

City of Fort Lauderdale

City Hall
100 North Andrews Avenue
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
www.fortlauderdale.gov



Meeting Minutes - DRAFT

Tuesday, February 17, 2015

1:30 PM

City Commission Conference Room

City Commission Conference Meeting

FORT LAUDERDALE CITY COMMISSION

JOHN P. "JACK" SEILER Mayor - Commissioner
ROMNEY ROGERS Vice Mayor - Commissioner - District IV
BRUCE G. ROBERTS Commissioner - District I
DEAN J. TRANTALIS Commissioner - District II
ROBERT L. MCKINZIE Commissioner - District III

LEE R. FELDMAN, City Manager
JOHN HERBST, City Auditor
JONDA K. JOSEPH, City Clerk
CYNTHIA A. EVERETT, City Attorney

Meeting was called to order at 1:36 p.m. by Mayor Seiler.

ATTENDANCE ROLL CALL

Present: 5 - Mayor John P. "Jack" Seiler, Vice-Mayor Romney Rogers, Commissioner Bruce G. Roberts, Commissioner Dean J. Trantalis and Commissioner Robert L. McKinzie

Also Present: City Manager Lee R. Feldman, City Auditor John Herbst, City Clerk Jonda K. Joseph, City Attorney Cynthia A. Everett and Sergeant At Arms Sergeant Frank Vetancourt

No public comments were submitted by email for this meeting.

CITY COMMISSION REPORTS

Events and Matters of Interest, including Concerns about E-911 Dispatch in District III and Potential Use of Public Private Partnership Model for Construction of a New Federal Courthouse and Use of the Concept for Construction of a New Police Headquarters and, or City Hall

Members of the Commission announced recent and upcoming events and matters of interest.

Crosswalk Safety and Valet Service Safety Issues on Las Olas Boulevard

Vice Mayor Rogers requested a crosswalk educational program including focus and attention to enforcement. Discussion also ensued about valet service safety issues on Las Olas Boulevard (Icon Las Olas Condominium project, Lobster Bar).

River Oaks Stormwater Preserve Park Project

Vice Mayor Rogers advised that he has received several complaints about trucks related to this project not obeying traffic laws.

Land Swap; Natchez (Barefoot Contessa, LLC) and City-Owned Sebastian Parking Lot Property

Commissioner Trantalis referred to a letter from the Tripp Scott law firm received today concerning the potential land swap and asked the City Attorney to be prepared to comment on it at the March 3 meeting.

Residence on NE 36 Street, Country Club Estates; Code Enforcement

Commissioner Roberts indicated that this residence does not have water service which is in violation of the City's code. There have been other code enforcement matters dating back to 2012. He asked the City Manager to follow up.

Riverwalk Event

Mayor Seiler wanted to organize an event to bring people to the river and showcase the Riverwalk. He asked the president/CEO of Riverwalk Fort Lauderdale Inc. Genia Ellis to circulate potential dates with the Commission.

CONFERENCE REPORTS

Vice-Mayor Rogers was concerned about the lack of commercial paper in the City's portfolio. The City Manager noted that a new treasurer was recently hired and she will be responsible for managing the portfolio. The City Auditor elaborated upon the history of commercial paper in the market and his opinion it is not a problem at this point in time. A general discussion on investment strategies ensued.

CF-2 15-0121 Central Beach Master Plan Public Improvement Projects Update - Beach Report

The City Manager advised that staff has gone before the Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board (BRAB) and is recommending approval of the Aquatic Center change order which would turn the fifth floor into a banquet hall. Additionally the International Swimming Hall of Fame (ISHOF) space would be converted to an indoor dry land training facility for divers. The balance would be reserved for future use. Staff is recommending configuration of pools stay the same, with one 50-meter at the front, one 50-meter on top of the parking deck and the diving platform also on top of the parking deck. Staff supports the latest version of consultant EDSA's plan, which includes a four-story parking garage on the north side of the Las Olas bridge with open space to the north and south side of the bridge. Staff is further recommending issuance of a request for proposals (RFP) for the marina expansion, preferably using just the water portion. If the upland needs to be encroached, development of it be minimal and that development be limited to marina accessory uses that may include a restaurant. This item is scheduled for consideration at the regular meeting so the Commission can make decisions.

Mayor Seiler opened the floor for public comment.

Dave Shula, representing Swim Fort Lauderdale, spoke in support of the Aquatic Center project as proposed by the Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board. It brings a unique economic diversity to the city.

L.F. Rosenthal, 1237 NW 4 Avenue, said the Aquatic Center marks Fort Lauderdale different than other coastal cities. It is a clean business that will attract quality tourists.

Robert Dean, 3000 East Sunrise Boulevard, spoke in support of the marina expansion. He wanted a financial analysis prepared. Mayor Seiler explained with the RFP for private sector development, the risk would be with the developer. The City partnering with the private sector makes good sense. An analysis is no longer needed. Dean understood that according to the deed restrictions, half of whatever is generated goes to the State. The rate of return may not be what is anticipated. If the marina is capable of generating the income they believe it can, it will be an income producer. He wanted the City to perform a financial analysis.

Larry Woods, LOMMXD, LLC, said that LOMMXD presented a (unsolicited) proposal in April for expansion of the marina and development of the upland property. They were asked to make adjustments. Development was restricted to just the northerly parcel. The area is 6 acres. Four and one-half acres is open space. The total footprint of the buildings is 1.65 acres. The relationship of open space to developed space is less than one to one. He noted that they have experience in this field and have their own pro formas. The proposal will provide to the City during the build-out period a net, net, net payment or cash flow of \$1 million per year for the two years of build-out and more than \$4.6 million during the first year of operation. By the end of the fifth year, it will produce over \$5 million. Depending upon statutes involved in splitting the revenues, the City would receive one hundred percent to something less than one hundred percent. This proposal will perpetuate the marina and augment Show Management's position on the boat show plus planned retail elements.

Jim Wade, also representing LOMMXD, LLC, believed that going forward with this new process would be

quicker and the return on investment to the City would also be quicker. They have been through the iteration almost ten times for almost a year. He mentioned their experience in the United States and Middle East. This proposal provides an avenue to work together and does not preclude other ideas from coming forward.

Commissioner Trantalis asked if LOMMXD expects any financial contribution from the City beyond the property. Wade said the pro forma shows the City putting up about \$20 million for infrastructure construction but there is flexibility. They hoped that such funding could come through the Community Redevelopment Agency (CRA) or a bond. Commissioner Trantalis asked if the City would also be asked to guarantee a loan for development of a hotel. Wade advised that is found in most P3 (public-private partnership) projects although it is not always the case. Discussions have not progressed to the point of coming to that conclusion.

Vice Mayor Rogers asked how the seven percent return was arrived at. Woods explained it is a true compound discount based on a sensitivity analysis at one hundred down to fifty five percent of the most likely scenario. The metrics are outlined in spreadsheets provided to the City Manager and City Auditor. At no point will the City have a rate of return less than 7.2 percent based on the expectation of revenues from a detailed analysis. The revenue in the first year would be in excess of \$17 million. The City would be paid \$4.6 million and the remainder would be used to amortize the indebtedness and pay back the capital expenditures. He confirmed for Commissioner Trantalis that the 8 percent would be guaranteed, a sum certain. He also clarified that the City is not being asked for any guarantees of any nature other than the strength of a fifty year financeable lease. There would be no subordination of the lease. The City would recover the first million dollars. Subsequently the lender would recover the remainder. The City would then pick up the balance. The City Manager advised that the lender would be able to take the lease if there was a default. He asked if they are proposing use of TIF (tax incremental financing) for deferring interest and Woods said no.

Ed Smoker, 1200 East Las Olas Boulevard, noted that the City is not obligated to accept the proposal. It is a way to invite other developers for something similar on the site. Huge concessions have been made to their proposal in working with the Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board and other interests. He recognized that it would have to go through standard approval channels. He did not believe the City Manager's proposal of an RFP is inconsistent with his suggestion. An RFP takes much longer; the unsolicited proposal streamlines the process. Commissioner Trantalis felt that the unsolicited proposal process may not give other parties a chance to respond with a comparable analysis. He wanted to keep this proposal as an option while going through the RFP process. Smoker said they would be agreeable to a parallel approach. LOMMXD has assisted with the overall process. For example, there is an appraisal now. Studies show the site is under-used and the highest and best use includes a hotel. Third party reports establish the market value of the land. Citizen input favors marina expansion. He said that his view of what is iconic on Fort Lauderdale beach is that it is the Venice of America and the international boat show. This proposal protects those two icons. He encouraged the Commission to use this as a vehicle to move through the process faster.

Herb Rassing, 2430 Tortuga Lane, said he serves on the Marine Advisory Board. He felt that the Las Olas Marina offers an opportunity to return to "Venice of America" status. The City has lost large mega-yachts to Palm Beach. There are a growing number of mega-yachts and those cities that can accommodate them will get that business. Las Olas Marina can serve as a magnet that will be a feeder for other marinas.

Jack Newton, 1 Las Olas Circle, provided Tab A: Sources and Uses of the LOMMXD, LLC Development and Financing Analysis that was made a part of the record. Economic stimulation is the basic reason for this project. This developer has no capital investment in this proposal. He enumerated the investment

suggested to be from the Community Redevelopment Agency and the City. He reviewed their proposed amenities. The developer claims to have 6,000 feet of linear dockage, but that is not the case. The bulk of the revenue goes to the hotel.

Charles King, 105 North Victoria Park Road, disagreed with Mr. Rosenthal's theory that a \$45 million pool must be constructed in order to have moral fiber in Fort Lauderdale. An aquatic center already exists; it is only a matter of repairing the bleachers. He did not think the project will make much difference. He raised questions about the proposal discussed in an Office of the Inspector General (OIG) report as well as Mayor Seiler's comments and suggested re-bidding.

Debbie Eisinger, 25 Hendricks Isle, asked that the Aquatic Center project not be delayed any further. Construction of this facility has been anticipated for 12 years. It focuses on economic development. It will be an investment in the community; even those on restricted budgets will be able to use it. Swimming is a healthy activity for young and old. She supported the Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board's proposal.

There was no one else wishing to speak.

The City Manager advised that the Commission should determine if they want to develop the upland and if so, what type of development. His proposal recommends marina and marina-based uses, which may include at the proposer's option include cutting into some portion of the existing asphalt. The City Manager said the RFP should be structured to say that the City wants to expand the Las Olas Marina; the developer should offer how best to do it. Using a portion of the upland for ancillary uses or more marina space would be considered as part of the RFP evaluation but that is different than placing a large structure on the upland portion. Commissioner Trantalis thought the Commission had agreed that they want a marina on the upland portion and that an RFP should be issued for a marina to be built with private funds. The City Manager did not think the Commission got to the final step of directing the RFP to be done. Commissioner Trantalis understood that is the purpose of today's item. If the Commission gave direction that the RFP should be based on the EDSA parking garage and the upland portion from the garage to the end of the parking lot be dredged for the marina, it would be consistent with dialogue for the last several months. Mayor Seiler recalled that was discussed but it was determined that it may not be viable or feasible due to environmental issues. The Marine Advisory Board feels that this can make money. He believes that the marina must be expanded but he does not think it has to include any upland portion. Commissioner Trantalis said the whole point of building the parking garage was to compensate for the loss of parking spaces that the dredging would create. It was anticipated the dredged space would be used for dock space. He emphasized the need for more dock space. The City Manager pointed out that environmental agencies may not permit that to be done. Mayor Seiler believed the idea was to take the land on the south side and try to create some open space, while placing a multi-level parking facility on the north side with open space to expand the marina. The City would then go out to the market. An appraisal indicates the land is worth \$39 million. There may be environmental challenges. Discussion ensued about the extent of dockage expansion and seagrass environmental issues.

Vice-Mayor Rogers said he could not separate the Aquatic Center from Las Olas Marina because there is limited funding and he is concerned about the expense of the Aquatic Center. Additionally he did not know if Recreation Design & Construction (RDC) can do it for the set amount. He does not want to be at risk for the marina. He would prefer to let the private sector take the risk. He was pleased with what EDSA has done. He felt the City should do the best possible for the marina in that Fort Lauderdale is the marine capital of the world. There must be a lot of dock space but there also needs to amenities as well. Commissioner Trantalis thought the City was only contributing the land for the marina project. Multiple private sector entities have indicated that a marina with amenities including a restaurant is viable. Vice-Mayor Rogers felt parking is a big factor. Joint use makes sense in that the existing parking lot is not

full during the week. Traffic needs to be disbursed. Commissioner Trantalis agreed and noted that is why there is discussion about a parking garage at the foot of the bridge. He supported an RFP that takes into consideration the entire area being dredged out and used as a full marina for large and small boats. This is the marine capital of the world. They should not lose sight of what the iconic image of Fort Lauderdale should be. Vice-Mayor Rogers pointed to the delays with the Aquatic Center RFP. He did not feel that a P3 option has been fully vetted by the Commission. Also, the CRA will be expiring. Commissioner Trantalis felt there is anything to lose by issuing an RFP for a private sector proposal with the City providing the land. Discussion ensued about what type of investment that would involve. The City Manager advised it will take about six months to bring an RFP back to the Commission.

Commissioner Roberts supported the marina expansion. He has not decided how to go about it. In the meantime they should go forward with staff recommendations and the EDSA plan for the other components. Over the next six months they could examine how to expand the marina. The Aquatic Center is world class in terms of competition and there are no other coastal cities with this amenity. Commissioner McKinzie supported the Aquatic Center but felt that there would be some debate on the dollar amount. He felt a decision should be made soon on the marina. He supported issuing an RFP. He liked the idea of developing the entire parcel.

Mayor Seiler expressed concern with issuing a narrow RFP and having environmentalists not allow any dredging. The City Manager said he could craft an RFP with a Plan A to make use of all of the asphalt except what would be used for the parking deck and preserving a piece for the promenade and Plan B of using the existing water. Staff has been in contact with the environmental agencies, but they will not commit to anything until the permitting process is complete. If the environmental permitting burden is shifted to the private side and it is not possible, the contractor would have to go to Plan B, the smaller option of the existing water. Milestones would be established in the contract.

Commissioner Trantalis expressed concern about the cost of operating the Aquatic Center. He was concerned about a scenario where the bonding company, the contractor and City are in litigation and the project remains unfinished. The City Manager advised that when the project reaches 90 percent, a cost estimate is done. If the cost estimate is lower than the guaranteed maximum price of \$32.5 million, the contractor must lower the price. If the contractor and City cannot reach agreement on the price, the City can terminate the contract. It is an element of risk on the part of the developer and a reason the developer's fee was higher than normal. Commissioner Trantalis referred to Exhibit 3, Cost Estimate, and pointed out even if every cent of the \$34 million estimate is spent and RDC makes no profit, there is still another \$10 million to be spent with no profit to RDC. A copy of Exhibit 3 is attached to these minutes.

Joe Cerrone of Recreation Design & Construction (RDC) said that RDC has an in-house estimate that validates their proposal. RDC also participated in Atkins' review of the estimate. There is a gap because RDC has been delayed a year. Design time, extended general conditions and escalation must be accounted for but it is by no means a \$10 million gap. He felt that they should follow the project through to 90 percent and abide by the contract. If approved within a year, he believed the figure would be under \$2 million. Commissioner Trantalis pointed out that there are significant exclusions at this point (page 6 of Cost Estimate). Cerrone noted that many of the exclusions have no dollar value and have been done. He confirmed for Vice-Mayor Rogers that they were originally scheduled to start work after the boat show. Ninety percent completion would be four months from the start.

Commissioner Trantalis reiterated his concern about the \$1 million annual operations loss. Cerrone felt a lot of opportunities, i.e. events and activities, are not being taken into account. The City Manager acknowledged the report only includes what has been done in the past and with that being the case, staff can give assurance of delivering on that estimation. It is a conservative revenue estimate. It does not include revenues from the parking garage. Cerrone felt revenue for the banquet space is greatly

under-estimated. There is also 5,000 and 2,000 square feet of space not accounted for. Commissioner Trantalis wanted to rely on staff's estimation because they have been operating the facility for years. Vice Mayor Rogers noted that swimming lessons that are done in-house now have not been considered.

Mayor Seiler wanted to preserve the view corridor at Las Olas Boulevard and State Road A1A on the beach which he believed is issue one. Issue two is the Aquatic Center and it should get done now. Thirdly, the marina must stand on its own. The three issues have become entangled. Vice-Mayor Rogers felt that they are connected due to parking. Mayor Seiler believed anything done on the beach must increase the parking. He wanted to make a final decision for EDSA today.

Paul Kissinger of EDSA reviewed the Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board's (BRAB) recommendation, Exhibit 5. Commissioner Trantalis questioned the status of D. C. Alexander Park. Economic Development Administrator Donald Morris said staff presented BRAB with its priorities based on getting the most bang for the buck. They felt there were other priorities. There are six bullet points (Exhibit 5) recommended to the BRAB. A copy of Exhibit 5 is attached to these minutes. The BRAB endorsed them.

With regard to the first recommendation, converting Oceanside Parking Lot to public open space/park, Mayor Seiler felt that the \$12 million price tag is too high. Until they get to the thirty percent level of design, it is too early to get harder numbers and value engineering. Commissioner Trantalis did not want to spend \$12 million on this project. The City Manager clarified there is \$81 million plus funds from other sources. Commissioner Roberts pointed out that Las Olas is the main, central draw to the beach and the focal point. The numbers will change going forward.

Commissioner Trantalis spoke in favor of improving D.C. Alexander Park and the need based on the current lacking amenities. Kissinger reiterated that the estimates are at fifteen percent design level with a twenty percent contingency. As they get further into design, the numbers can be driven down comprehensively. EDSA has pushed hard to get the contract manager at risk onboard early in order to get some real numbers based on design and not just arbitrarily. Then monies will be made available for other improvements. Commissioner Roberts felt it is not possible to be comfortable with the dollar amounts at this level of design. The City Manager emphasized that the estimates are high and overly conservative because they only have broad concepts at this time. Vice Mayor Rogers pointed out that the cost of construction is definitely increasing, and as such, the work needs to get going.

Kissinger advised that the top section of Exhibit 5, page 2, contains \$33 million in projects the Commission has acted upon and set aside the funds. It would take a Commission action now to change. It is the overall CRA program. A brief discussion followed about the allocations and the approvals thus far.

In further response to Commissioner Trantalis, Kissinger advised that the original D.C. Alexander Park design was \$6 million. EDSA came back twice with alternatives. Morris noted the number came to \$3.1 million. In response to Vice Mayor Rogers, Kissinger indicated that the Oceanside Plaza includes the signature portiere (hard surface material). Mayor Seiler and Vice Mayor Rogers preferred canvas. Kissinger indicated that this type of discussion has not yet occurred. Commissioner Roberts wanted to proceed to thirty percent design and review it again. Kissinger advised that there is an estimator onboard. The City needs to go through the selection process for the contract manager at risk.

In response to Commissioner Trantalis, Morris advised that the Sebastian Parking Lot Expansion was not a priority indicated by the Commission. Commissioner Trantalis indicated that on a number of occasions the Commission has expressed a desire for this project. There was disagreement on the part of the Commission as to what decision(s) had been reached. The City Manager advised that if the land swap does not go through, there is sufficient revenue in the parking fund to make parking improvements at that

lot. Some discussion ensued about the favorability of the land swap.

Mayor Seiler asked for consensus on Projects 11900 (Las Olas Boulevard Corridor Improvements) and 11681 (A1A Streetscape Improvements) today for a vote at the evening meeting. He then wanted to meet next week on the Aquatic Center and the garage. It was noted that the Aquatic Center is slated for the agenda in two weeks. Kissinger noted with the proposed parking garage at the Aquatic Center, there would be no net loss of parking on the beach. He enumerated where parking is being eliminated elsewhere. Parking would be disbursed on the beach and would drive the parking garage cost down. More particulars about this parking were discussed.

Discussion turned to the marina. The City Manager advised that the RFP will indicate that the marina developer will need to accommodate the promenade along the perimeter of the marina, that is, leave space for it. The responder could include it in their proposal however. It would be problematic to require it because there is no design available yet. Commissioner Trantalis felt an RFP could move forward simultaneously because of his concern about lack of options if the public private partnership does not go forward. The City Manager felt a full consensus is needed in order for the private sector to spend the time to prepare a response. He believed by going forward with the EDSA proposal is effectively precluding the hotel project because that is where the parking garage would be. Going to the thirty percent design cost estimate involves a cost in itself. Vice Mayor Rogers needed real numbers in order to make an informed decision. Mayor Seiler pointed out if a hotel is included, the hotelier would provide the parking garage. The City Manager confirmed the public private partnership request of the City amounts to \$59 million, including the land, plus tax incremental financing (TIF).

Mayor Seiler wanted to call a special meeting for a final decision on the Aquatic Center and the marina. There was consensus to hold a special meeting on Wednesday, February 25, 2015, at 8 p.m. Mayor Seiler called the special meeting as noted. Vice Mayor Rogers requested an executive summary of the public private partnership proposal from staff.

The Commission convened the closed door session at 5:29 p.m. and recessed at the end of the session.

EXECUTIVE CLOSED DOOR SESSION

The City Commission will meet privately pursuant to Florida Statute 286.011(8) concerning:

Arnold P. Abbott and Love Thy Neighbor Fund, Inc. v. City of Fort Lauderdale - Case CACE99-03583(05)

The Commission reconvened the conference meeting with agenda item BUS-1 at 10:03 p.m. in the City Commission meeting room on the first floor of City Hall.

OLD/NEW BUSINESS

BUS-1 15-0180 Update on Bridge Master Plan

There was consensus agreement to accept the report as submitted.

BUS-2 15-0213 Discussion Regarding Future of Judge Shippey House

This item was deferred to March 3, 2015 conference meeting.

BOARDS AND COMMITTEES

BD-1 15-0155 Communications to the City Commission and Minutes Circulated - period ending February 12, 2015

Beach Redevelopment Advisory Board

The Board voted unanimously to send the following communications to the City Commission:

While the Board is in favor of the staff's recommendations, the Board noticed that the City has a \$32 million contract to build the aquatic center that in the Board's view, is unlikely to be met. In City staff's report on the development of the aquatic center, the budgetary consideration was much greater than the contract amount. The builder had attended the Board's meeting and indicated the cost would be more than the contract amount. The Board would like the Commission to take a close look at the contract and determine if they wanted to go forward with it.

The Board agrees that the three key anchors/priorities of the beach redevelopment area are: The A1A/oceanfront public space; the marina expansion and the aquatic facilities.

The Board has an important interest in an RFP process and/or the unsolicited proposal for the marina and wants to be kept abreast of any developments.

Mayor Seiler noted the upcoming special Commission meeting on February 25 for two of the priorities.

Central City Redevelopment Advisory Board

Motion made by member Barry, seconded by member Thrower to recommend support of the proposed zoning amendments for the proposed "mixed use district" boundaries of the Central City Area along with different character areas. Additional details such as uses and building heights will be developed at future meetings. The motion passed unanimously.

The attached map shows the boundaries as agreed upon for the mixed used zoning district, with the different character areas in it.

Mayor Seiler and Commissioner Trantalis agreed it is a good idea. The City Manager said staff has looked into options and may be requesting some funds to study it. Mayor Seiler questioned the necessity for a study. Director of Economic and Sustainable Development Jenni Morejon believed that prioritizing all major projects and determining whether a consultant would be needed is the question. Mayor Seiler liked the boundaries set out and that the neighborhood appears to have built consensus. The City Manager explained it has to do with determining the criteria details for the mixed use. Mayor Seiler did not want to miss the redevelopment window for 13 Street. He likes their proposal; it appears they have done a lot of work. Commissioner Roberts agreed with expediting. Morejon felt it may be more about providing a time frame and an outline of the process. Commissioner Roberts did not see the need to hire a consultant to reproduce what they have already done. Morejon felt staff needs to analyze their proposal and determine if there are any impacts. She did not want to slow down efforts that are already underway. She agreed to report back on the timeline likely at the March 17 meeting. Commissioner Trantalis emphasized the importance of expediting because people have already begun to invest in this area. The City Manager explained the map furnished is broad in categories without definition. He intends to recommend increases to staff in this area. The City is hiring consultants to put meat on a lot of the concepts.

A general discussion followed about the positive impact and proximity to the downtown is poised for economic growth. He believed the window is now. Morejon agreed to place an item on the agenda for the second meeting in March outlining the effort they believe would be required.

Sustainability Advisory Board

Recognizing that 84.3% (14.3 M tons) of textiles went to landfills in the United States in 2012 (www.epa.gov/epawaste/conserva/material/textiles.htm), the Sustainability Advisory Board encourages the City to expand the City's current waste diversion program to include clothing, tires, and oils.

The City Manager agreed to look into the idea. He did not believe any other city in the county is doing this.

BD-2 15-0156 Board and Committee Vacancies

Please see regular meeting item R-3.

CITY MANAGER REPORTS

None.

The City Commission recessed at 10:15 p.m. and convened as the Community Redevelopment Agency Board of Commissioners, adjourning at the end of the session.