Evaluation Committee Scoring Tabulation Law Enforcement Transcription Services RFP 135-11163

Scoring Tabulation				lve	netto	Falzono				l								
Storing labulation	overa for pro- narra: will- iden pro- acco req meti- re trai	Il need such sesente tive princlud tification of the tification of tification of the tification of the tification of tification	ding of the dis of the City ervices, as eed in the oposal. This le problem ion and the method to th the work including trasferring d media, t delivery, * quality d security & ord.	Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references.				Cost to the City										Cost relflects items 1-6 as stated in the RFP.#7 not included.
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	Average Points	Total Combined Points	Local Preference (If applicable)	Local Preference Deduction	Final Total Score	Final Average Score	Final Ranking	Cost
Net Transcripts, Inc.	0.4	1	0.40	0.3		0.30	0.3	3	0.90	1.60	1.60		0.0%	0.00	4.80	1.60	1	\$280,030
A American High Tech	0.4	2	0.80	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	2	0.60	2.00	2.23	6.70	0.0%	0.00	6,70	2.23	2	\$270,950
Transcription Experts	0.4	5	2.00	0.3	5	1.50	0.3	4	1.20	4.70	3.87	11.60	10.0%	1.16	10.44	3.48	3	\$286,450
Ubiqus Reporting	0.4	3	1.20	0.3	4	1.20	0.3	6	1.80	4.20	4.47	13.40	0.0%	0.00	13.40	4.47	4	\$450,720
Victoria Trans. Svcs.	0.4	4	1.60	0.3	3	0.90	0.3	7	2.10	4.60	4.93	14.80	0.0%	0.00	14.80	4.93	5	\$642,780
Bureau of Office Svc	0.4	7	2.80	0.3	7	2.10	0.3	1	0.30	5.20	5.10	15.30	0.0%	0.00	15.30	5.10	6	\$143,365
Jefferson's Trans.	0.4	6	2.40	0.3	6	1.80	0.3	5	1.50	5.70	5.80	17.40	0.0%	0.00	17.40	5.80	7	\$295,000

EXHIBIT 1 13-0509

Page 1 of 2

								-												
Scoring Tabulation	Steven Scelfo											Matt Finstad								
	Understanding of the overall needs of the City for such services, as presenteed in the narrative proposal. This will include problem identification and the proposed method to accomplush the work required, including method of trasferring recorded media, transcript delivery, accuracy * quality control and security & conf.			peri the firr prop equ re: pr	Experience, Qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm including persons proposed for the project, equipment and resources, as presented in executive summary; References			Cost to the City			Understanding of the overall needs of the City for such services, as presenteed in the narrative proposal. This will include problem identification and the proposed method to accomplush the work required, including method of trasferring recorded media, transcript delivery, accuracy * quality control and security & conf.			and pa the prop person project resource	is propose ct, equipm	mance of n including ed for the nent and esented in nmary;	Cost to the City			
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotai	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points
Net Transcripts, Inc.	0.4	1	0.40	0.3	1	0.30	0,3	3	0.90	1.60	0.4	1		0.3	1	0.30	0.3	3	0.90	1.60
A American High Tech	0.4	2	0.80	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	2	0.60	2.00	0.4	3		0.3	3		0.3	2	0.60	2.70
Transcription Experts	0,4	4	1.60	_	5	1.50	0.3	4	1.20	4.30	0.4	2	0.80	0.3	2	0.60	0.3	4	1.20	2.60
Ubiqus Reporting	0.4	3	1.20		3	0.90	E.0	6		3.90	0.4	5		0,3	5		0.3	6	1.80	5.30
Victoria Trans. Svcs.	0.4	5	2.00		4	1.20	0.3	7	2.10	5.30		4	1.60	0,3	4		-	7	2.10	4.90
Bureau of Office Svc	0.4	7	2.80		6	1.80	0.3	1	0.30	4.90		7	2.80	0,3	7	2.10	0.3	1	0.30	5.20
Jefferson's Trans.	0.4	6	2.40	0.3	7	2.10	0.3	5	1.50	6.00	0.4		2.40	0.3	6	1.80	0.3	5	1.50	5.70

EXHIBIT 1 13-0509 Page 2 of 2