



Rater #1 - AXEL RIVERA																						
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualifications of Firm			Experience			History and Past Performance of the Firm			Approach to Scope of Work			Volume of previous work awarded by City; Location & proximity to City			Ability to meet time & Budget Requirements			M/WBE Participation Efforts			POINTS
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Black and Veatch Corporation	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.05	3.00	0.15	2.25
Hazen and Sawyer, PC	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	2	0.40	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.05	2.00	0.10	0.95
HDR Engineering, Inc.	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.05	1.00	0.05	1.30

Rater #2 - JUAN CARLOS SAMUEL																						
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualifications of Firm			Experience			History and Past Performance of the Firm			Approach to Scope of Work			Volume of previous work awarded by City; Location & proximity to City			Ability to meet time & Budget Requirements			M/WBE Participation Efforts			POINTS
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Black and Veatch Corporation	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.05	3.00	0.15	2.25
Hazen and Sawyer, PC	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	2	0.40	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.05	1.00	0.05	1.15
HDR Engineering, Inc.	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.05	2.00	0.10	1.10

Rater #3 - JUD HOPPING																						
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualifications of Firm			Experience			History and Past Performance of the Firm			Approach to Scope of Work			Volume of previous work awarded by City; Location & proximity to City			Ability to meet time & Budget Requirements			M/WBE Participation Efforts			POINTS
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Black and Veatch Corporation	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.05	2.00	0.10	2.25
Hazen and Sawyer, PC	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.05	1.00	0.05	1.10
HDR Engineering, Inc.	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	2	0.40	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.05	3.00	0.15	1.15

Rater #4 - SAYD HUSSAIN																						
PROPOSING FIRM	Qualifications of Firm			Experience			History and Past Performance of the Firm			Approach to Scope of Work			Volume of previous work awarded by City; Location & proximity to City			Ability to meet time & Budget Requirements			M/WBE Participation Efforts			POINTS
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
Black and Veatch Corporation	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.05	3.00	0.15	2.25
Hazen and Sawyer, PC	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	2	0.40	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.05	2.00	0.10	1.35
HDR Engineering, Inc.	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.05	1.00	0.05	0.90

PROPOSING FIRM	Qualifications of Firm			Experience			History and Past Performance of the Firm			Approach to Scope of Work			Volume of previous work awarded by City; Location & proximity to City			Ability to meet time & Budget Requirements			M/WBE Participation Efforts			POINTS	Average Points Awarded	Total Combined Points Awarded	Local Vendor Pref	Local Preference B	DBE Preference	DBE Preference dd	Total Final Score	FINAL RANKING
	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal									
Black and Veatch Corporation	0.20	3	0.60	0.20	3	0.60	0.15	3	0.45	0.20	3	0.60	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.05	3.00	0.15	2.25	2.25	9.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	9.00	3
Hazen and Sawyer, PC	0.20	2	0.40	0.20	2	0.40	0.15	1	0.15	0.20	2	0.40	0.10	2.00	0.20	0.10	3.00	0.30	0.05	2.00	0.10	1.35	1.14	4.55	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	4.55	2
HDR Engineering, Inc.	0.20	1	0.20	0.20	1	0.20	0.15	2	0.30	0.20	1	0.20	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.10	1.00	0.10	0.05	1.00	0.05	0.90	1.11	4.45	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	4.45	1