4.4%	1,109,651
Developed Parcels (STMC)	3,337	57.2%	14,345,721
Single-Family (STMS)	2,242	38.4%	\$9,638,642
Customer Class	NEI (Acres)	Proportion <sup>4</sup>	Revenue Requirement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Rounded, actual calculations use exact sq. ft. and proportions.

Table 3.8 FY 2021 Net Effective Impervious Area Fee Calculation

Customer Class	S	Revenue Requirement	Billing Unit (Gross Acres)	Billing Unit (Dwelling Unit)	FY 2021 Annual Fee <sup>5</sup>
Single-Family	(STMS)	\$9,638,642		44,070	\$218.71/Dwelling Unit
Developed (STMC)	Parcels	\$14,345,721	6,311		\$2,273.01/Per Acre
Undeveloped (STMS)	Parcels	\$1,109,651	1,957		\$567.00/Per Acre

#### **Calculation of Trip Generation Fees**

For the second component of the bifurcated fee structure, a similar process to the net effective impervious area fee calculation is required. The identified portion of the revenue requirement of approximately \$6.3 million for trip generation-based rates is divided by the total number of trips in the service area to determine the annual fee per trip that would be applied to the number of calculated trips for each parcel.

Table 3.9 FY 2021 Trip Generation Fee Calculation

	Revenue Requirement	Billing Unit (Trips)	FY 2021 Annual Fee <sup>6</sup>
Trip Generation Fee	\$6,273,503	1,497,735	\$4.19/Per Trip

#### **Bifurcated Fee Structure Construction**


Now that both the net impervious area fee and trip generation fee have been calculated, they can be harmonized into a cohesive framework for assessing stormwater fees within the City of Fort Lauderdale. Figure 3.6 demonstrates the fee decision tree that results in a specific parcel's stormwater fee based on the parcel development characteristics and the calculated fee levels. With regards to the net effective impervious area portion of the fee, a parcel is placed into one of three customer categories, which exist currently in the City, and then charged according to the relevant billing unit and fee per billing unit. The trip generation fee is determined by calculating the trip generation potential of the parcel according to DOR land use and the magnitude of trip drivers on the parcel (sq. ft., dwelling units, etc.) multiplied by the fee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Calculation shown with rounded figures, while the fees use exact parameters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Calculation shown with rounded figures, while the fees use exact parameters.

per trip generated. The resulting summation of the net effective impervious fee and the trip generationbased fee results in a parcel-specific annual stormwater fee.

Figure 3.6 Bifurcated Fee Structure



#### **Special Parcel Considerations**

There are parcels located within the City that require special considerations when it comes to stormwater billing. These specific categories of parcels are defined and outlined below.

- Exempt These parcels were not included in the apportionment of the stormwater revenue
  requirement and were not included on the assessment roll. The basis for the categories of parcels
  included in the exempt property class include the following:
  - Public roads and rights-of-way: These properties serve as key components of the stormwater system.
  - Certain educational establishments have been determined to have sovereign immunity with regards to stormwater fees per legal precedent.
  - Bona-fide agricultural operations: Florida statute exempts these properties from stormwater charges

The properties included in the exempt property class are customarily considered exempt from a stormwater assessment by most communities across the country for similar reasons listed above.

Excluded – Parcels with governmental ownership (these parcels were included in the allocation
of the stormwater revenue requirement but were excluded from the assessment roll as they do
not receive a tax bill). The total assessment amount for these parcels was calculated and is
presented in this study. The City should evaluate its options with regards to revenue recovery
goals for these parcels and determine a policy for this property class.

#### 4. PARCEL BILL CHANGES

Understanding the customer impacts associated with the changes and modifications described herein will be critical to successful implementation. The modifications presented in the preceding sections will impact customer bills in several ways. First, the measured billing units for the net effective impervious area portion of the stormwater fee were updated to reflect the most currently available property appraiser data. For a large portion of the parcels in the City, this will result in a lower or higher number of billed units than had been applied before, as a significant amount of time had elapsed since the last full update of the billing units from the property appraiser data in the City's billing system. Secondly, the addition of the trip generation fee structure will result in the recognition of vertical development and high trip generating parcels within the City in the form of a higher fee than has previously been billed. Finally, and most consequential for the service area as a whole is the need for more revenue in FY 2021 as defined in the Financial Sustainability Analysis, indicating the need for a 54% increase in revenues as compared to FY 2020 levels.

The following explanatory bill impacts have been generated in order to provide illustrative examples of how customers will be impacted. Importantly, given the recommended change from the current utility billing to the non-ad valorem method of collection analyzed in the next section, it is difficult to get an apples to apples comparison of bill impacts for every single parcel as would be desired due to the change in ultimate recipient of the stormwater fee and aggregation of parcels/accounts. In order to assist in understanding the full breadth of customer impacts, fee distributions have also been included for the major parcel land uses and display 99% of all stormwater fees calculated for FY 2021. These fee distributions illuminate the clustering of fees and most common fees assessed to parcels in the service area.

Figure 4.1 Single-Family Stormwater Fee Change

Single Family Home (1 Dwelling Unit)	Annual	Monthly
Current:	\$1.68.00	\$14.00
Calculated:	\$215.8.246	\$21.50
Change:	\$90.26	\$7.52

Figure 4.2 Condo Stormwater Fee Change




Figure 4.3 Institutional Stormwater Fee Change




Figure 4.4 Commercial Stormwater Fee Change


Commercial Example (SQFT)		Annual	Monthly
	Current:	\$3,2'08,30	\$267.36
C	alculated:	\$22,584.61	\$1,882.05
Building 120,158 SQFT Parcel 82,526 SQFT	Change:	\$19,376.31	\$1,614.69

# 4.1 MUNICIPAL STORMWATER FEE COMPARISON

There are currently approximately 180 communities in Florida with stormwater utilities. To provide insight into how stormwater assessment/utility fees from comparable and local communities align with the fees calculated in this study, a local benchmarking comparison was developed. Figure 4.5 presents a comparison of the annual stormwater assessments/fees for local utilities as of November 2019.

Observationally, coastal municipalities that have similar challenges as the City of Fort Lauderdale tend to have higher fees and cluster to the right side of the survey. From an industry perspective, Stantec has noted a significant amount of upward pressure on stormwater fees that will likely result in the continued upward movements of other peer communities over time, much like what the City is experiencing now.

Figure 4.5 Monthly Single-Family Stormwater Fee Benchmarking Comparison



# 5. STORMWATER COLLECTION


# 5.1 STORMWATER COLLECTION METHODOLOGY EVALUATION

Stormwater revenues are collected from the customers and ultimate beneficiaries of a stormwater system through two standard methods: monthly utility bills or annual non-ad valorem property assessments. The City currently uses the utility billing method and has expressed concerns with regards to managing the required database needed to administer this method. Stantec reviewed the two methods and has the developed the following findings and recommendations.

# **Utility Billing Method**

This form of billing primarily relies on the existing utility billing infrastructure for water and sewer service in order to bill for stormwater service. For rural and/or communities with a simple flat fee for stormwater, this method can be appropriate and easy to administer with the level of the fee adjusted by the City in a relatively short timeframe. However, the City of Fort Lauderdale is a dense urban service area, which makes utility billing method less than optimal for the collection of stormwater fee revenue for numerous reasons. Utilizing the utility billing method requires that utility billing accounts are mapped to the underlying parcels being served by account. While simple in the case of one utility account to one parcel, there are often cases in which one utility account services multiple parcels. This dynamic is shown simplistically in Figure 5.1 below, in which one utility account and meter is serving two billable stormwater parcels. In practice, there are often very complex utility account to parcel relationships that can change over time. Without a significant amount of administrative resources, the accuracy of the relationship between utility accounts and parcels is often hard to maintain. As parcels are developed or existing parcels are split, the connection between utility accounts and parcels is altered and must be updated to maintain an accurate database. For these reasons, we recommend that the City no longer use the utility account as a means for billing and collecting stormwater fees.

Figure 5.1 Utility Billing Account to Parcel Example




# Non-Ad Valorem Assessment Method

Alternatively, the stormwater revenue requirements can be assessed to property owners directly through a non-ad valorem assessment (FS 197.3632) on the annual property tax bill. In doing so, this method eliminates the need to create utility billing account matches and additionally results in high revenue remittance rates, solving the primary deficiencies that arise in the City of Fort Lauderdale when using the utility billing method. For these reasons, it is recommended that the City switch billing methods to the non-

ad valorem process. While this approach is recommended, there are a few issues that should be recognized. The primary drawbacks to this method arise in the form of strict timelines for the submission of the assessment roll to the tax collector and the cost incurred in collection fees from the tax collector.

The full list of the pros and cons for the two methods considered in the Study are shown in Figure 5.2.

Figure 5.2 Billing Collection Method Comparison



Based upon interaction with the City's Commission, the City has accepted the recommendation to implement a non-ad valorem stormwater assessment and has directed that this Study develop such an assessment program for implementation in FY 2021. As such, the development of stormwater assessment fees and the final assessment roll reflect this assumption. The assessment roll should be updated each year with the most current parcel listing for the service area.

# 5.2 STORMWATER COLLECTION PEER COMPARISON

As part of the analysis, a local benchmarking comparison was developed to compare the stormwater revenue collection methodology employed by other local communities. Table 5.1 provides a summary comparison between local communities that utilize a non-ad valorem assessment collection methodology and those that charge a fee for stormwater services on the utility bill. Through Stantec's state and national experience, a movement from changing the collection method from the utility bill to an annual assessment has been observed and is expected to continue as communities realize the benefits of an annual assessment.

Table 5.1 Stormwater Revenue Collection Methodology Comparison

Utility	Assessment 🔨	Utility Bill		_
Fort Lauderdale		x }\s	-	——————————————————————————————————————
Tampa	X	makama ara ing pagalangan di Pagalangan di Pagalangan d Pagalangan di Pagalangan di	The same	
St. Petersburg		X		· 1
Miami Beach	a en la fet en juridia, dun atrabe. L	X		<b>1</b>
Palm Coast		x		
City of Jacksonville	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X		/
Hollywood		X		<b>4</b>
Cape Coral	X X	Karaman aya sa Sanah sa sa sa sa Sanah sa		
Hillsborough Coun	ty X			Jan Ja
Port St. Lucie				*
Orlando				
Clearwater	, face of the fill reveal of the Alleideness for the Salakies.	Contain de Contractiva (1905). X		
Naples		X		
folian deviation (Living)	. 2001 - 1. Westlander 2. 181. 191. -			- according a mark

# 5.3 FINDING OF BENEFIT

Per Florida Statute 170.201 Non-ad valorem assessments in the State of Florida must meet a two-pronged test. The first prong of the test is that the service being assessed must provide a special benefit to the properties being assessed. The second prong of the test is that the assessments must be fairly and reasonably apportioned to the parcels being assessed and the benefits to the parcels must equal to or exceed the assessment.

# 5.3.1 Special Benefit – The First Requirement

Stormwater management efforts are fundamentally concerned with the systematic management of stormwater runoff in the community, which are primarily driven through the quantity and quality of stormwater delivered to the municipal system. Properties within the City receive a special benefit from the stormwater services provided through annual operation, maintenance, and repairs of the Utility.

The City of Fort Lauderdale's stormwater system serves the property base of the community by receiving runoff from developed parcels and the roadway network during storm events, performing water quality activities, and conveying the stormwater to receiving bodies. This critical function provides for the protection of property during adverse storm events that can cause flooding and maintains clear and passable roads so that parcels are both accessible and usable. All of this results in enhanced property and rental value, marketability, and integrity of the property.

Therefore, the first requirement is met because each property burdened by the assessment will receive a special benefit from the stormwater service provided by the City that exceeds the cost of the assessment.

# 5.3.2 Fair and Reasonable Apportionment – The Second Requirement

In considering the assessment methodology, the second requirement is that the costs must be fairly and reasonably apportioned among the properties that receive the special benefit so that no property is paying more than the benefit received. The stormwater assessment for a property is based on the estimated stormwater generated on the parcel though the net impervious area basis and benefit of clear and passable roads as result of the specific characteristics of the property (i.e. impervious surface and DOR land use), and therefore, the second requirement is met because the assessment is fairly and reasonably apportioned through a detailed methodology to parcels receiving benefit from the stormwater system. Section 3 of this report summarizes the apportionment methodology used to create the assessment and describes the billing basis of the assessment program.

# 6. STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS

Stantec has completed detailed analyses for the City of Fort Lauderdale regarding revenue sufficiency, cost of service, stormwater fee structure modifications, and fee collection method. The preceding sections provide the details of the analysis that was performed for each component of this study. Based upon the analysis presented herein, Stantec offers the following recommendations:

# FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS

 The City should consider adjustments to the level of stormwater fee revenues in the future such that fees can sufficiently provide for the long-term sustainability of the Utility. Based on the needs of the Utility as identified herein, an adjustment of 54% on the stormwater user fee revenues commencing in FY 2021 and 3% annual increases continuing thereafter will provide the needed revenues levels to support the Utility for the next 5 years.

# STORMWATER FEE MODIFICATIONS

- The City should consider the use of a bifurcated stormwater fee that would charge parcels
  based on their net effective impervious area and trip generation potential, the combination
  of which will assign the annual cost of stormwater services based on the benefits received,
  namely the protection of property from flooding and clear & passable roads allowing ingress
  and egress to property.
- Based on a detailed cost allocation of stormwater expenses, the City should consider recovering 80% of the annual revenue requirements of the Utility on net impervious area basis and the remaining 20% on the trip generation basis. Doing so aligns the allocations of cost consistent with the functions of the Utility and benefit derived by properties therefrom.

# STORMWATER FEE COLLECTION METHODOLOGY

The City currently bills stormwater fees on a monthly utility bill with water and sewer services.
After a careful review of the City's current practices, Stantec has recommended that the City
utilize the non-ad valorem special assessment method to collect stormwater fees. Doing so
will allow the City to recognize significant benefits, including removing the administrative
complexity of matching parcels and utility accounts, greater transparency and higher
collection rates.

# IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS

• This report provides the framework and methodology for adjusting the City's stormwater user fees to provide for the continued sustainability of stormwater operations. The recommendations herein are expected to be considered by the City's Commission and upon approval would go into effect in November of 2020. The fee calculations provided herein will apply (if approved) to the property appraisals for the FY 2021 certified roll. This may result in slightly more or less revenue than anticipated as the property base characteristics can change from one year to the next, although changes are expected to be minor in comparison to the entire property base.

### Disclaimer

This document was produced by Stantec Consulting Services, Inc. ("Stantec") for Fort Lauderdale ("City") and is based on a specific scope agreed upon by both parties. Stantec's scope of work and services do not include serving as a "municipal advisor" for purposes of the registration requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (2010) or the municipal advisor registration rules issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. Stantec is not advising the City, or any municipal entity or other person or entity, regarding municipal financial products or the issuance of municipal securities, including advice with respect to the structure, terms, or other similar matters concerning such products or issuances.

In preparing this report, Stantec utilized information and data obtained from the City or public and/or industry sources. Stantec has relied on the information and data without independent verification, except only to the extent such verification is expressly described in this document. Any projections of future conditions presented in the document are not intended as predictions, as there may be differences between forecasted and actual results, and those differences may be material.

Additionally, the purpose of this document is to summarize Stantec's analysis and findings related to this project, and it is not intended to address all aspects that may surround the subject area. Therefore, this document may have limitations, assumptions, or reliances on data that are not readily apparent on the face of it. Moreover, the reader should understand that Stantec was called on to provide judgments on a variety of critical factors which are incapable of precise measurement. As such, the use of this document and its findings by the City should only occur after consultation with Stantec, and any use of this document and findings by any other person is done so entirely at their own risk.

# APPENDIX A: FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY ANALYSIS SUPPORTING SCHEDULES

Schedule 1 - Assumptions

Schedule 2 - Beginning Balances

Schedule 3 - Cash In

Schedule 4 - Cash Out

Schedule 5 - Cost Escalation Factors

Schedule 6 - Capital Improvement Program

Schedule 7 - FAMS Control Panel

Schedule 8 - Forecast of Net Revenues and Debt Service Coverage

Schedule 9 - Capital Projects Funding Summary

Schedule 10 - Detailed Funding

Schedule 11 - Senior Lien Borrowing Projection

Stantec | 38

A control of the control of

10112018   10112019   10112020   10112021	10/1/2022	- 402	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
42,789 40,687 40,876 41,065 189 189 189 189 189 189 189 189 189 189	41,254	10/1/2023	10/1/2024	10/1/2025	10/1/2026	10/1/2027	10/1/2028
42,769 40,687 40,876 41,065 189 189 189 189 189 189 189 189 189 189	41,254						
N/A (2,082) 189 189 189 189 N/A (2,082) 189 189 189 N/A -4.87% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.46% 0.43% 0.4	189	41,443	41,632	41.821	42 010	42 199	72 380
7,081 6,736 6,745 6,754 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		189	189	189	180	150	44,000
7,081 6,736 6,745 6,754 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.46%	0.46%	0.46%	0.45%	0.45%	0.45%	0.45%
7,081 6,736 6,745 6,754 9 9 9 1/4/45 1,737 1,652 1,633 1,614 1,137 1,1562 1,183 1,614 1,13% 1,165% 1,16% 1,1							
N/A (345) 9 9 9  N/A -4.87% 0.13% 0.13%  1,737 1,652 1,633 1,614  N/A -4.87% -1.18% -1.16%  N/A -4.87% -1.18% 1.16%  1.09% 100% 100% 100%  1.93% 1.30% 1.75% 2.00%  1.5 3.0 3.0 3.0	6,763	6.772	6.781	R 790	97.8	800	070
1,737 1,652 1,633 1,614  1,737 1,652 1,633 1,614  1,87% -4,87% -1,18% -1,16%  1,90% 1,16%  1,90% 1,10%  1,93% 1,30% 1,30%  1,53% 3,027,221 3,00%  1,53% 3,00%  1,53% 3,00%  1,5% 3,0 3,0 3,0	5	σ				000'0	0 0 0
1,737 1,662 1,633 1,614  NVA (85) (19) (19)  NVA -4,87% -1.18% -1.16% -1.16%  1,00% 100% 100% 100% 100%  1,93% 1,30% 1,30% 1,75% 2,00%	0.13%	0.13%	0.13%	0.13%	0.13%	0.13%	UL 0 15%
1,737 1,652 1,633 1,614  NVA (85) (19) (19) (19)  NVA -4,87% -1,18% -1,16%  1,00% 100% 100% 100%  1,93% 1,30% 1,30% 1,75% 2,00%  1,5 3.0 3.0 3.0							
N/A (85) (19) (19) (19)  N/A -4.87% -1.18% -1.16% -1.16% -1.16% -1.16% -1.16% -1.00% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1.5% -1.50% 3.0 3.0 3.0	1.595	1.576	1.557	1 538	4 640	7	
1.5 3.0 3.0 1.15% (13)  1.15% -4.87% -1.18% -1.16% -1.16% -1.16% -1.16% -1.00% -1.00% -1.00% -1.00% -1.00% -1.30% -1.30% -1.5% -2.00% -1.5 3.0 3.0 3.0			1	200	8 0'1	000,1	1,481
\$ 3,927,221 \$ 72,516,311 \$ 137,906,597 \$ 4,292,810 \$ 4,13 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1,93% 1,30% 1,75% 2,00% 1,5 3.0 3.0 3.0	<u> </u>	(19)	(19)	(19)	(19)	(19)	(19)
\$ 3,927,221 \$ 72,516,311 \$ 137,906,597 \$ 4,292,810 \$ 4,13 100% 100% 100% 100% 1,93% 1,30% 1,75% 2,00% 1.5 3.0 3.0 3.0	-1.18%	-1.19%	-1.21%	-1.22%	-1.24%	-1.25%	-1.27%
\$ 3,927,221 \$ 72,516,311 \$ 137,906,597 \$ 4,292,810 \$ 4,13 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%							
100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1.93% 1.30% 1.75% 2.00% 1.5 3.0 3.0 3.0	4,132,584 \$	4,529,329 \$	4,233,378	\$ 243,170,839	\$ 4,491,191 \$	4.625.927	4 764 704
1.93% 1.30% 1.75% 2.00% 1.5 3.0 3.0 3.0	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	
1.93% 1.30% 1.75% 2.00% 1.5 3.0 3.0 3.0							
1.5 3.0 3.0	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
1.5 3.0 3.0							
	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Personal Services 100% 100% 100% 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	400%	2000
d Maintenance 95% 100% 95%	%96	%26	95%	820	95%	8001	%00I
Capital Outlay 100% 100% 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	%CG-1	90% 100%

FY 2019 Beginning Balances as of 10/1/2018		Schedule 2
	Rev	Revenue Fund
Current Unrestricted Assets		
cash and cash Equivalents Receivables:	↔	12,054,927
Due from Other Governments		36,109
Total Assets	\$	14,122,463
Current Liabilities		
Accounts and Contracts Payable	↔	(296,163)
Unearned Revenues		(569,604)
Other Accrued Liabilities		(32,853)
CALCULATED FUND BALANCE (ASSETS - LIABILITIES)	s	13,223,843
Plus/(Less): Unencumbered Balances on Projects		(5,184,018)
Plus/(Less): Encumbered Balances on Projects		(1,420,607)
rius(Less). Operating Efficiences		(1,444,432)
NEI UNKESTRICTED FUND BALANCE	ક્ક	5,174,786
Available Fund Balance	\$	5,174,786

욉	Projection of Cash Inflows																Sche	Schedule 3
			FY 2019	FY 2020	101	FY 2021	ĬL.	FY 2022	FY 2023	Ŧ	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026		FY 2027	FY 2028	FY	FY 2029
- 2	Rate Revenue Growth Assumptions Residential Lots/Parcels																	
e	% Change in Revenue		NA	4.87%	×2	0.46%	J	0.46%	0.46%	ò.	0.46%	0.46%	0.45%		0.45%	0.45%	Ó	0.45%
4 1			:														i	
n	% Change in Kevenue		ΚN.	-4.87%	×2	0.13%	-	0.13%	0.13%	o	0.13%	0.13%	0.13%	.r	0.13%	0.13%	Ö	0.15%
9	Unimproved Land																	
7	% Change in Revenue		N/A	4.87%	,ø	-1.18%	•	-1.16%	-1.18%	7	-1.19%	-1.21%	-1.22%		-1.24%	-1.25%	77	-1.27%
œ																		! !
о :			N/A	16.67%	%	54.00%		3.00%	3.00%	3.6	3.00%	3.00%	65.00%	٠,٥	3.00%	3.00%	6	3.00%
₽;			V/Z	16,67%	8	54.00%	,	3.00%	3.00%	3.6	3.00%	3,00%	65.00%		3.00%	3.00%	i ਲੋ	3.00%
=	Assumed Unimproved Land Rate Increase		N/A	16,67%	%	54.00%	•••	3.00%	3.00%	3.0	3.00%	3.00%	65.00%		3.00%	3.00%	m	3.00%
12																		
13	Residential Lots/Parcels Revenue	ø	6,174,208	\$ 6,85	6,852,701 \$	10,602,181	69	\$ 66,070,01	11,351,868	49	11.745.992	\$ 12 153 546	6 \$ 20 144 389	4	20 842 480 e	24 5RA 34E	*	21 244 192
4	Commercial Lots/Parcels Revenue		10,304,399	11,436,765	3,765	17,636,150		18,189,472	18,760,122	,	9.348.640	19.955.58		<b>*</b>				202,116,22
15	•		801,392	88	889,459	1,353,666		1,378,053	1,402,686		1.427,556	1,452,656			2 408 536	2 449 763	3,	2,170,232
16	Total Stormwater Rate Revenue	w	17,280,000	\$ 19,178,924	3,924 \$	29,591,997	•	30,538,265 \$	۳.	so.	ı	\$ 33,561,787	65	40	57,255,567 \$	100	\$ 69	60,978,808
17	Other Operating Revenue																	
82	WRITE OFF RECOVERIES	S	9,000	υ»	8 000'6	000'6	8	9,000	00006	69	9 000 8	000 6 \$	v.	\$ 0000	0000	0000	•	000
19	P/W/OTHER-INTERFUND SVC CHG		30,000										•				•	3,000
20	PAWOTHER-INTERFUND SVC CHG					•			•						•	•		•
7			20,000			•			•		•					•		
Ø			51,000	Ş	51,000	51,000	_	51,000	51,000		51,000	51.000		51 000	21 000	. 000		21000
R	_'		200,000	ă	200,000	200,000	_	200,000	200,000		200,000	200,000		200,000	200,000	200,000		000,000
22	Total Other Operating Revenue	*	360,000	\$ 260	\$ 000'097		8	260,000 \$	260,000	€9	260,000 \$	\$ 260,000	<b>.</b>	260,000 \$	260,000 \$	260,000	w	260,000
55																		
8		43	142,648	\$ 130	3,913 \$		89	353,368 \$		€	407,311	\$ 434,949	49	576,490 \$	788.693 \$		69	173 844
27	_ '			2	27,853	149,469		255,793	255,793	i	255,783	255,793				555,314	•	555.314
87	i otal interest income	<b>49</b>	142,648	\$ 158	3,766	387,340	<b>-</b>	\$ 191,609	641,285	<b>.</b> ,	663,104	\$ 690,74	••	982,044 \$	1,344,006 \$	1,528,024	47	1,729,158
83	Total Cash Inflows	*	17,782,648	,648 \$ 19,597,690 \$	\$ 069'	30,239,337		\$ 31,407,426 \$	32,415,962	es	33,445,292 \$	34.512.529 \$	\$ 56 724 482		58 859 672 ¢	60 879 479	4	200 000
										·			11	,	* *********		9	cae' rae'

Negative growth in FY 2020 of 4.87% represents a calibration of calculated revenues to the City's budgated revenues. The assumed rate increase of 18.87% represents the City's proposed adjustment to rates for FY 2020 (\$12.00 to \$14.00 a month per single family residential.

Stantec | 41

ı
21
31
O.
žΙ
ŦΙ
21
OΙ
~
21
2.1
91
OI
71
٧,
<b>~</b> I
OI.
ıžΙ
i.i.
ă.
-=1
01
,≻I

	2000	201104												Schedule 4
	Index	Subobject	Subobject Expense Line Kem	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
- 6			Stormwater Fund (470) Personal Services											
en ·	PBS660505	1504	OVERTIME 1X PAY	\$ 2,184	\$ 2,293	\$ 2,419	\$ 2.552	\$ 2.693	2841	2997	3.163	2 228	2 640	6
4 n	PBS660502	1501	OVERTIME 1.5X PAY	22,932	24,078	25,403	26,801	28,275	29,830	31,470	33,201	35.027	35,954	38.986
n w	PBS660511	1501	OVERTIME 1.5X PAY	91,728	96,314	101,611	107,200	113,096	119,318	125,879	132,802	140,106	147,812	155,941
7	PBS660502	1201	LONGEVITY PAY	5.516	3,865	4 079	4303	14,051	/8,124 4,780	82,421	86,954	91,736	96,782	102,105
€	PBS660505	1201	LONGEVITY PAY	4,400	4,400	4,642	4,897	5,167	5.451	0,000 5,000	5,331 6,067	5,624	5,933	6,259
on (	PBS660511	1201	LONGEVITY PAY	10,606	12,283	12,959	13,671	14,423	15,216	16,053	16,936	17,868	18.851	10 887
2 5	PBS660502	1313	STANDBY PAY	000'6	9,424	9,942	10,489	11,066	11,675	12,317	12,994	13,709	14,463	15.258
= £	PBS660513	12.13	STANDBY PAY	000's	5,890	6,214	6,556	6,916	7,297	7,698	8,121	8,568	9,039	9.536
5 E	PBS660502	1304	ASSIGNMENT PAY	0,00,4T	17,670	18,642	19,667	20,749	21,890	23,094	24,364	25,704	27,118	28,609
4	PBS660511	1310	SHIFT DIFFERENTIAL	380	390	411	727	937		, 2	, ;	. :	•	
15	PBS660502	1101	PERMANENT SALARIES	544.530	572.860	604.367	434 637 608	458 672 678	483	510	538	567	593	8
16	PBS660505	1101	PERMANENT SALARIES	224,931	269,555	284,381	300,021	316,523	333.931	352.298	371.574	333,328	879,161	927,514
<u>~</u> •	PBS660511	5	PERMANENT SALARIES	828,859	931,479	982,710	1,036,759	1,093,781	1,153,939	1,217,408	1,284,363	1,355,003	1.429.528	1.508.152
<u> </u>	PBS660502	1407	CAR ALLOWANCES EXPENSE ALLOWANCES	000'E	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080	7,080
20	PBS660511	1407	EXPENSE ALLOWANCES	1 830	1 440		, ,		. :	. :	•		•	•
21	PBS660502	1413	CELLPHONE ALLOWANCE	1.440	1 440	1,440	044	044.	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440
23	PBS660511	1413	CELLPHONE ALLOWANCE	4,080	3,120	3 120	3 120	0.544,5	7,440	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440	1,440
23	PBS690603	1201	LONGEVITY PAY	1,724	1,724	1,819	1.919	2,024	2,72	2,53	3,120	3,720	3,128	3,120
z	PBS690603	1101	PERMANENT SALARIES	391,582	430,441	454,115	479,092	505.442	533 241	562 569	503 540	2,300	2,040	2,791
8 8	PBS690603	1407	EXPENSE ALLOWANCES	5,760	•	•	•		'	-	1	1,020	zec'000	576'960
9 5	PBS680603	1413	CELLPHONE ALLOWANCE	1,920	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2.400	2.400	2.400
3 8	PBS660505	2301	SOC SEC/MEDICARE	42,522	44,121	46,548	49,108	51,809	54,658	57,664	60,836	64,182	67,712	71,436
53	PBS660511	2301	SOC SECMEDICARE	10,103 85 598	20,959	22,112	23,328	24,611	25,985	27,393	28,899	30,489	32,165	33,935
30	PBS660511	2119	WELLNESS INCENTIVES	ecr'co	27.5	3,603	3,896	84,812	89,477	94,398	99,590	105,067	110,846	116,942
۳	PBS660502	2404	HEALTH INSURANCE	113,037	121,841	128.542	135.612	143.071	150 040	4,50,24	4,826	5,091	5,371	5,667
32	PBS660505	2404	HEALTH INSURANCE	50,835	54,840	57.856	61,038	64.395	57.937	71 674	25,546	27.07	82,363	197,272
8	PBS660511	2404	HEALTH INSURANCE	155,267	167,261	176,460	185,166	196,405	207,207	218,603	230.627	243.311	256 693	270.644
<b>8</b> 4	PBS960502	857	PENSION - DEF CONT	20,709	26,374	27,825	29,355	30,969	32,673	34,470	36,366	38.366	40.476	C0Z C7
9 %	PBS660511	3288	PENSION - DEF CONT	10,887	14,006	14,776	15,589	16,446	17,351	18,305	19,312	20,374	21,495	22.677
3 6	PRS660502	2204	DENSION - DEF CONT	31,075	26,912	28,392	29,954	31,601	33,339	35,173	37,107	39,148	41.301	43,573
88	PBS660505	2204	THE TAKENED STORY	20 073	225,05	53,090	56,010	59,090	62,340	65,769	69,386	73,202	77,228	81,476
39	PBS660511	2204	PENSION - GENERAL EMP	91.017	135 768	143 235	23,365	24,650	28,005	27,436	28,945	30,537	32,216	33,988
40	PBS69D603	2301	SOC SECMEDICARE	30.675	33,060	34.878	36 797	38,424	108,193	177.443	187,203	197,499	208,361	219,821
4	PBS690603	2119	WELLNESS INCENTIVES	ı	1,000	1,055	1,113	1,174	1,239	1.307	1379	48,092	50,737	53,527
Ç	PBS690603	2404	HEALTH INSURANCE	55,610	59,784	63,072	66,541	70,201	74,062	78,135	82.433	86.967	91.750	95.788
3 4	PBS700402	2410	WORKERS COMP	26,472	29,591	31,219	32,936	34,747	38,658	38,674	40,801	43,045	45,413	47,911
54	PBS660502	2119	WELLNESS INCENTIVES	et.	450,52 005	900, vc	28,482	448,80	61,443	62,979	64,553	66,167	67,821	69,517
46	PBS690603	2204	PENSION - GENERAL EMP	18,723	18,337	18.520	18.706	18 893	10 082	926	531	536	541	547
44	PBS660502	1199	OTHER REG SALARIES	1,369	•	. •			7	1	CD-1-	190's	9,900	50,035
£ 5	PBS660505	1199	OTHER REG SALARIES	2,847	•	•		•		•			1 1	. ,
7 6	COSCOSCIO	86.7	OTHER REG SALARIES	7,172	•	,								
5 6	PRS690603	1404	CAR ALLOWANCES	•	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
25	PBS700402	1801	CORE ADJUSTMENTS	27.500	000'e1	000,61	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
8	PBS660502	1801	CORE ADJUSTMENTS	1	(10,207)	(10.768)	(11.361)	(11985)	112 645)		- 220	1 0 0 0	1 000	
35	PBS660505	1801	CORE ADJUSTMENTS	ı	86,998	91,783	96.831	102.157	107.775	113 703	119.074)	(14,848)	(15,665)	(16,526)
S :	PBS660511	1801	CORE ADJUSTMENTS	į	209,816	221,356	233,530	246,375	259,925	274,221	289.303	305.215	322,002	339 712
8 15	PBS660505	2402	LITE INSURANCE	İ	369	378	388	397	407	417	428	439	450	461
28	PBS660511	2402	LIFE INSURANCE	1	1/4	3/8	183	187	192	197	202	207	212	217
25	PBS690603	2402	LIFE INSURANCE		977	615	630	646	962	679	969	713	731	749
					Š	<b>†</b>	R	298	306	313	321	329	337	346

Stantec | 42

Projec	Projection of Cash Outflows	th Outflow											S	Schedule 4
	Index	Subobject	Subobject Expense Line Item	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
9 E	PBS660502	3949	Operations & Maintenance	4	400.7		,							
25 63	PBS660505	3849	UNIFORMS	1,550	2,500	2,525	2,550	\$ 4,636 2,576	\$ 4,683 2,602	\$ 4,730	\$ 4,777	\$ 4,825	\$ 4,873	4,922
3 2	PBS660502	3940	ONITORMS SAFETY SHOES	2,400	8,000 2,250	8,080	8,161	8,242	8,325	8,408	8,492	8,577	8,663	8,749
88	PBS660505	3940	SAFETY SHOES	2,000	1,250	1,263	1,275	1,288	1,301	1.314	2,388	2,412 1,340	2,436	2,461
8 6	PBS660502	3999	SAFETY SHOES	4,000	4,250	4,293	4,335	4,379	4,423	4,467	4,511	4,557	4,602	4,648
89	PBS660505	3888	OTHER SUPPLIES	1,000	000'5	5,050	5.101	5,152	7,805	7,883	7,961	8,041	8,121	8,203
88 29	PBS660511	3999	OTHER SUPPLIES	1,000	1,000	1,010	1,020	1,030	1,041	1,051	1,062	1,072	1,083	5,468 1,094
2 2	PBS660505	3928	OFFICE SUPPLIES	1500		1 545	1 1	, 1	, ,	, [	• !	,	,	
22	PBS660511	3928	OFFICE SUPPLIES	200	1,000	1,010	1,020	1,030	. 1. 1.04.1	7,6,1	1,592	1,608	1,624	1,641
5 7	PBS660502	3913	HORTICULTURAL SUP	6,000	6,000	090'9	6,121	6,182	6,244	6,306	6,369	6,433	6,497	6,562
. 92	PBS660502	3946	TOOLS/EQUIP < \$5000	0000 8	49,000	49,490	49,985	50,485	50,990	51,499	52,014	52,535	53,060	53,591
92	PBS660511	3946	TOOLS/EQUIP < \$5000	2,000	,	2	102,51	cus.u	10,406	076,01	10,615	10,721	10,829	10,937
: 2	PBS660505	3925	OFFICE EQUIP < \$5000	2,000	2,000	2,020	2,040	2,061	2,081	2,102	2,123	2,144	2,166	2,187
7.	PBS660511	3925	OFFICE EQUIP < \$5000	2000	900	1010	1,020	618	624	631	637	643	650	656
98	PBS660502	3299	OTHER SERVICES	ì	2,000	5,050	5,101	5.152	5.203	160,1	1,062	1,072	1,083	1,094
E 8	PBS660505	3298	OTHER SERVICES	•	50,125	50,626	51,133	51,644	52,160	52,682	53,209	53,741	54,278	54,821
8	PBS660511	3216	COSTS/FEES/PERMITS	2.100	3,000	121,200	122,412	123,636	124,872	126,121	127,382	128,656	129,943	131,242
23	PBS660502	3228	DISPOSAL (TIP) FEES	32,500	32,500	32,825	33,153	3,117	3,148	3,179	3,211	3,243	3,276	3,308
88	PBS660505	3228	DISPOSAL (TIP) FEES	32,500	32,500	32,825	33,153	33,485	33,820	34,158	34 499	34,044 34,844	35,193	35,545
8 %	PBS660502	3228	DISPOSAL (TIP) FEES SOLID WASTE COLLECTIONS	71,900	65,000	65,650	66,307	66,970	67,639	68,316	68,999	689'69	70,386	71,090
88	PBS660511	3255	SOLID WASTE COLLECTIONS	15.940	15.000	15 150	15 302	15.455	46.600	207.24	, 47	, .		•
8	PBS660502	3437	IMP REP & MAINT	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,212,000	1,224,120	1.236.361	1 248 725	1.261.212	15,923	15,082	16,243	18,405
8 5	PBS660502	3404	COMPONENTS/PARTS	75,000	50,000	50,500	51,005	51,515	52,030	52,551	53,076	53,607	54,143	54.684
8	PBS660511	3404	COMPONENTS/PARTS	150 000	5,000	5,050	5,101	5,152	5,203	5,255	5,308	5,361	5,414	5,468
93	PBS660502	3407	EQUIP REP & MAINT	1,000	1.000	1.010	1.020	472,084	476,805	481,573	486,389	491,252	496,165	501,127
25 15	PBS660511	3407	EQUIP REP & MAINT	9,000	6,000	090'9	6,121	6,182	6,244	6.306	6.369	1,072	1,083	1,084 8,583
96	PBS660505	3434	IMP KEP MATERIALS IMP REP MATERIALS	50,000	25,000	25,250	25,503	25,758	26,015	26,275	26,538	26,803	27,071	27,342
26	PBS660505	3301	HEAVY EQUIP RENT	4,000	4,000	6,060 4,040	6,121	6,182	6,244	6,306	6,369	6,433	6,497	6,562
8 8	PBS660502	3310	OTHER EQUIP RENT	37,000	27,000	27,270	27,543	27,818	28,096	28.377	28 661	4,289 28,948	4,331	20.520
100	PBS660502	3801	OTHER EQUIP RENI GASOUNE	3,000	3,000	3,030	3,060	3,091	3,122	3,153	3,185	3,216	3,249	3,281
5	PBS660505	3801	GASOLINE	7.156	4.138	4.266	7 3 5	2/	7.676	3 3	30	<u>ج</u>	32	33
5 5 5	PBS660511 PRS660502	3801	GASOLINE DIESEL DIEL	10,993	2,304	2,375	2,449	2,525	2,603	2,684	2,767	2,853	2,83	3.033
5	PBS660505	3804	DIESEL FUEL	13,671	11,575	11,934	12,304	12,685	13,078	13,484	13,902	14,333	14,777	15,235
105	PBS660511	3804	DIESEL FUEL	29,537	27,563	28,417	29,298	30,207	31,143	19,850 32 108	33 104	21,100	21,754	22,428
9 5 7	PBS660502	3199	OTHER PROF SERV	171,000		•	•			i i	-	, ,	20.	30,273
108	PBS660511	3199	OTHER PROF SERV	120,000		. ,	1 4				•	1	ı	
6 (	PBS660502	3198	BACKFLOW PROGRAM	145	145	146	148	149	151	152	. 55	, t	ţ	150
2 E	PBS660511	3607	BACKFLOW PROGRAM FIRETRICITY	145	145	146	148	149	151	152	154	155	157	159
112	PBS660502	363	WATER/SEW/STORM		92,009 95	2, 2, 2, 8,	54,787	55,893	57,011	58,151	59,314	60,500	61,710	62,944
2	PBS660505	3634	WATER/SEW/STORM	876	417	421	425	430	434	10c 438	101	102	103	104
114	PBS660511	3634	WATER/SEW/STORM	1,934	2,032	2,052	2,073	2,094	2,115	2,136	2,157	2,179	2.200	2222
116	PBS660505	3628	TELEPHONE/CABLE TV	4,70u	3,900	3,343	4,386 3,978	4,430	4,475	4,519	4,565	4,610	4,656	4,703
117	PBS660511	3628	TELEPHONE/CABLE TV	6,700	6,700	6,767	6,835	6,903	6.972	7.042	4,14U 7,112	4,181 7.183	4,223	4,265
118	PBS690603	3828	OTHER SUPPLIES	3,400	4,000	4,040	4,080	4,121	4,162	4,204	4,246	4,289	4,331	4,375
120	PBS690603	3201	ADMARKETING	2,500	2.500	2,525	2,040	2,067 2,576	2,081	2,102	2,123	2,144	2,166	2,187
121	PBS690603	3299	OTHER SERVICES	5,000	152,000	153,520	155,055	156,606	158,172	159,754	161,351	2,580 162,985	2,707	2,734
12	PBS690603	3801	GASOLINE	37,334	24,000 1,294	24,240 1,334	24,482 1375	24,727	24,974	25,224	25,476	25,731	25,989	26,248
124	PBS690603	3807	OIL & LUBRICANTS		100	103	106	110	113	116	460, L	1,602	1,652	1,703
<b>6</b> 2	PBS690603	3199	OTHER PROF SERV	77,940	77,940	78,719	19,507	80,302	81,105	81,916	82,735	83,562	84,398	85.242
												,		!

Particular   1919   Control   Cont		Index	Subobject	Expense Line Item	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Proceeding   1975   1		PBS700402	3319	OFFICE SPACE RENT	100,000	102,000	103,020	104,050	105,091	106,142	107.203	108.275	109.358	110.451	111 556
Property   Configuration   C		PBS/00102	3101	ACCT & AUDITING SCHOOLS	2,436	3,002	3,032	3,062	3,093	3,124	3,155	3,187	3,219	3,251	3,283
Proposed   Control C		PBS660511	4116	SCHOOLS	3,000							1	1	•	•
PRINCESSORY   11   11   11   11   11   11   11		PBS660502	4104	CONFERENCES	2,500	r	•	•		٠			: 1	, ,	
Note   Control Contr		-BS660511	4104	CONTESTENCES	2,500	, ,	•	:	į	1	,	•	1	•	٠
Properties   410   Cartification   14,000   1,000		PBS660511	4113	MEMBERSHIPS/DUES	1,380							•	•	1	•
Properties   11   Properties   11   Properties   12   Properties		-BS660502	4101	CERTIFICATION TRAIN	1,300	٠	ı	•	•				• •	•	•
Properties   Control		-BS660505	4101	CERTIFICATION TRAIN	1,500	,	ř	1	•	i	•	•		٠,	
PRINCIPATION   CONTRECTOR   CONTRICTOR   C		-BS660502	4308	OVERHEAD-FLEET	13,000	, ate 20	- ac	- 36	, 60		. !		1		•
PRINCE   CASE		-BS660505	4308	OVERHEAD-FLEET	40,011	32,576	32,902	33,231	33,563	286,98 98,08 98,08 98,08	27,259	27,532	27,807	28,085	28,366
Page 1989   Page		PS660511	4308	OVERHEAD-PLEET	23,807	17,982	18,162	18,343	18,527	18,712	18.839	19.088	34,926	35,273	35,628
Page 1985   Page		-BS660511	4361	SERVCHG-PUB WORKS	144,000	• 1	• 1	•	. •		r	1		¥ ,	ion'a
PRINSPECTOR   477 STRICK-OFF REFET ONLY   77,200 0.0229   7,400 0.0429   7,400		BS660505	4373	SERVING THEFT OWN	37.156	76,895	79,584	86,618	93,739	101,012	108,405	115,926	123,582	131,379	139,325
PRESSORED   CAST   STREET HEALTH SIZE   1720   720   723   744   745   746   747   750		PBS650511	4373	SERVCHG-FLEET O&M	73,208	50.521	103,559	56 909	121,978	131,441	141,061	150,848	160,810	170,956	181,295
Proposed   14.1   Characteristic   1.4   2.4		PS660502	4213	RETIREE HEALTH BENE	11,200	7,200	7,272	7,345	7.418	7.492	7.567	7,643	7.749	86,318	91,538
Fiscacoon   11   Fiscacoon   12   Fisc		PSS660505	4213	RETIREE HEALTH BENE DETTER I LITAL THE DEVIL	2,400	2,400	2,424	2,448	2,473	2,497	2,522	2,548	2,573	2.599	2,625
PRINCENOM   111   NUMBER   PROPER   1,000   1,100		BS690603	4104	CONFERENCES	2,400	2,400	2,424	2,448	2,473	2,497	2,522	2,548	2,573	2,599	2,625
PRINCESSORE   4111   CETTONIN TOWN   12,800   170   1712   1714   1715		BS690603	4113	MEMBERS/IPS/DUES	0009	, ,	, ,	1 1	1	ı	ı	4	i	•	,
PROSESSION   1,000   1,120   1,124   1,14		BS690603	4101	CERTIFICATION TRAIN	12,680			, ,	, ,		,	,	h		1
PRESONICE   A		PS690603	4308	OVERHEAD-FLEET	878	602	716	723	730	738	745	753	760	- 268	. 12
PRESTORE   1,100   1		PS690603	4373	SERVOHG-FLEET ORM	1,990	1,279	1,324	1,441	1,559	1,680	1,803	1,928	2,056	2.185	2.317
PRESTORING   4177   SERVICACE/THEASURY   20,027   State   1,22   State   1,52		BS700102	4343	STRUCTURE STOLEN	1,000	1,000	1,035	1,126	1,219	1,314	1,410	1,508	1,607	1,709	1,812
PSSTORING   2454   SERVICIO		BS700102	4370	SERVCHG-TREASURY	343.270	399 030	127,592	138,868	150,285	161,944	173,798	185,856	198,130	210,630	223,369
PRESCRIATION   Control of the cont		BS700102	4361	SERVCHG-PUB WORKS	391,776	1,026,264	1,062,158	1,156,026	1,251,074	1.348.133	1,446,810	601,575 1.547,190	541,302	681,763	722,996
PRESTONCE		85/00102	4304	INDIRECT ADMIN SERV	560,556	623,988	634,974	644,431	651,629	663,253	675,108	687,198	699,527	712.104	724.907
Personno		BS700402	4407	EMP PROCEEDINGS	44,066	55,587	56,143	56,704	57,271	57,844	58,422	59,007	59,597	60,193	60,795
PSSY00002		BS700402	4410	GENERAL LIABILITY	17,563	23,916	24,155	24.397	24.641	8,052	8,133 25,136	8,214	8,296	8,379	8,463
PERSONNOME   1,100   1,150		BS700402	4416	OTHER INS CHARGES	30,531	•		•		,		100102	100	060,63	/CI '07
PRESSEDING   14.00   1.00		85660505	4116	PUB OFFICIALS LIAB	370	1,559	1,575	1,590	1,606	1,622	1,639	1,655	1,671	1,688	1,705
PSST00402		BS660511	3428	BLDG REP & MAINT	5,000	. 20	. 2	. 107	. 5	- 6	. !	. ;		,	•
PRINCIPATION AND MATRICFINE INCLINANCE   1,009		BS700402	4404	FIDELITY BONDS	,	295	238	30.	304	502°C	9,255 340	5,308	5,361	5,414	5,468
PRINCESCOND. 2009   CHARACTER   CHARACTE		BS700402	4428	PROP/FIRE INSURANCE	. ;	1,098	1,109	1,120	1,131	1.143	1,154	1,166	1.177	1.189	1201
PRISSEGNATION OF THE PROFILE SPACE FIELY CAUGING STATES AND THE PROFILE SPACE FIELY CAUGING SP		BS660512	3288	ALIMARKE ING OTHER SERVICES	1,000	1,000	1,010	1,020	1,030	1,041	1,051	1,062	1,072	1,083	1,094
PBS660512 3199 O'THER PROFEREY PBS660502 4119 TRAINING & TRAVEL NWO CAM from Capital Investments and WOMP PBS660502 6416 VEHICLES PBS660502 6416 VEHICLES PBS660503 6416 VEHIC		BS660511	3318	OFFICE SPACE RENT	7,300	onn'ne	nne'/e/	6/0,60/	772,726	780,453	788,258	796,140	804,102	812,143	820,264
PRESSESSION   A TANING A TRAVEL   A TRAINING A TRAVEL   A TANING		BS660512	3199	OTHER PROF SERV	605,000	530,000	535,300	540,653	545,060	551,520	557,035	562.606	568,232	573 914	- 579 653
PESSEDEGI   4119   TRAINING & TRAVEL   -		BS660502	3319 4119	OFFICE SPACE RENT TRAINING & TRAVEL	9,300	, ,			. !	. 1	, ,		1		200
PESSEDEGIA   TRAINING & TRAVEL   12,000   12,170   12,211   12,064   12,644   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,646   12,844   12,646   12,844   12,646   12,844   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,645   12,646   12,844   12,646   12,844   12,645   12,6		BS680511	4119	TRAINING & TRAVEL		7,200	7.272	2,448	2,473	2,497	2,522	2,548	2,573	2,599	2,625
New Odd from Capital Investments and WOMP		BS690603	4119	TRAINING & TRAVEL	•	12,000	12,120	12,241	12,364	12.487	12.612	7,643 12,738	7,719	7,797	7,875
Capital Outley   Capi	175			New O&M from Capital Investments and WOMP Operating Enhancement			- 000	551,626	1,103,253	1,654,879	2,206,506	2,758,132	3,309,758	3,861,385	4,413,011
PBS660502         6416         VEHICLES         2.56,41         1.276         5	17.6			Total Contract			200,004	404,000	408,040	412,120	416,242	420,404	424,608	428,854	433,143
PESSOBORO 6416 VEHICLES PESSOB		BS660502	6416	VEHICLES	\$ 129.430			ť	•	•	•				
Personal   6416   VEHICLES   645,002   396,014   256,612   1,054,506   822,066   533,301   533,301   533,301   533,301   533,01   533,301   533,		BS660505	6416	VEHICLES	226,610	25,654	10,276	9 1 1	42,226	32,919	21.355	27.355	21355	24.355	24.255
PBSSB0003 6416 VEHICLES   11,000, 10,000		85660511 85660511	6416	VEHICLES OTHER COURSENT	- 0	640,648	256,612	,	1,054,506	822,086	533,301	533,301	533,301	533,301	533,301
Total Stormwater Fund (470) \$ 9,504,367 \$ 11,404,912 \$ 11,710,398 \$ 12,419,921 \$ 14,561,113 \$ 15,529,474 \$ 16,053,308 \$ 17,107,222 \$ 18,179,422 \$ 19,270,878 \$ 20, 27,101 Expenses by Category  Personal Services Operations & Maintenance \$ 3,182,678 \$ 3,724,297 \$ 3,924,732 \$ 4,136,139 \$ 4,369,121 \$ 4,594,314 \$ 4,842,398 \$ 5,104,049 \$ 5,380,045 \$ 5,671,161		BS690603	6416	VEHICLES	706,00	380,000	383,800 10,982	367,638	391,514 45,128	395,430	399,384	403,378	407,411	411,486	415,600
Total Expenses by Category         \$ 3,182,678         \$ 3,724,287         \$ 3,824,732         \$ 4,359,121         \$ 4,594,34         \$ 4,842,388         \$ 1,04,049         \$ 5,380,045         \$ 667,161         \$ 671,161         \$ 6,233,045         \$ 6,330,045         \$ 6,005,346 </td <td>182</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Total Stormwater Fund (470)</td> <td>\$ 9,504,367</td> <td>\$ 11,404,912</td> <td>\$ 11,710,398 \$</td> <td>12,419,921 \$</td> <td>14,561,113 \$</td> <td>15,329,474 \$</td> <td>16,053,308</td> <td>17.107.222</td> <td>\$ 18.179.422 \$</td> <td>19 276 878</td> <td>22, 22</td>	182			Total Stormwater Fund (470)	\$ 9,504,367	\$ 11,404,912	\$ 11,710,398 \$	12,419,921 \$	14,561,113 \$	15,329,474 \$	16,053,308	17.107.222	\$ 18.179.422 \$	19 276 878	22, 22
\$ 3182.473 \$ 3.954,732 \$ 4.136,139 \$ 4.356,124 \$ 4.564,238 \$ 5.104,049 \$ 5,380,045 \$ 5,671,161 \$    Operation & Maintenance	183			Total Expenses by Category											
Capital Outley 421,342 1,073,719 661,670 387,636 1,533,375 1,285,617 978,883 960,857 984,891 988,995	185			Personal Services Operations & Maintenance	\$ 3,182,679 5,900,346	\$ 3,724,297 ( 6,606,896	\$ 3,924,732 <b>\$</b> 7,123,997	4,136,139 \$	4,359,121 \$	4,594,314 \$	4,842,388 \$			5,671,161	5,978,229
	186			Capital Outlay	421,342	1,073,719	651,670	387,638	1,533,375	1,285,617	976,863	980,857	384.891	12,610,752 988,965	13,411,265

City of Fort Lauderdale | FY 2021 Stormwater Fee Study Final Report

the second of the second secon

Stantec | 44

	•	•
	•	3
(	Ċ	5
٠	Š	3
•	2	5
	2	2
	9	

Index	Subobject Expense Line Item	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
188	Expense Execution Factors											
189	Personal Services	100%	100%	100%	3000	1000%	1000	,000	,			
190	Operations & Maintenance	82%	100%	% to	7650	200	2000	4001	100%	100%	100%	100%
191	Capital Outlay	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95% 100%	95% 100%	95%	95%	95%
192	Total Expenses at Execution								200	8	800	6001
193	Personal Services	\$ 3.182,679	3 724 297 \$	3 924 732 \$	4 138 130 €	4 250 424 6						
194	Onerations & Maintenance		A CONTRACTOR	9 10 1'1 70'0		4,538,12			5,104,049 \$	5,380,045	5 5,671,161	5,978,229
		676'909'C	968,309,4	6,767,797	7,501,337	8,235,185	8,977,067	8,722,354	10,471,200	11,223,763	11,980,214	12 740 702
195	Capital Outlay	421,342	1.073.719	661.670	387 638	1 522 375	1 285 617	0.70 0.00	10000			10000
196	Total Expenses at Execution	\$ 9.209,350 \$	11,404,912 \$	11.354.199 \$	11,404,912 \$ 11,354,199 \$ 12,025,114 \$ 14,127,592 ¢	44 427 592 6	1,200,016	3/0,003	300,007			993,080
					•	700,121,1	720'000'41	fülfassial & cuailecici e	\$ /UL'occion :	\$ 17,588,698	\$ 18,640,341	\$ 19,712,011
197	Transfers Out											
198	TR TO SPECIAL OBLIGATION BONDS	\$ 198,176 \$	226,715 \$	226.715 \$	226 715 \$	226 715 8	226 746	975	144		!	
199	Total Transfers Out	4 198176		4 747 446		İ	İ				226,715	\$ 226,715
		* 01.02	\$ CI1'077	\$ GLJ'077	\$ 41,422	226,715 \$	226,715 \$	326,715 \$	226,715 \$	226,715	226,715	\$ 226,715
200	Debt Service											
201	New Debt Service	;	2.969.084 \$	10 169 851 \$	12 789 667 6	12 780 687	700 002 07	2.969.084 \$ 10.169.851 \$ 10.780.687 \$ 10.780.687 \$ 10.780.687				
202	Total Debt Service	5	2 959 084 6	40 400 054	44 700 007 4	44 440 401	12,100,001	(C)(CS(CD)	23,148,299 \$	27,765,685	27,765,685	\$ 27,765,685
			* toolsost	* 150'691'n1	7,400,100 to 10,100,101 to 12,700,101 to 12,700,101 to 12,700,567	12,789,667,51	12,789,667 \$	12,789,667	\$ 23,148,299 \$	23,148,299 \$ 27,765,685 \$ 27,765,685 \$	37,765,685	3 27,765,685
203	Total Cash Outflows	\$ 9,407,526 \$	14,600,711	\$ 21,750,764 \$	25,041,497 \$		27.144.065 \$ 27.873.380 \$ 28.557.988	28.557.98R ¢	\$ 39 924 121 6	\$ 45 E04 080 6 45 500 744	46 600 744	17 207 207
								Anni topica	4 17 1 100 00	45,501,050	40,002,141	47.704.41

Stantec | 45

onnomer Expense Line Item Description	Inflation Factor	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5 50%	200%	7000
_	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5 50%	5.50%	5.50%	200.0
	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.00% 5.50%
	Salaries - General	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
1310 SHIFT DIFFERENTIAL	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	Salaries - General	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
1407 CVR ALLOWANCES	No Escalation	0.00%	%00'0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%00'0	%00.0	00.0
	No Escalation	0.00%	%00.0	%00.0	%00.0	%00.0	%00.0	%00.0	%00'0	0.00%
	No Escalation	0.00%	%00.0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%00'0	%00'0	%00'0	%00'0
	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
-		5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	Delault Operating	7.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1,00%
	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Salaries - General	5.50%	5.50%	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	Sarailes - General	9.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	Spiral Control	7.50% 5.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
	Salai 53 - Selici 61	0.00%	%0¢.c	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%	2.50%	5.50%	5.50%	5.50%
	C.2.76	2.30% 4.00%	7.90% 7.90%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
	Default Operation	.00%	00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1,00%	.00%	% % 00.7	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
-	Default Operating	1.00%	.00°.	1,00%	, oo. 1	.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	% :
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	20.1	1.00%	1.00%	1,00%	90.1
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	100%	200,1	8 8 8
3299 OTHER SERVICES	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
3340 OTHER FOLLS DENT	Default Operating	1,00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1,00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Delault Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	7.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	.00%	300.1	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	4.00%	,00%	.00.7	3.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	2.0%	200%	%00.c	2,00%	%00°C	%00°c	7.00%	1.00%	1.00%	.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	100%	1.00%	1.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%	2.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	100%	1.00%	1.00%	.00%	.00%	
	EIA Fuels	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3,10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3 10%	%00. %01.
	EIA Fueis	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3,10%	3,10%	3.10%	3 10%	3.10%
380/ OIL & LUBRICANIS	EIA Fuels	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3.10%	3,10%	3.10%	3 10%
3935 DEFICE FOLID A \$5000	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	7.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
•	Default Operation	200.4	,00% %00%	1.00%	1.00%	%00.1	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
3999 OTHER SUPPLIES	Default Operating	1.00%	%001	1.00%	200,00	888	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	. 00.1 %00.1	1.00%	1.00%		, 00%
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	20%	, oo	8 8 8
	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	.00%	20.1
4116 SCHOOLS	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	4 000	4 000/	,000				2

										2	ついこうりこう
4119	TRAINING & TRAVEL	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1 00%	1 00%	1 00%	1 000%	4 000	, add
4213	RETIRED HEALTH BENE	College O thread	7000			2	200	2	800	%nn:	3.00%
7		Delault Operating	3.00.L	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
5	INDIRECT ADMIN SERV	Admin Services	1.76%	1.49%	1.12%	1.78%	1.79%	1 79%	1 79%	1 20%	1 900%
4308	OVERHEAD-FLEET	Default Operating	1 00%	1 00%	1 00%	7000	7000	200	0/0/1	0,00.	00.
4343	SERVICED SVS	British Common	200.0	200.	200	800.	%00'E	%.OO.t	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
27.04		Carriposite Oath	3.50%	8.84%	8.22%	7.76%	7.32%	6.94%	6.60%	6,31%	6.05%
2 6	SERVICE TREASORY	Composite O&M	3.50%	8.84%	8.22%	7.76%	7.32%	6.94%	6.60%	6.31%	6.05%
200	SERVING-FLEEL CAM	Composite O&M	3.50%	8.84%	8.22%	7.76%	7.32%	6.94%	6.60%	631%	6.05%
4401	AUTO LIABILITY	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	100%	4.00	0.07
4404	FIDELITY BONDS	Default Operating	1.00%	1 00%	1 00%	1 000/	1 000%	,000	200	200	200.
4407	FMP PROCEEDINGS	Section of the section of	7000	2000	200	200	2.00.4	800.1	% 20	% 20:-	1.00%
277	CENTED AT 1 TO SECOND S	Delauli Operalling	%00'I	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
2 :	GENERAL LIABILITY	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1 00%	1 00%
4416	OTHER INSICHARGES	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1 00%	1 00%	1 000%	, 200	200.	200.1
4428	PROP/FIRE INSURANCE	Default Operation	7900			2	200	3	.00%	800.	
1434		Delault Operating	%AA.	.00%	300.	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
2 3	TOB OFFICIALS LIAB	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1 00%	1 00%
9416	VEHICLES	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1 00%	1 00%	1 00%	1009	2000	200
	Capital Project O&M	Default Coording	7000	7000				200	80.	823.	
	Opposition Tables of the		%nn'.	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Operating Emilancement	Default Operating	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
	Weighted Average Increase in O.S.M. Expanses	rapanear.	Č								
	1 1200 11 000 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sport Sport	30%	S X X	%0CC X	7 760%	1000				

1 The Weighted Average Increase in O&M Expenses is reflective of the cost escalation factors presented on this schedule and the cost execution factors on Schedule 1.

Capital Improvement Program

	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	EV 2028	EV 2038
1 Operating Revenue										0707	2707
2 Stormwater Rate Revenue	\$ 17,280,000	\$ 17,280,000	\$ 19,178,924	\$ 29,591,997	\$ 30.538.265	\$ 31514676	\$ 32 522 188	4 33 561 787	OC 100 100		4
3 Change in Revenue From Growth	•	(841,392)								100,002,10 #	9 29,065,149
4 Subtotal	\$ 17.280,000	\$ 16.438.608	1	\$ 29 648 801	\$ 30 506 773	S 24 574 040	020 402 05		l		
5 Weighted Average Rate Increase	%00.0		54 00%				2,70	127,620,66 €	678 / 9c'cc &	\$ 57,364,222	\$ 59,202,726
6 Additional Rate Revenue From Rate Increase		2740.046	20.070.04	900.0	6,00,0	3,00%	3,00%	65.00%	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%
7 Total Rate Devenue	1	- 1	10,0/0,414		F	947,248	977,528	21,856,718	1,667,638	1,720,927	1 776 082
	17,280,000	4 19,178,924		\$ 30,538,265	\$ 31,514,676	\$ 32,522,188	\$ 33,561,787	\$ 55,482,439	\$ 57,255,567	\$ 59,085,149	\$ 60.978.808
•	- 1		260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000	260,000	260.000
9 Equals: Lotal Operating Revenue	\$ 17,640,000	\$ 19,438,924	\$ 29,851,997	\$ 30,798,265	\$ 31,774,676	\$ 32,782,188	\$ 33,821,787	\$ 55,742,439	\$ 57,515,567	\$ 59,345,149	\$ 61,238,808
10 Less: Operating Expenses											
11 Parsonal Services		(LOO FOL 0)									
	(5,102,075)	(167,421,6)		(4,136,139)	\$ (4,359,121)	\$ (4.594,314)	69	\$ (5,104,049)	69	\$ (5,671,161)	\$ (5,978,229)
	(5,000,323)	(0,000,030)	(0,101,197)		- 1	(8,977,067)		(10,471,200)	(11,223,763)	(11,980,214)	(12,740,702)
•	786'169'0 ¢	\$ 5,107,731	\$ 19,159,468	\$ 19,160,789	\$ 19,180,370	\$ 19,210,807	\$ 19,257,045	\$ 40,167,190	\$ 40,911,760	\$ 41,693,773	\$ 42,519,877
14 Plus: Non-Operating Income/(Expense)											
15 Interest Income	\$ 142.648	\$ 158.766	387340	£ 600 181	644 205	ACO 404	470.000				
16 Equals: Net Income	8 994 640	9 286 A97	40 £46 and	٩	750 600 04	000, 104	ŀ				
	and and	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- 1	000,000,000	- 1	218,6/3,912	18,141,787	5 41,149,233	\$ 42,255,766	\$ 43,221,797	\$ 44,249,035
	\$ 8,994,640	\$ 9,266,497	\$ 19,546,808	\$ 19.769.950	\$ 19.821.655	\$ 19.873.912	\$ 19 047 787	£ 44 446 999	900 330 08 9	100 000 07	
	•	•					7	204/21/41		461,122,04 ¢	44,249,030
	•	2,969,084	10,169,851	12.789.667	12,789,667	12 789 667	12 789 667	23 148 200	37 76 605	100 207 70	1 100
21 Total Annual Senior-Lien Debt Service	Reg. \$	\$ 2.969.084	\$ 10,169,851	\$ 12 789 667	£ 12 789 887	C 10 780 667	40 700 007	440 000		21,700,000	
22 Calculated Senior-Lien Debt Service Coverage	1.50	3.12		1.55	1.55	1.55	1,56	1,78	1,765,685	\$ 27,765,685 1,56	\$ 27,765,685 1,59
29 Cash Flow Test											
	\$ 8,994,640	\$ 9,266,497	\$ 19,546,808	\$ 19,769,950	\$ 19,821,655	\$ 19.873.912	2 19 947 787	\$ 41 146 233	4 40 055 786	40 204 707	44.040.00
ė,								201	201,002,24	161,122,04 0	6 44,249,035
	(198,176)	(226,715)	(226,715)	(226,715)	(226,715)	(226.715)	(226.715)	(226 715)	(228 715)	(278.715)	7457
	•	(2,969,084)	(10,169,851)	(12.789.667)	(12 789 667)	(12 789 667)	(12 789 667)	(23 148 200)	(27 756 695)	(01.755.00)	(617,022)
	(421,342)	(1,073,719)	(661,670)	(387,638)	(1.533,375)	(1.285.617)	(978 863)	(080 857)	(500,007)	(550,507,12)	(500,507,72)
35 Net Cash Flow	\$ 8,375,122	\$ 4,996,979	1 1	\$ 6,365,930	\$ 5,271,897	\$ 5,571,913	\$ 5,954,541	\$ 16,793,362	_	\$ 14.240.432	\$ 15.263.555
36 Unrestricted Reserve Fund Test									1		
	\$ 5,174,786	\$ 9,622,687	\$ 10,553,355 8	\$ 16.631.830	\$ 18 704 950	\$ 10 844 283	C 20 988 947	0000000	000 040 000		
38 Cash Flow Surplus/(Deficit)	8,375,122		8 488 572	6365 630						43,828,277	\$ 53,442,782
39 Projects Paid With Non Specified Funds	(3.927.221)	(4.066.311)	(2.410.097)	(4 292 810)	732 684	61 50,0 50,0	0,804,041	76,793,362	13,278,475	14,240,432	15,263,555
40 Balance At End Of Fiscal Year	\$ 9622.687	ľ	16 621 830 6	10 704 050	40 044 903	40,020,023	(4,233,310)	- 1	(4,491,191)		(4,764,704)
11 Minimum Working Capital Reserve Target			2 673 132	2000,000	2 4 40 577	40000000	\$ 22,505,010	286,040,05	. 1	\$ 53,442,782	\$ 63,941,633
42 Excess/(Deficiency) Of Working Capital To Tarnet	l.		70.0	2000	600	0,032,043	3,041,185	2,883,812	4,150,952	4.412.844	4 679 733
			, 2000 000 000		40.000.00						and a land.

Capital Project Funding Summary										S	Schedule 9
Final Capital Projects Funding Sources	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Revenue Fund Senjoral jan Data Bracesde	\$ 3,927,221	4,066,311	\$ 2,410,097 \$	4,292,810 \$	4,132,584	4,066,311 \$ 2,410,097 \$ 4,292,810 \$ 4,132,584 \$ 4,529,329 \$	4,233,378	\$ 4,233,378 \$ 4,360,380 \$ 4,491,191 \$ 4,625,927 \$ 4,764,704	4,491,191	4,625,927 \$	4,764,704
	•	68,450,000	135,496,500			•		238,810,459	•	•	
Total Projects Paid	\$ 3,927,221	72,516,311	\$ 137,906,597 \$	4,292,810	4,132,584	\$ 4,529,329 \$	4,233,378	243,170,839 \$	4.491.191.5	4 625 927 4	A 764 704
										4 (7/10/10/1	5

Stantec | 51

											,	שרוובתחופ וח
	FY 2019	•	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
Revenue Fund												
Balance At Beginning Of Fiscal Year	\$ 5.174.786	786 \$	9.622.687	\$ 10.553.355	\$ 16631830 0	\$ 18 704 050	\$ 526 878 00 \$		000000	000		
Net Cash Flow	0 274			000,000,01	200,100,0	000,401,01		7	กเกรอกค'รร	\$ 35,040,992	\$ 43,828,277	\$ 53,442,782
	(c)c'a	77	4,890,97	2/6/884/8	6,365,930	5,271,897	5,571,913	5,954,541	16,793,362	13,278,475	14,240,432	15,263,555
Less: Cash-Funded Capital Projects			•	•	•	•	•	•	•			
Less: Payment Of Debt Service			•	•	•	•	,					
Subtotal	\$ 13,549,908	\$ 806	14,619,666	\$ 19,041,927	\$ 22.997.760	\$ 73.976.847	\$ 25.416.176	\$ 25 BA1 38B	30 404 979	40 240 467	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1
Less: Restricted Funds	(1,098,501)	501)	(2,582,798)	(2.673,132)	(2.909.369)	_	(3.392.845)			•	90,000,709	4 00,7U0,337
Total Amount Available For Projects	12 451 407	407	12 036 868	16 269 70E	20,000,004	20 000 024	(200,000	(00,110,00)	(210,000,0)	(4,100,802)	(4'417'04#)	(4,0/9,733)
Amount Dold Don Designed		ì	2,000,000	000'000'01	166,000,02	77,828,02	22,023,331	23,200,202	35,507,559	44,168,516	53,655,865	64,026,604
Children For Floreds	1	(21)	(4,066,311)	(2,410,097)	(4,292,810)	(4,132,584)	(4,529,329)	(4,233,378)	(4,360,380)	(4,491,191)	(4,625,927)	(4.764.704)
Subtotal	\$ 8,524,186	186	7,970,557	\$ 13,958,698 \$	\$ 15,795,581	\$ 16,695,686 3	17,494,002	\$ 18,966,824	\$ 31,147,180 \$	39.677.325	\$ 49 029 938	\$ 59.261 900
Add Back: Restricted Funds	1,098,501	201	2,582,798	2,673,132	2,909,369	3,148,577	3,392,845	3,641,186	3,893,812			4679733
Plus: Interest Earnings	142,648	348	130,913	237,870	353,368	385,492	407,311	434,949	576.490	788 693	972 711	1 172 BAA
Less: Interest Allocated To Cash Flow	(142,648)	348)	(130,913)	(237,870)	(353,358)	(385,492)	(407.311)	(434 949)	(676.490)	(709 002)	(070 744)	2000000
Balance At End Of Fiscal Year	\$ 9,622,687	\$ 289	10,553,355	\$ 16,631,830	\$ 18,704,950	\$ 19,844,263	20,886,847	\$ 22,608,010	\$ 35,040,992	43,828,277	53,442,782	\$ 63,941,633
Restricted Reserves												
Balance At Beginning Of Fiscal Year	€9	1	1	\$ 4,292,561 \$	12,789,667	\$ 12.789.667 \$	12 789 867	\$ 12 789 667	12 789 867 \$ 12 789 867 \$ 12 789 867 ¢	97 755 695 \$		
Additional Funds:			•		1			100'000'1		64,100,000	000,001,12	\$ 21,100,000
Debt Service Reserve On New Debt		0\$	\$4,292,561	\$8,497,107	\$	\$0	\$0	' Ç	\$14.976.017	' S	' 6	' 6
Other Additional Funds		·		•	. •	'	; '	; '	1	9 '	9	8
Subtotal	€	<del>⇔</del>	4,292,561	\$ 12,789,667 \$	\$ 12,789,667 \$	\$ 12,789,667 \$	12,789,667	\$ 12,789,667	\$ 27,765,685 \$	27,765,685 \$	5 27.765.685	\$ 27.765.685
Plus: Interest Earnings			27,853	149,469	255,793	255,793	255,793	255,793	405,554	555,314	555,314	555.314
Less: Interest Allocated To Cash Flow			(27,853)	(149,469)	(255,793)	(255,793)	(255,793)	(255,793)	(405,554)	(555,314)	(555.314)	(555 314)
Balance At End Of Fiscal Year	•	<b>69</b> -	4,292,561	\$ 12,789,667 \$	\$ 12,789,667 \$	\$ 12.789.667 \$	12.789.667	\$ 12,789,667	t 97 705 505 ¢	١	ľ	100.000

Stantec | 52

,	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029
lerm (Years)	8	30	89	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
Interest Rate	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4,00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%
Sources of Funds											
Par Amount	· «»	\$ 74,227,103 \$146,932,252	\$146,932,252 \$	•	45	69	•	- \$258,965,793	·	s	₩,
Uses of Funds											
Proceeds		\$ 68,450,000	\$ 135,496,500 \$		69	•	•	- \$238.810.459	69	69	60
Cost of Issuance 2.00% of Par	•	1,484,542	2,938,645	•	1	•	•	5 179 316	•		,
Debt Service Reserve 1 Year(s) of Debt Service	•	4,292,561	8,497,107	•	•	•	•	- 14,976,017	•	•	
Total Uses	•	\$ 74,227,103 \$146,932,252	\$146,932,252 \$	r	·	89	€9	- \$258,965,793	. €	\$	€9
Year Interest	•	2,969,084	5,877,290	1	•	•	•	- 10,358,632	,	,	
Annual Debt Service	•	\$ 4,292,561	\$ 4,292,561 \$ 6,497,107 \$		· •	\$	69	\$ 14,976,017	69	89	69
Total Debt Service	•	128,776,822	254,913,201		•			- 449,280,524	•		
Cumulative New Annual Senior Lien Debt Service		\$ 2,969,084	\$ 10,169,851 \$	12,789,667	\$ 12,789,667	\$ 12.789.667	\$ 12.789.667	2,969,084 \$ 10,169,851 \$ 12,789,667 \$ 12,789,667 \$ 12,789,667 \$ 23,148,299 \$ 27,765,685 \$ 27,765,685 \$ 27,765,685	\$ 27.765.685	\$ 27.765.685	\$ 27 765 6

# APPENDIX B: COST OF SERVICE ANALYSIS SUPPORTING SCHEDULES

Schedule 1 - Stormwater System Functional Allocation

Schedule 2 - Revenue Bond Issuance Allocation

Schedule 3 - Capital Improvement Projects Allocation

•	
7	
-	
z	
,	
-	
•	
-	
-	
_	
-	
7	
-	
. C	
Ξ	
-	
2	
=	
ш	
_	
2	
•	
q	
+	
2	
-	
v	
7	
-	
7	
- 2	
3	
7	
Ł	
7	
Ì	
Ì	

Carlon Cuantity S Allocation	25.403	4,079	9,942	1,440	45,548	128,542	27,825	53,090	2 159	7,196	5,757	9,595	1,919	4,798	31,184	1,151,400	47,975	096	23,988	25 907	106.63	4 10	11,337	139	5		4,126	24,886	75,605	8 908	900	ene :	3,000	096	(10.768)	379	2.303	2,000		1.752	73 713	700 000	612 630	388.843	240,000		24.699	5	59,518	32,435	4.515	13,955	910	172	641			250 813	10101	224,256	•		•	•	•		•	•		•		•	
Percent Allocation  20.21%	**					•							•			•			•	•		•		•	1		•		i			•			•	•	1			\$ 1.129 \$	47 499	459.749	305.44	236 482	Coc.nex		a 030 CC	1000	38,351	20,900	2.909	8.997	585	111	413			350.913 \$	210,200	907,462	1.819	# T T T T T	r r	2,400	34 878	20.00	cco'	63,072	31,219	3,638	100	שנשיר	
Total % Allocation	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	200.003	200.001	100,00%	100.00%	100 00%	20000	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 00%	200.00	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 00%	100.00%	70000		100.00%	100 00%	100 00%	100.00%	100.00%	20000		700 000	200.00	%00.00L	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%			100,00%	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	00.00%	100.00%	400 0086	20000	100,00%	100 00%	400 000	800.001	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 00%	VDU.UUT	
Quantity	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	700.001	100.00%	100,00%	400.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.000	0,00,001	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	200000	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	200.00	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	400 00%	100,00%	20000		60.81%	80.81%	GO 21%	60.81%	60.81%	2000		RO 0400	10000	60.81%	60.81%	60.81%	60.81%	60,81%	80.81%	60.81%			700005	20000	20.00%	0.00%	70000	0.00%	0.00%	%00.0	70000	0,000,0	0.00%	0.00%	%00'0	7000	0.00%	
Quality	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%000	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%00'0	0,00%	0.00%	%00'0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	%00'0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7000	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2000	2000	0.00%	0,00%	%00.0	%00.0	%000	%00.0			39.19%	39.19%	30 10%	30 19%	39 19%			30 10%	207.00	39,1976	39.19%	39,19%	39.19%	39.19%	39,19%	39,19%			50.00%	20000	30,007a	100.00%	100 00%	B( ()()()	100.00%	100.00%	400 000	100.000	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 naek	100,00%	
Allocation Basis/Factor	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Cuantity	Orientify	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Ollantity	Constitution of	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	1	Chanty	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	1	Anguainity.	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity	Quantity			Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation			Indirect Allocation	In alternative Allegania	mullect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation	Indirect Allocation			50% Solit	11-0 /945	SOUR COUR	Quality	Ouslit.	camin	Quality	Quality	- Constant	County	Quality	Quality	Quality	- in contract of	Quality	
Test Year FY 2021	\$ 25,403	4,079	604,367	1,440	86,548	728,942	230,13	4 318	2,159	7,196	25/22	969'8	1919	4,798	31,184	1,151,400	47,975	980	23,988	25,907	1 8	44.644	/55,11	139	<u>ъ</u>	1 20	6	74,886	75,605	6.908	1	L	. J	96D	(10,768)	378	2,303	J		\$ 2,880	121.212	392 337	1.009.050	603.225			\$ 57,056	020 60	isno, is	53,336	7,425	22,947	1,496	283	1,054	1		2 719.625			500	454 115	1	2,400	34,878	1088	1000	17/0,50	31,219	3,638	1 919	 -	l
																																							expenditures							•											u Asset Managen																
Expense Description	Stormwater Overline 1.5X Pay	Longevity Pay	Permanent Salaries	Celiphone Allowance	Soc Sec/Medicare	Realth Insurance Pension - Def Cont	Pension : General Etta	Luitorms	Safety Shoes	Other Supplies	Horticultural Sup	Fools/Equip < \$5000	Office Equip < \$5000	Other Services	Usposai (Tip) Fees	Imp Rep & Maint	Components/Parts	Equip Rep & Maint	Imp Rep Materials	Other Equip Rent	Gasoline	Disset Fire	Diesel Fuel	Backflow Program	Water/Sew/Storm	Telephone(Ceble Te	elephonercapie	Overhead-Figet	Servchg-Fleet O&M	Retiree Health Bene	Molloger Inconting		Car Allowances	Ad/Marketing	Core Adjustments	Life Insurance	Training & Travel		Stormwater General Expenditures	Acet & Auditing	Servcha-Info Svs	Servicho-Treasury	Serving Heading	Indirect Admin Serv		Stormwater Insurance	Workers' Comp	Office Const. Dank	Cilica obace nelli	Auto Liability	Emp Proceedings	General Liability	Pub Officials Liab	Fidelity Bonds	Prop/Fire Insurance	Other Party of the American States of the Party of the Pa	Stormwater watershed Asset Management	Other Services	Office Day Some		Longevity Pay	Permanent Salaries	O-1-1-6	Celiphone Allowance	Soc Sec/Medicare	Wellness Incontings	Hoofth Incinence	Health Insurance	Pension - Def Cont	Other Supplies	Office Sundies	Cilice anbhies	
Sub Object Expense Description	1501	1201	133	1413	2304	2002	2204	3949	3940	3888	3913	3946	3925	3288	37.78	3437	3404	3407	3434	3310	3804	3804	3804	3199	3634	2678	9700	4308	4373	4213									Stormwater General Expenditures				4361 Service-Pub Works			Stormwater Insurance								4404 Fidelity Bonds				3299	2400	2	1201	1101		51413	2301	2110				3999 Other Supplies			
	1501	1201	133	1413	2304	2002	2204	3949	3940	3888	3913	3946	3925	3288	37.78	3437	3404	3407	3434	3310	3804	3804	3804	3199	3634	2678	9700	4308	4373	4213	2110		1401	3201	1801	2402	4119		Stormwater General Expenditures	SUPPORT 3101	SUPPORT 4343	SUPPORT 4370	SUPPORT 4361	SUPPORT 4304		Stormwater Insurance	SUPPORT 2410	elibboot 2240	6100	SUPPORT 4401	SUPPORT 4407	SUPPORT 4410	SUPPORT 4431	SUPPORT 4404	SUPPORT 4428			3299	2400	2	1201	1101	4465	51413	2301	2110	200	7404	2299	3999	3928	2250	
Sub Division Description Object	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1501		DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1101	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1413	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2301	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2404	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2204	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3949	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3840	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3999	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3913	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3946	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3925	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3299	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3228	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3437	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3404	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3407	3434	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3310	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3801	NOTE INCIDENTIAL AND COLLECTION 3804	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3804	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3198	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3634	SEAS NOTICE OF AND SEASON	CONTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 5020	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4308	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4373	4213	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2440	DISTRIBUTION AND COLUMN 1404	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1401	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3201	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1801	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2402			Stormwater General Expenditures	DEPT SUPPORT 3101	DEPT SUPPORT 4343	DEPT SUPPORT	SUPPORT 4361	DEPT SUPPORT 4304		Stormwater Insurance	DEPT SUPPORT 2410	DEOT GLISDADT	200 000	DEPT SUPPORT	DEPT SUPPORT 4407	DEPT SUPPORT 4410	DEPT SUPPORT 4431	4404	DEPT SUPPORT 4428			DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3299	ONC MOLECT LICE CIVE INCITE LEGISLA		ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1201	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1101	TARKED ON STATE OF SOLIDORS	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1413	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2301	2110	CHANGONIAGNITAL DESCRIPTION OF STREET	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2404	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2299	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3999	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3928	CINATURAL WITH ALL MESCURIORS SEED	
sub Object	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1501	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1201	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1101	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1413	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2301	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2404	PRS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2204	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3949	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3940	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3999	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3913	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3946	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3925	PESSE DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3299	Passo Distribution AND COLLECTION 3228	PESSE DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3437	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3404	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3407	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3434	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3310	PRS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3801	ASSE NOTICE INC. OF INC. OF INC.	PESSO DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3804	PBS68 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3198	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3634	SEAS NOTITION ON NOTITION ASSESSMENT ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	COSC CONTROLLON AND COLLECTION 5028	Passo DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4308	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4373	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4213	OFFICE MOITCE INC. THE MOIT INGINE STATE	SOCIAL MOLECULAR AND COLUMN AND C	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1401	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3201	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1801	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2402	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4119		Stormwater General Expenditures	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 3101	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4343	PHS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4370	DEPT SUPPORT 4361	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4304		Stormwater Insurance	DEPT SUPPORT 2410	DOCT NEDT CLICONOT	COLUMN TOTAL	PESTO DEPL SUPPORT	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4407	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4410	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4431	DEPT SUPPORT 4404	PBS70 DEPT SUPPORT 4428			PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3299	0050 NOTEST LOS TIME INCITITUDED SASON		PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1281	PRIS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1101	CALA CHOCH CONTRACT OF COLUMN CALAN	PERSON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1413	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2301	PRS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOLIBORS 2119	DOCC SECURIORING OF STREET	PESSO ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2404	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2299	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3999	PRS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3928	PESSE CHANGONNEINI AL RESCONCES 3820	

Schedule 1 Percent Allocation 20.21% ************************************	чo	23,028	98	089	1,258	18,520	15,000	11,514	10,982		\$ 1,451 \$ 968	2.785 1.857												345 230								2,432 1,621						1302		6,165 4,110				,	6 5 5 5							1248 1872																		5,757	
	Total % Allocation	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 000	100,00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 00%	100 00%	+00 00%	100 00%	100 00%	100,002	100.00%	100.00%	00.000		100 000	80000	100,00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 00%	100.00%	100.00%	100 00%	100 008	100.001	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	,000 000	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	400 000	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100 00%	700 000	100.00%	100.00%
	Quantity	0.00% 0.00%	%00.0	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	%00.0		40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40,00%	40.00%	40.00%	40,00%	40,00%	40.00%	0.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	100.00%	A00.00	40,00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40 00%	40 00%	An now	40 DO%	40 00%	40.00%	40.00%	2000		700000	20000	90,00%	80.00%	%00'09	80.00	%00.09	80 00%	80.00%	80.00%	80 00%	60 00%	70000	60,00%	60.00%	60.00%	200000	60,00%	60.00%	60.00%	80.00%	200.002	80.00%	60.00%	60.00%	60.00%	00.00%	90.00%
	Quality	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100,00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		60,00%	60.00%	80.00%	60.00%	60.00%	50.00%	90,00%	20,00%	60.00%	60,00%	60,00%	60.00%	100.00%	%00'09	60.00%	80.09	%00'0	SO ONE	90000	00.00%	60,00%	80.00%	60.00%	60.00%	%00.09	%00.09	60 00%	%00.09	90009	80.00%	90.00			700007	70000	40.0078	40.00%	40,00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	700.00	40,00%	40.00%	40.00%	10,000	40,00%	40.00%	40,00%	700 007	97 00'04	40.00%	40.00%	40.00%	40 no%	40.00%	40,0078
	Allocation Basis/Factor	Quality Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality	Quality		Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Menagement Colmate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Esbinate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Quality	Management Estmate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Quantity	Management Estimate	Month of the California	Wanagement Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate			Management Estimate	Management Cetimore	Mailegalitail Lauritaia	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estinate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Monagement Estimate	Managenten Esumate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	A PRINCIPLE OF THE PRIN	Management Esumate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Estimate	Management Coffinate	Wdildgenein Countrie
		23,028	74.783	089	1,238	18,520	15,000	11,514	10,982	- 1	5 2,419	4,642	6,214	284,381	22,112	900'76	721,00	200.0								31,184		ı	ı	0000	- 1	ŀ	16,690							L		ı		\$ 66531				411		7,080	1,440	3,120	76,199	3,693	176.460	28.392	250,02	43,235	7,676	4.078	200,4	096	096	096	115 140	П		62,368		J_	
	Expense Description	Costs/Fees/Permits Gasoline	Oil & Lubricants Other Prof Serv	Overhead-Fleet	Serveng-Print Shop	Pension - General Emp	Car Allowances Life Insurance	Training & Travel	Vehicles	Swale Cutback	Overtime 1.5 X Pay	Longevity Pay	Standby Pay	Permanent Salanes	God Secrimental Health Inclined	Pension - Def Cont	Pension General Emp	Uniforms	Safatt Shoe	Other Court	Carer Supplies	Office Supplies	Horifcultural Sup	Office Equip < \$5000	Other Services	Disposal (Tip) Fees	Components/Parts	Imp Rep Materials	Heave House Read	Other Carin Deat	Curer Lydnp 1/544	Casolille	Diesel Fuel	Water/Sew/Storm	Telephone/Cable Tv	Overhead-Fleet	Serveng-Fleat O&M	Retiree Health Bane	Vehicles	Core Adjustments	Life Insurance		Storm Drain Maintenance	Overtime 1.5X Pay	Loncevity Pay		Standby May	Shift Differential	Permanent Salaries	Car Allowances	Expense Allowances	Cellphone Allowance	Soc Sec/Medicare	Wellness Incentives	Health Insurance	Pension - Def Cont	Danefon - General Emp	ranson - ceneral cmp	Uniforms	Safety Shoes	South Arriva	Ciner supplies	Office Supplies	Office Equip < \$5000	Other Serieses		COSTSIVEREST PERMITS	Disposal (Tip) Fees	Solid Waste Collections	Components/Parts	
	ct	3216 Costs/Fees/Permits 3801 Gasofine								į	1501	1201	1313	וטרו	2404	2299	2204	3949	3040	2000	2000	2978	3913	3825	3288	3228	3404	3434	3301	3340	2 000	000	3804	3634	3628	1308	4373										2	1310	5	1404	1407	1413	2301	2119	2404	2299	7000	5 5 5	3949	3940		3888	3928	3925	4200	9000	97.10	3228	3255	3404 Components/Parts	
	Division Description Sub Object	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3216 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3801 ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCES 5001	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3407 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3189	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4308 EMMIDONMENTAL DESOURCES 4378	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4355	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2204	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1401 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2402	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4119	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 6416	į	1501	1201	1313	וטרו	2404	2299	2204	3949	3040	2000	2000	2978	3913	3825	3288	3228	3404	3434	3301	3340	2 000	000	3804	3634	3628	1308	4373	OLLECTION 4213	OLLECTION 6416		OLLECTION 2402				OLLECTION 1201	CACA MOTORING	2	1310	5	1404	1407	1413	2301	2119	2404	2299	7000	5 5 5	3949	3940		3888	3928	3925	4200	9000	97.10	3228	3255	3404	
	Division Description Sub Object		ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3407 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3189	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4308 EMMIDONMENTAL DESOURCES 4378	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4355	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2204	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1401 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2402	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4119	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 6416	TOTAL TOTAL		DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1201	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1313	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1101	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2301	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2299	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2204	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3949	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3940	OFET MOLECULOR AND COLLECTION AND	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION SEES	CISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3928	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3913	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3825	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3289	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3228	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3404	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3434	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3301	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3340	Diemoinical AND COLLECTION See	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION SOCI	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3804	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3634	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3628	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4308	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4373	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4213	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 6416	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1801	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2402			DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1501	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1281	CACA MOSTORI IOO CIAO MOSTI ISISTEM	DISTURBOLION AND COLLECTION ISIS	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1310	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1191	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1404	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1407	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1413	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2301	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2119	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2404	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2289	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	tors would be a second to the	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3848	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3949	COSC MOTOR NOT MANAGEMENT	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3999	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3928	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3925	DISTRIBUTION AND COLL ECTION 3299	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2245	CISTOSINISM AND COLLECTION 3216	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3228	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3255		
	Division Division Description Sub Object	ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3216 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 3801 ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCES 5001	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 360/	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4309	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4355	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 2204	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 1401	PBS69 ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 4119	PESSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES 6416	see the section of th	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1501	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1201	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1313	PRISE DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1101	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2301	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2299	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2204	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3949	PBS66 DISTRIBITION AND COLLECTION 3940	PBS-6 NOTCH TO THE WORLD CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	See Not Court and Court an	Poses Distribution AND COLLECTION 3928	PBSSS DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3913	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3825	PASSE UISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3289	PBSSS DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3228	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3404	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3434	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3301	PRS66 DISTRIBITION AND COLLECTION 3340	DESCRIPTION AND COLLECTION ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	Dog NOTICE OF AND COLLEGE SECTION OF AND COLLEGE SECTION OF AN INCIDENT OF AN INC	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3804	PESSE DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3634	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3628	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4308	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4373	PBS68 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 4213	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 6416	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1801	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2402			PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1501	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1281	CASA MOSTOS INCOMINANTO CONTROL ASSESSMENT	Section Notice Conference (Section 1919)	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1310	Pases DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1101	PESSE DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1404	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1407	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 1413	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2301	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2119	PBS68 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2404	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2299	PRSSS DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	TOTAL MOLITARY TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	PESSO DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3949	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3940	COCC MOTOR NOT NOT DESCRIPTION ASSOCIA	Page USINIBULION AND COLLECTION 3999	PESSE DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3928	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3925	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3299	DECK DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 2245	Posed Distriction AND COLLECTION 3216	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3228	PBS66 DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3255	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION 3404	

Schedule 1

# Stormwater System Functional Allocation

											20,21%	C. 366.18
Expe	pense Index	Division	Division Description	Sub	Expense Description	Test Year	Allocation Basis/Factor	Onality	Ousnille	Total %	Quality	Quantity
adk (				Object		FY 2021			f	Allocation	\$ Allocation	\$ Allocation
129 OMF	PBS660511	PBS66	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	3407	Equip Rep & Maint	6,757	Management Estimate	40.00%	%00'09	100.00%	2 303	3.454
_	PBS660511	_	_	3801	Gasoline	2,257		40.00%	%00'09	100.00%	508	1354
	PBS660511	_	_	3804	Diesel Fuel	26,997	Management Estimate	40,00%	%00'09	100.00%	10 799	16 198
	PBS560511	PBS66	_	3198	Backflow Program	139	Management Estimate	40,00%	%00'09	100,00%	56	83
	PBS660511	PBS66	_	3601	Electricity	51,036	Management Estimate	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%	20.415	30.622
	PBS660511	_	_	3634	Water/Sew/Storm	1,950	Management Estimate	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%	780	1,170
	PBS650511	_		3628	Telephone/Cable Tv	6,429	Management Estimate	40,00%	60.00%	100.00%	2,571	3.857
	PBS660511	_		4308	Overhead-Fleet	17,254	Management Estimate	40.00%	%00'09	100.00%	6,901	10,352
137 OMF	PBS660511	_	_	4373	Servchg-Fleet O&M	49,674	Management Estimate	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%	19,869	29.804
	PBS660511			4213	Retires Health Bene	2,303	Management Estimate	40.00%	%00.09	100.00%	921	1.382
38 50	PBS660511		DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	6416	Vehicles	256,612	Management Estimate	40.00%	%00'09	100,00%	102.645	153.967
	PBS660511	_	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	6499	Other Equipment	383,600	Management Estimate	40:00%	80.00%	100.00%	153.520	230.280
	PBS660511	_	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	3428	Bldg Rep & Maint	4,798	Management Estimate	40.00%	%00.09	100.00%	1,919	2.879
142 PS	PBS660511	PBS66	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	1801	Core Adjustments	221,356	Management Estimate	40,00%	&00'09	100.00%	88,542	132,814
	PBS660511	PBS66	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	2402	Life Insurance	615	Management Estimate	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%	246	369
	PBS660511	PBS66	DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION	4119	Training & Travel	806'9	Management Estimate	40.00%	%00'09	100,00%	2,763	4.145
145 OMF					Operating Enhancement	380,000	Management Estimate	40.00%	60.00%	100.00%	152,000	228,000
						•						
146 CMF					Bond Coverage Expense	654,390	Bond Projects	5.57%	84.43%	100.00%	51,485	872,905
					Transfers, Debt Service, & Cash Funded Capital	ded Capital						
147					Tr To Special Obligation Bonds	\$ 226,715	Indirect Allocation	39.19%	80.81%	100.00%	\$ 88.842	\$ 137.873
148					Cumulative New Debt Service	12,789,667	Bond Projects	5.57%	94,43%	100.00%	712 337	-
149					Cash Funded Capital	4,297,026	CIP/Infrastructure	15,69%	84.31%	100.00%	674,334	3,622,691
150					Total Revenue Requirements	\$ 29,591,997			[   		\$ 5,979,398	5,979,398 \$ 23,612,599

Schedule 2

_	
⊼	
÷	
不	
~~	
×	
$\simeq$	
7	
-	
Φ	
Ō	
⊆	
0	
ă	
Ś	
2	
73	
~	
5	
×	
_	
enue	
⊃	
⊊	
<b>Revenue Bond</b>	
20	
Q)	
26	

Project Description		Amount	not Amount Allocation Basis/Easter	Oneliku		Qual	Quality %	Quantity %
House resources	-	NIBOII C 199	Allocation Basish actol	Sugarity.	Quantity	Alloc	Allocation	Allocation
Durrs Area Stormwater Improvements	<del>49</del>	20,890,000	Management Estimate	8.00%	95.00%	s	1,044,500 \$	19,845,500
Southeast Isles Tidal And Strmwtr Impr		43,980,000	Management Estimate	2.00%	95.00%		2,199,000	41,781,000
River Oak Stormwater Analysis		37,975,000	Management Estimate	8.00%	92.00%		3,038,000	34,937,000
Edgewood Stormwater Improvements		30,475,000	Management Estimate	2.00%	82.00%		1,523,750	28,951,250
Progresso Stormwater Improvements		26,990,000	Management Estimate	2.00%	82.00%		1,349,500	25,640,500
Dorsey Riverbend Stormwater Improvements		20,890,000	Management Estimate	2.00%	95.00%		1,044,500	19,845,500
Victoria Park Tidal & Strmwfr Improvment		18,800,000	Management Estimate	2.00%	82.00%		940,000	17,860,000
Total Projects	↔	200,000,000				59	11,139,250 \$	188,860,750
							5.57%	94,43%

Schedule 3

Stantec | 58

# Capital Improvement Projects Allocation

Project Description	Project Amount	Cash Funded Capital	Allocation Basis/Factor	Quality	Quantity	Quality % Allocation		Quantity % Allocation
Utilities Asset Management System	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	Management Estimate	5 00%	95.00%		\$ 000	38 000
800-850 Sw 21 Terr Stormwater Improvment	383,398	e	Management Estimate	5.00%	95.00%	. 62		364 228
Citywide Stormwater Analysis	50,000	50,000	Management Estimate	2.00%	95.00%		2,500	47.500
Drainage Canal Surveying And Assessment	14,016	14,016	Management Estimate	2:00%	95.00%		701	13.315
Stormstation 1 Fixed Emerg Generators	395,250	395,250	Management Estimate	5.00%	95,00%	16	19.763	375.488
Stormstation 2 Fixed Emerg Generators	297,500	297,500	Management Estimate	2.00%	95,00%	4	14,875	282,625
1716 Se 7Th Street Stormwater Improvements	1,100,000	1,100,000	Management Estimate	5.00%	95.00%	22	55,000	1.045.080
32-101 S. Gordon Road Stormwater Improvements	382,653	382,653	Management Estimate	5.00%	95.00%	18	19,133	363.520
Plant A Stormwater Treatment Facility Upgrades	1,211,984	1,211,984	Indirect Allocation	39.19%	60.81%	474	174,934	737,050
Total CIP	\$ 3,874,801 \$	\$ 3,874,801				\$ 608	608,074 \$	3,266,727
						15	15.69%	84.31%

# APPENDIX C

# FORM OF NOTICE TO BE PUBLISHED

To be Published by August 23, 2025

# NOTICE OF HEARING TO IMPOSE AND PROVIDE FOR COLLECTION OF NON-AD VALOREM ASSESSMENTS

Notice is hereby given that the City Commission of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, will conduct a public hearing to hear objections of all interested persons to the final assessment resolution of the Stormwater Management Special Assessment, as shown above, and to impose non-ad valorem assessments against certain property located therein and collecting the assessments on the ad valorem tax bill. The hearing will be held at 5:01 P.M. on September 12, 2025, at the Broward Center for the Performing Arts, Mary N. Porter Riverview Ballroom, 201 S.W. 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida. In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, persons needing a special accommodation or an interpreter to participate in this proceeding should contact the City Clerk's office at (954) 828-5002 two days prior to the meeting.

All affected property owners have a right to appear at the hearing and to file written objections with the City Commission within 20 days of this notice. Any person wishing to appeal any decision of the City Commission with respect to any matter considered will need a record and may wish to ensure that a verbatim record is made.

The assessments have been proposed to fund the City's annual Stormwater operating and capital costs which serve the Special Assessment Area. The assessment will be divided among to specially benefitted tax parcels based upon the amount of Equivalent Benefit Units or EBUs attributable to each tax parcel. The Fiscal Year 2025-26 assessment rates are as follows:

Category	Billing Unit	Cost Per Billing Unit
Category I	Dwelling Units	\$318.17
Category II	Gross Acreage	\$3,306.66
Category III	Gross Acreage	\$824.85
Trip Generation	Trips	\$6.10

A more specific description of these assessment methodologies is set forth in the Initial Assessment Resolution adopted by the City Commission on July 7, 2020. Copies of the Initial Assessment Resolution, the plans and specifications for the Stormwater Management Program project, and the preliminary assessment rolls are available for inspection at the offices of the City Clerk, 1 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 444, Fort Lauderdale, Florida.

Stormwater Management Assessments will be collected by the Broward County Tax
Collector on the ad valorem tax bill for a period of 30 years, commencing with the tax bill
to be mailed in November 2025. Failure to pay the assessments will cause a tax certificate to be issued against the property which may result in a loss of title.
to be leaded against the property which may result in a loss of title.

If you have any questions, please contact	·	_at	<b>-</b> •
	·		

NOTE: If any person decides to appeal any decision made with respect to any matter considered at this public meeting or hearing, he/she will need a record of the proceedings, and for such purpose he/she may need to ensure that verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. Anyone needing auxiliary services to assist in participation at the meeting, please contact the City Clerk at (954) 828-5002 two days prior to the meeting.

CITY CLERK CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA

## ORDINANCE NO. C-25-26

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE. FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 2. ARTICLE VIII OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA, TO PROHIBIT LOBBYING OR LOBBYING ACTIVITIES BY CITY BOARD MEMBERS OR CITY COMMITTEE MEMBERS, MORE SPECIFICALLY AMENDING SECTION 2-261 TO PROVIDE FOR NEW DEFINITIONS, CREATING SECTION 2-265 TO PROHIBIT THE APPOINTMENT OF LOBBYISTS TO CITY BOARDS AND CITY COMMITTEES AND TO PROHIBIT LOBBYING OR LOBBYING ACTIVITIES BY MEMBERS OF CITY BOARDS AND CITY COMMITTEES, AND AMENDING SECTION 2-266 TO PROVIDE PENALTIES FOR VIOLATING ARTICLE VIII: PROVIDING FOR CORRECTION OF SCRIVENER'S ERRORS, SEVERABILITY, REPEAL OF CONFLICTING ORDINANCES, AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, under both the United States Constitution and the Florida Constitution, people have the fundamental right to petition their government, which includes a right to lobby their government; and

WHEREAS, the City of Fort Lauderdale also has a compelling interest in protecting against both the appearance of and actual government corruption, and may regulate lobbying to accomplish this compelling interest; and

WHEREAS, when regulating lobbying, the City must balance fundamental First Amendment freedoms against its compelling interests, including its interests in preserving and maintaining the integrity of and public confidence in the governmental decision-making process, while also ensuring that its regulation is closely tailored to this end so that the City does not burden more speech than necessary; and

WHEREAS, the City, through this enactment, seeks to establish lobbying restrictions for members of City Boards, Committees, taskforces and the like, to protect against the appearance of and actual government corruption;

CODING: Words, symbols, and letters stricken are deletions. Words, symbols, and letters underlined are additions. Words, symbols, and letters double stricken are deletions between first and second reading. Words, symbols, and letters double underlined are additions between first and second reading.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. That Section 2-261 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, is hereby amended to provide as follows:

Sec. 2-261. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this article, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

<u>City Board</u> means a group of persons established by city ordinance who are collectively charged with specific powers and duties and function as a collegial body as provided within their respective establishing ordinance.

<u>City Committee</u> means a group of persons established by city resolution who are collectively charged with specific powers and duties and function as a collegial body as provided within their respective establishing resolution. This term also includes task forces and the like.

Lobbying or lobbying activities shall be defined as in the Broward County Code of Ordinances, section 1-19, Code of Ethics for Elected Officials.

Lobbyist shall be defined as in the Broward County Code of Ordinances, section 1-19, Code of Ethics for Elected Officials.

*Person* means any individual, business, corporation, association, firm, partnership, nonprofit organization or other organization or group.

<u>SECTION 2</u>. That Section 2-265 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, is hereby created to provide as follows:

Sec. 2-265. – Reserved. <u>Lobbying or Lobbying Activities by City Board Members and City Committee Members; Prohibition.</u>

CODING: Words, symbols, and letters stricken are deletions. Words, symbols, and letters <u>underlined</u> are additions. Words, symbols, and letters <u>double stricken</u> are deletions between first and second reading. Words, symbols, and letters <u>double underlined</u> are additions between first and second reading.

The restrictions stated in this section shall apply to any person nominated, appointed, or both, to serve as a member of a city board or city committee. For the purposes of this section the terms city board or city committee shall exclude authorities, special districts, and similar collegial bodies created by an act of the Florida Legislature, and the Citizens' Committee of Recognition, and the Walk of Fame Induction Committee.

- (a) Prohibition on appointment of lobbyists to city boards and city committees. No person who is required to register with the city clerk as a lobbyist, other than professionals who prepare documents or provide expert advice in their field of expertise, such as architects, engineers and consultants who interpret plans (Design Professional), shall serve on any city board or city committee. Any person who is required to register as a lobbyist, other than Design Professionals, subsequent to being appointed to a city board or city committee shall be disqualified from participating on the city board or city committee from the date that the person knew, or reasonably should have known, that he or she was required to register. No person, other than Design Professionals, shall be appointed to a city board or city committee for a period of nine (9) months from the time the person files a statement with the city clerk withdrawing his or her registration as a lobbyist.
- (b) <u>Prohibition on lobbyists serving on city boards and city committees.</u> No member of a city board or city committee, other than Design Professionals, shall, during the member's term of appointment, engage in lobbying or lobbying activities, as those terms are defined in this Article, with the City Commission, a City board, committee, taskforce and the like, or any member thereof, or the City Manager or City staff.

<u>SECTION 3</u>. That Section 2-266 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, is hereby amended to provide as follows:

## Sec. 2-266. - Penalties.

Violation of any provision of this article shall be punishable by reprimand, censure or a prohibition of the violator from lobbying the city commission, city board or committee or any member thereof or the city manager or city staff for a period not to exceed two (2) years. The City Commission, through the adoption of a resolution by majority vote, may remove for cause any member who violates the provisions of this Article, except when inconsistent with City Charter, general or special law. This authority of the City Commission to remove members for cause shall be cumulative with any other legislation governing city boards and city committees.

CODING: Words, symbols, and letters stricken are deletions. Words, symbols, and letters <u>underlined</u> are additions. Words, symbols, and letters <u>double stricken</u> are deletions between first and second reading. Words, symbols, and letters <u>double underlined</u> are additions between first and second reading.

<u>SECTION 4.</u> At the direction of the City Manager, the publisher of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, is authorized to conform chapter, article, section, subsection, and clause numbers and letters, and capitalization, set forth in the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, and to correct any non-substantive scrivener's errors in the codification of this Ordinance without the need for a public hearing.

<u>SECTION 5</u>. That if any clause, section or other part of this Ordinance shall be held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of this Ordinance shall not be affected thereby but shall remain in full force and effect.

<u>SECTION 6</u>. That all ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith, be and the same are hereby repealed.

SECTION 7.	That this Ordinance si	nall be in full force	e and effect upon	i final passage.
	READING this 17 <sup>th</sup> da		, 2025.	

Mayor	
DEAN J. TRANTAL	_IS

City Clerk
DAVID R. SOLOMAN

CODING: Words, symbols, and letters stricken are deletions. Words, symbols, and letters underlined are additions. Words, symbols, and letters double stricken are deletions between first and second reading. Words, symbols, and letters double underlined are additions between first and second reading.



#25-0695

TO:

Honorable Mayor & Members of the Fort Lauderdale City Commission

FROM:

D'Wayne M. Spence, Interim City Attorney

DATE:

June 30, 2025

TITLE:

Second Reading - Ordinance Amending Chapter 2, Article VIII of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale, to prohibit lobbying or lobbying activities by city board members or city committee members, specifically creating Section 2-265 entitled "Lobbying or Lobbying Activities by City Board Members and City Committee Members; Prohibition" and amending Section 2-261 entitled "Definitions" and Section 2-266 entitled "Penalties" of the Code of Ordinances, all relating to Regulating Lobbyist Appointments to Boards and Committees — (Commission Districts 1, 2, 3, and 4)

# Recommendation

The City Commission consider adoption of the attached proposed ordinance amending Chapter 2, Article VIII of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Fort Lauderdale creating a new Section 2-265 entitled "Lobbying or Lobbying Activities by City Board Members and City Committee Members; Prohibition" and amending Section 2-261 entitled "Definitions" and Section 2-266 entitled "Penalties".

# **Background**

On May 6, 2025, at the City Commission Conference meeting, the City Commission discussed a draft ordinance that would amend the City of Fort Lauderdale's Code of Ordinances to include language that prohibits City of Fort Lauderdale advisory boards and committee members from engaging in lobbying or lobbying activities with the City Commission, City boards and committees, or City staff. After discussion, the City Commission directed the City Attorney's Office to revise the draft ordinance to include a prohibition against appointing lobbyists to serve on city boards or city committees and clarifying that the prohibition would not apply to authorities, special districts, and similar collegial bodies created by an act of the Florida Legislature.

During the drafting process, the City Attorney's Office identified the Citizen's Board of Recognition as a City Board with a unique composition that may warrant an exemption from this prohibition. Membership of this board consists of former mayors of the City of Fort Lauderdale, a former member of the City Commission, past honorees and the president of the Council of Fort Lauderdale Civic Associations, or the president's

designee. During the first reading of this ordinance, held on June 17, 2025, the City Commission identified the Walk of Fame Induction Committee as having a similarly unique membership composition warranting an exemption from the lobbying prohibition. A provision excluding these boards has been incorporated in proposed ordinance.

The proposed ordinance provides as follows:

- Amending Section 2-261 entitled "Definitions" to include definitions for the terms "city board" and "city committee."
- Creating Section 2-265 entitled "Restrictions on Lobbying by Appointed Board and Committee Members"
  - prohibits the appointment of lobbyists to city boards and city committees
  - prohibits members of city advisory boards and city committees from lobbying.
  - Excludes authorities, special districts, and similar collegial bodies created by an act of the Florida Legislature from the definition of City Board and City Committee.
  - Excludes the Citizens' Committee of Recognition and the Walk of Fame Induction Committee from the definition of City Board and City Committee.
  - Design professionals, such as architects, engineers and consultants who interpret plans, are excluded from these prohibitions.
- Amending Section 2.266 entitled "Penalties" has been amended to provide the City Commission with the authority to adopt a resolution to remove members for cause who violate provisions of Article VII of Chapter 2 of the Code of Ordinances.

# Resource Impact

The adoption of this Ordinance has no direct fiscal impact on the City of Fort Lauderdale.

# **Attachments**

Exhibit 1 - Ordinance

Prepared by: D'Wayne M. Spence, Interim City Attorney

Charter Officer: D'Wayne M. Spence, Interim City Attorney