

# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FORM

Application Form: All Applications | Rev. 06/14/2024

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>: The following information is required pursuant to the City's Unified Land Development Regulations (ULDR), Section 47-24, Development Permits and Procedures, and must be filled out accurately with all applicable sections completed. Only complete the sections indicated for application type with N/A for those items not applicable. Refer to "Specifications for Plan Submittal" by application type for submittal requirements, which can be found on the City's website.

Select the application type and approval level in  $\underline{\text{SECTION}}(A)$  and complete the sections specified under each type.

#### APPLICATION TYPE AND APPROVAL LEVEL ect the application type from the list below and check the applicable type. LEVEL I LEVEL II LEVEL III LEVEL IV ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW COMMITTEE (ADMIN) DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC) PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD (PZB) CITY COMMISSION (CC) New Nonresidential 5,000 Conditional Use New nonresidential less Land Use Amendment square feet or greater Residential 5 units or more than 5,000 square feet Parking Reduction Rezoning ☐ Change of use Plat Flex Allocation Cluster / Zero Lot Line Modification of Yards\* (if same impact or less than existing Nonresidential use within Public Purpose Use 100 feet of residential use) Central Beach property Waterway Use Development of □ Plat note or Nonvehicular access line (NVAL) Redevelopment proposals Mixed Use Development Significant Impact\* Community Residences\* Vacation of Right-of-☐ Change in use amendment Administrative site plan Social Service Residential Way (if greater impact than existing Facility (SSRF) Amendment to site plan\* Medical Cannabis City Commission Review Affordable Housing per § 166.04151(7) Fla. Stat. Development in Regional Dispensing Facility\* Community Business No PZB Review Activity Centers (RAC)\* Development in Uptown Vacation of Easement\* (Live Local Act) District for uses greater Project Area\* ■ Property and right-of-way than 10,000 square feet □ Regional Activity Center applications Signage (MOTs, construction staging) ☐ Affordable Housing (≥10%) Parking Agreements (separate from site plans) **COMPLETE SECTIONS** COMPLETE SECTIONS COMPLETE SECTIONS COMPLETE SECTIONS B. C. D. E. F ■ MISCELLANEOUS **EXTENSION OR APPEAL PROPERTY AND** DEFERRAL RIGHT-OF-WAY Request to defer after an application is scheduled Appeal decision by approving body and De Affordable Workforce Road Closures Construction Staging Housing Tax Reimbursement for public hearing Novo hearing items Community Residence Request extension to Revocable licenses Construction Noise Walver Design Review Team (DRT) previously approved application (request must be within original pproval date timeframe **COMPLETE SECTIONS COMPLETE SECTIONS COMPLETE SECTIONS** COMPLETE SECTIONS B, C, H B. C. D. I B. C. H B. C. H

B APPLICANT INFOR	MATION If applicant is the busine:	ss operator, complete the agent col	umn and provide property owner authorization.	
Applicant/ Property Owner	PMG-Greybrook Riverfront I LLC	Authorized Agent	Andrew J. Schein, Esq.	
Address	1170 Kane Concourse, Suite	Address	699 N. Federal Highway, Suite 400	
City, State, Zip	Bay Harbor Islands, FL	City, State, Zip	Fort Lauderdale, FL 33304	
Phone Email Proof of Ownership	917-520-19 Stella@flow.li Provide	Phone Email Authorization Letter	954-617-8919 ASchein@Lochrielaw.com Provided	
Applicant Signature:	Signature	Agent Signature:	Signature	

C PARCEL INFORMAT	ION	D LAND USE INFORMA	TION
Address/General Location		Existing Use	Mixed-Use
Folio Number(s)	504210CD0010	Land Use	DRAC
Legal Description (Brief)	SOCIETY LAS OLAS CONDO RESIDENTIAL UNIT PER CDO CIN# 116695687	Zoning District Proposed Applications requesti	RAC-CC ing land use amendments and rezonings.
City Commission District	4 - Warren Sturman	Proposed Land Use	
Civic Association	Downtown	Proposed Zoning District	

Development Application Form Page 1

<sup>\*</sup>Application is subject to specific review and approval process. Levels III and IV are reviewed by Development Review Committee unless otherwise noted.



DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FORM

E PROJECT INFORM				
E PROJECT INFORM	ATION	Provide project inform	ation. Circle yes or no where noted. If item is no	ot applicable, indicate N/A.
Project Name		Society		
Project Description (Describe in detail)		Updated Garage Screening		
Estimated Project Cost	\$	(Estimated total project	t cost including land costs for all new developm	nent applications only)
Waterway Use	No +/-		Traffic Study Required No	
Flex Units		Redevelopment Units	Parking Reduction No	
Flex Acreage			Public Participation No	
Residential Uses			Non-Residential Uses	
Single Family			Commercial	
Townhouses			Restaurant	
Multifamily			Office	
Cluster/Zero Lot Line			Industrial	
Other		N/A	Other	N/A
Total (awelling units)			Total (square feet)	
Residential Unit Mix	Efficiency / Studio	1- Bedroom	2-Bedroom	3-Bedroom or More
Affordable Housing Units		% of AMI	E49999000000000000000000000000000000000	
Affordable Unit Mix	Efficiency / Studia	1- Bedroom	2-Bedroom	3-Bedroom or More

	Required Per ULDR	Proposed
Lot Size (Square feet/acres)		
Lot Density (Units/acres)		
Lot Width		
Building Height (Feet)		
Structure Length		
Floor Area Ratio (F.A.R)		
Lot Coverage		
Ореп Ѕрасе		
Landscape Area		
Parking Spaces		
SETBACKS (Indicate direction N.S.E.W)	Required Per ULDR	Proposed
Front		
Side		
Corner / Side		
Rear		

Tower Stepback	Required Per ULDR	Proposed	Deviation
Front / Primary Street			
Sides / Secondary Street			
Building Height			
Streetwall Length			
Podium Height			
Tower Separation			
Tower Floorplate (square teet)			
Residential Unit Size			

Project Name	Society			
Proposed Amendment Description (Describe in detail)	Updated Garage Screening			
<b>医硬基膜 建物物油油的水油</b>	Original Approval	Proposed Amendment	Amended	
Residential Uses (dwelling units)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Non-Residential Uses (square feet)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Lot Size (Square feet/acres)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Lot Density (Units/acres)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Lot Width	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Building Height (Feet)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Structure Length	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Floor Area Ratio (F.A.R.)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Lot Coverage	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Open Space	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Landscape Area	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Parking Spaces	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Tower Stepback	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Building Height	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Streetwall Length	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Podium Height	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Tower Separation	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Tower Floorplate (square feet)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A (facade improvements)	N/A	
Residential Unit Size	islon to the traffic statement or traffic study c			

Development Application Form



EXTENSION,	DEFERRAL, APPEAL I	NFORMATION Provide information for spec	cific request. Circle approving body and yes or no	
Project Name		Provide and manufactures spec	and request. Circle approving body and yes of no	
Request Description	on			
EXTEN	NSION REQUEST	DEFERRAL REQUEST	APPEAL REQUEST / DE NOVO HEARING	
Approving Body		Approving Body	Approving Body	
Original Approval	Date	Scheduled Meeting Date	30 Days from Meeting (Provide Date)	
kpiration Date emil Submittal Deadline)		Requested Deferral Date	60 Days from Meeting (Provide Date)	
xpiration Date ormit (ssuance Deadline)		Previous Deferrals Granted	Appeal Request	
equested Extensi o more than 24 months)	ion	Justification Letter Provided	Indicate Approving Body Appealing	
ode Enforcemen oplicant Obtain by Code Con		*Note: Deferral requests are subject to a fee per deferral. See Fee Schedule for amount.	De Novo Hearing Due to City Commission Call-Up	
MISCELLANE	OUS Provide information o	n the specific request.		
oject Name				
quest Descriptio	on			
FORDABLE HOUS	SING TAX REIMBURSEMENT*	COMMUNITY RESIDENCE	NOISE WAIVER*	
Is Value	\$	Residence Type	DRC Case Number	
Date		Certification	Request Start Date	
mpletion Value	\$	Length of Stay	Request End Date	
Date		Number of Residents	Construction Start Time	
bilized Value	\$	Number of Live-in Staff	Construction End Time	
Date		Habitable Rooms Gross Floor Area	Sunday Construction Times	
quisition Value	\$	DEVELOPMENT REVIEW TEAM (DRT)*	Noise Mitigation Plan Date of Plan	
Date		Complete Section F	Previous Extension Resolution No. (If applicable)	
plication is dee	med complete. Failure t	OMPLETENESS: The following outlines the nector provide this information will result in your appropriate to the following date:	pplication being deemed incomplete.  May 28, 2024	
Developme	ent Application Form co	mpleted with the applicable information incl	luding signatures.	
Proof of Ow	nership warranty deed	or tax record including corporation docume	ents and SunBiz verification name.	
Address Ve	rification Form that incl	udes all parcels within the proposed develop	oment.	
<b>Project and</b> as described	Unified Land Developmed in the specifications fo	ent Code Narratives project narrative and the rsubmittal by application type.	e applicable ULDR sections and criteric	
Electronic F	Files, File Naming, and with the online submittal r	<b>Documents</b> consistent with the applicable equirements including file naming convention	e specifications for application type, on, plan sets uploaded as single pdf.	
		of a traffic study or traffic statement.		
Stormwater as described	<b>Calculations</b> signed and in the specifications for	nd sealed by a Florida registered professiona r plan submittal for site plan applications.	ıl engineer consistent with calculations	
Water and V	Wastewater Capacity Re	quest copy of email to Public Works request	ing the capacity letter.	
lication submit	ttals are accepted. Belo	EQUIREMENTS: Submittals must be conductive only highlights the important submittal receive. View all the requirements at LauderBuild F	quirements that applicants must follow	
		Il documents at time the application is subm		
File Naming	Convention file names	must adhere to the City's File Naming Conve	ention.	
Reduce File	Size plan sets and other	er large files must be merged or flattened to r	reduce file size.	
Plan Sets p	lan sets like site plans, pl	ats, etc. must be submitted as a single pdf file	e. Staff will instruct when otherwise.	

Development Application Form

Document Categories choose the correct document category when uploading.



699 N. FEDERAL HIGHWAY, SUITE 400 FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33304 DIRECT LINE: 954.617.8919 EMAIL: ASCHEIN@LOCHRIELAW.COM MAIN PHONE: 954.779.1119

FAX: 954.779.1119

July 26, 2024

## NARRATIVE ADDRESSING ADMINISTRATIVE SITE PLAN AMENDMENT

PMG-Greybrook Riverfront I LLC ("Owner") is the Owner of the property located at 301 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue (the "Property"). The Property is the location of the Society Las Olas Building. Owner purchased the Property in December of 2020.

On February 3, 2020, the City issued approval for an amendment to the project on the Property, specifically regarding screening of the garage facing on the east façade, pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3 ("Previous Approval"). The Notice of Determination for the Previous Approval is included in this submission.

Pursuant to the Previous Approval, the City approved garage screening consisting of a two-dimensional flexible mesh featuring art pieces. The Previous Approval also notes that the screening material was intended to be replaced every 5-7 years. This application, which is approximately 4.5 years after the Previous Approval, is to replace the previously-approved garage screening in accordance with the Previous Approval.



699 N. FEDERAL HIGHWAY, SUITE 400 FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33304 DIRECT LINE: 954.617.8919 EMAIL: ASCHEIN@LOCHRIELAW.COM MAIN PHONE: 954.779.1119

Fax: 954.779.1117

**Project:** Society Garage Screening

Owner: PMG-Greybrook Riverfront I LLC

Property: 301 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue Author: Andrew J. Schein, Esq.

#### DOWNTOWN MASTER PLAN NARRATIVE

#### PRINCIPLES OF STREET DESIGN

S1 Maintain fine-grained street grid: discourage vacations.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

S2 Utilize Traffic Calming rather than blocking streets.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

S3 Maximize on-street parking except on major arterials.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

Provide adequate bike lanes in a planned network (next to on street parking: 5ft; next to travel lane: 4ft).

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

S5 Maximize street trees on all Downtown Streets.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

S6 Encourage location of primary row of street trees between sidewalk and street.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

S7 Maximum spacing for street trees: Palms -22ft.; Shade trees - 30 ft.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

- Minimum horizontal clearance (from building face) for trees: Palms 6ft; Shade trees 12ft.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- S9 Encourage shade trees along streets, palm trees to mark intersections. **RESPONSE:** This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- S10 Eliminate County "corner chord" requirement not compatible with urban areas.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- S11 Encourage curb radius reduction to a preferred maximum 15ft; 20ft for major arterials.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Discourage curb cuts on "primary" streets.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- S13 Encourage reduced lane widths on all streets.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- S14 Encourage fixed Rights-of-Way and setbacks for all Downtown streets (to eliminate uncoordinated City setback and County easement requirements).
  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- S15 Encourage reduced design speeds on all RAC streets (15 40 mph).

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Bury all power lines in the Downtown Area.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

#### PRINCIPLES OF BUILDING DESIGN (SCB)

R16049D3.

- B1 Framing the street: building "street wall" should generally meet setback line (within a percentage).
  - RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B2 Framing the street: encourage open space site requirements for use as pedestrian public space instead of unusable, leftover 'green perimeter'.
  - RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B3 Framing the street: minimum and maximum building 'street wall' heights (see character area guidelines for specifics).
  - RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B4 Framing the street: encourage maximum building 'street wall' length of 300ft.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Preferred maximum 'floor plate' area for towers (see character area guidelines for specifics).

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Where towers are located on Primary (>60ft wide) and Secondary (< or = 60ft wide) Streets, the towers are encouraged to orient towards the Primary Street.</li>
   RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B7 Where towers are located on streets < or = 60ft, increased step backs from the 'shoulder' are encouraged to reduce the impact on the street.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No.
- B8 Surface parking: discourage frontage and access along 'primary' street.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

B9 Parking garages: encourage access from secondary streets and alleys.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

Encourage street level activities and minimize visual exposure of parking, with active space on the ground floor of a parking garage.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

Upper floors of a parking garage should not be visible along primary streets, waterways, and parks. Active spaces on the upper floors are encouraged as a preferred design.

RESPONSE: Acknowledged, this application is being submitted to screen the upper floors of the parking garage. Pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3 ("Previous Approval"), the City's Administrative Review Committee determined that the two-dimensional flexible mesh screening of the garage met the requirements of, or at minimum the intent of, the City's Downtown Master Plan.

This application is to replace the previously-approved screening, as contemplated in the Notice of Determination for the Previous Approval, which notes that the screening material was intended to be replaced every 5-7 years.

B10 Encourage main pedestrian entrance to face street.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

B11 Maximize active uses and 'extroverted' ground floors with retail in strategic locations.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

B12 Encourage pedestrian shading devices of various types.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

B13 Encourage balconies and bay windows to animate residential building facades.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

B14 In residential buildings encourage individual entrances to ground floor units (particularly in the Urban Neighborhood Character Area).

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

- B15 High rises to maximize active lower floor uses and pedestrian-oriented design at ground floor. RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Building Design guidelines do not apply to Civic Buildings and Cultural Facilities.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B17 Discourage development above right-of-way (air rights). **RESPONSE:** This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No.

R16049D3.

- B18 Mitigate light pollution.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B19 Mitigate noise pollution.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Vertical open space between towers on adjacent lots: Towers are encouraged to maintain vertical open space alongside and rear lot lines: minimum horizontal distance of 30 ft (abutting property owners can coordinate tower placement as long as maintain 60 ft clearance).
   RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Vertical open space between multiple towers on a single development site: no less than 60 ft apart.
   RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Residential: Encourage minimum ground floor elevation of 2 ft above public sidewalk level for individual ground floor entrances to private units.
   RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- B23 Avoid drive thrus in the wrong places.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

B24 The Fifth Façade: Encourage green roofs as visual amenities that provide a combination of usable, landscaped spaces (recreation & open space benefits) and sustainable roof treatments (environmental benefits).

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

### **QUALITY OF ARCHITECTURE (SCB)**

- Q1 Skyline Drama: Encourage towers to contribute to the overall skyline composition.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Q2 Expressive Tops: Encourage expressive tops for tall buildings above 37 stories in Near Downtown and Downtown Core.
  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Q3 Durability and Quality of Materials: Encourage high quality materials for the entire building, with special emphasis on detailing and durability for the first 2 floors.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3. The City's Administrative Review Committee previously found under Case No. R16049D3 that the two-dimensional flexible mesh screening meets the requirements of, or at least the intent of, the Downtown Master Plan.
- Q4 Respect for Historic Buildings.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Q5 Parking Podium Façades: Where structured parking must be exposed to the street, exceptionally creative solutions should be explored.

RESPONSE: Acknowledged, this application is being submitted to screen the upper floors of the parking garage. Pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3 ("Previous Approval"), the City's Administrative Review Committee determined that the two-dimensional flexible mesh screening of the garage met the requirements of, or at minimum the intent of, the City's Downtown Master Plan.

This application is to replace the previously-approved screening, as contemplated in the Notice of Determination for the Previous Approval, which notes that the screening material was intended to be replaced every 5-7 years.

This application is being submitted in order to avoid structured parking being exposed to the street.

- Response to Natural Environment: Encourage architecture to respond to the unique nature of the south Florida environment (solar orientation, wind direction, rain). Examples: Open breezeway corridors oriented toward prevailing winds; energy efficient glazing; above ground storm water capture and re- use through bio-swales and rain gardens; solar roof panes/awnings.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Q7 Creative Façade Composition: Encourage a rich layering of architectural elements throughout the building, with special attention to facades below the shoulder level.
  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Q8 Original, Self-Confident Design: Encourage a range of architectural styles that each create a strong identity, strive for the highest quality expression of its chosen architectural vocabulary.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

# PRINCIPLES OF BUILDING DESIGN (SCB)

- SF1 Retail Location Strategy: Encourage ground floor retail in preferred locations.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- SF2 Encourage a combination of storefront styles and types in adjacent buildings, or within single buildings, to create variety and visual interest at the street level.
   RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- SF3 Encourage durable materials for ground floor retail and cultural uses.

  RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- Encourage 15 ft minimum floor-to-floor height and encourage interior ground floor flush with adjacent public sidewalk.
   RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.
- SF5 Encourage significant glass coverage for transparency and views. Encourage restaurants to provide clear visual and physical connections to outdoor seating.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

SF6 Encourage pedestrian shading devices of various types (min 5 ft depth).

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

SF7 Encourage multi-level storefront displays to disguise unfriendly uses or blank walls.

Murals and art are intended for all zones where there are blank walls enclosing parking garage program

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.

SF8 Encourage well-designed night lighting solutions.

RESPONSE: This criterion is not applicable to this application. This application is only for the replacement of garage screening as previously contemplated pursuant to City Case No. R16049D3.