

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION
RFP 133-11150 RECORDS STORAGE, RETRIEVAL & DISPOSAL SERVICES
DATE: April 4, 2013

RFP 133-11150 Scoring
Tabulation

Carol Sayer																					
	Understanding the overall needs of the City for such services, as presented in the narrative proposal and questionnaire to accomplish the work required, accurately and efficiently. This will include pro-active problem identification and effective solutions.						Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references.						Cost to the City								
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points	Average Points	Total Combined Points Awarded	Local Preference (if applicable)	Local Preference Deduction	Final Total Score	Final Average Score	Total Cost	Final Ranking			
U & Me Transfer, Inc.	0.35	1	0.35	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	2	0.60	1.30	1.30	3.90	0%	0.00	3.90	1.30	\$ 39,426.30	1			
ReBro, Inc.	0.35	3	1.05	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	1	0.30	2.40	2.40	7.20	0%	0.00	7.20	2.40	\$ 37,517.00	2			
Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.	0.35	2	0.70	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	3	0.90	2.30	2.77	8.30	0%	0.00	8.30	2.77	\$ 45,184.10	3			
International Data Depository	0.35	4	1.40	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	4	1.20	4.00	3.53	10.60	0%	0.00	10.60	3.53	\$ 47,305.00	4			

CITY OF FORT LAUDERDALE
RFP EVALUATION COMMITTEE TABULATION
RFP 125-11021 SCHOOL CROSSING GUARD SERVICES
DATE: April 4, 2013

RFP 133-11150 Scoring
Tabulation

		Sharon Coryell									Verna Kelly									
		Understanding the overall needs of the City for such services, as presented in the narrative proposal and questionnaire to accomplish the work required, accurately and efficiently. This will include pro-active problem identification and effective solutions.			Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references.			Cost to the City			Understanding the overall needs of the City for such services, as presented in the narrative proposal and questionnaire to accomplish the work required, accurately and efficiently. This will include pro-active problem identification and effective solutions.			Experience, qualifications and past performance of the proposing firm, including persons proposed to provide the services, facilities, resources and references.			Cost to the City			
PROPOSING FIRM	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Weight Factor	Ranking	Point Subtotal	Total Points Awarded
U & Me Transfer, Inc.	0.35	1	0.35	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	2	0.60	1.30	0.35	1	0.35	0.35	1	0.35	0.30	2	0.60	1.30
ReBro, Inc.	0.35	2	0.70	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	1	0.30	1.70	0.35	4	1.40	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	1	0.30	3.10
Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc.	0.35	4	1.40	0.35	4	1.40	0.30	3	0.90	3.70	0.35	2	0.70	0.35	2	0.70	0.30	3	0.90	2.30
International Data Depository	0.35	3	1.05	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	4	1.20	3.30	0.35	3	1.05	0.35	3	1.05	0.30	4	1.20	3.30