

In response to Vice Mayor DuBose, Ms. Pryor indicated staff now requires what the U.S. Housing and Urban Development Department requires, that is, how many clients will be served with a specified amount of funding. There may also be demographic information such as income level. With the new performance indicators, outcomes will be available. Discussion ensued about benchmark information and when it will be considered in the process wherein Mayor Seiler suggested giving the Board at least eighteen months with the new structure before the matter comes back to the Commission. Vice Mayor DuBose did not object to waiting eighteen months, but emphasized that it should be understood that such hard information will now be captured. With different agencies applying each year, there will be benchmarks on some and not others. Ms. Gonsler indicated at least in the initial years, benchmarking will result in a rule out and not a rule in. Such agencies could be ruled out by staff and not go to the Board in those cases. There was consensus approval on this item.

I-D – Banner Sign Pilot Program

This item had been requested by Commissioner Roberts. There was no objection.

I-E – Proposed Lien Settlements – Special Magistrate and Code Enforcement Board Cases

No objection.

II-A – Replacement of Hydraulic Elevator Jack Cylinder and Sleeve – Von Mizell Center – Emergency Purchase

No objection.

III-A – Communication to City Commission and Minutes Circulated for Period ending February 2, 2012

Affordable Housing Advisory Committee

Motion made by Ms. Spangler-Bartle, seconded by Mr. Walters, to let the City Commission know the Affordable Housing Advisory Committee is enthusiastic about the development of a strategic housing plan for the City, and would be willing to help in any way possible; the Committee would also like to encourage the City to educate the community about affordable housing in order to prevent the spread of "Not In My Back Yard" issues in the community.

Commissioner Rogers suggested and there was consensus approval for the Committee to move forward on both items.

Audit Advisory Board

Motion made by Mr. Oelke, seconded by Mr. Owen, to send a communication to the City Commission to ask for staggered two-year Board member terms; three members would be appointed one year and two members would be

appointed the next year. In a voice vote, motion passed 4-0.

Consensus approval. City Attorney will draft the appropriate legislation.

Budget Advisory Board

Motion made by Mr. Snead, seconded by Mr. Russo to inform the City Commission that the Board was encouraged by the budget methodology presentation from the City Manager's office and encouraged the Commission to continue to support it. In a voice vote, motion passed 8-0.

Motion made by Mr. Cobb, seconded by Mr. Russo to approve the Memo of Understanding that had been revised by Mr. Feldman and the Board this evening. The Board also noted they wanted the City to work on an ordinance amendment as well. In a voice vote, motion passed 8-0.

Commissioner Rogers questioned whether biographic information of board members should be posted on the City's website. Mayor Seiler thought it should be left to the discretion of each board.

Stanley Hawthorne, Assistant City Manager, explained that the Board would like their responsibilities codified for clarity. The current language is perhaps fifteen years old and in need for updating.

With respect to the memorandum of understanding (MOU), Mayor Seiler noted that with it being a non-binding expression of intent, he did not understand why there is provision for signatures. The City Attorney indicated that it is not legally enforceable. The City Manager explained that the MOU would be updated annually and become the Board's charge. Essentially, the Board would like some of their authority expanded in the ordinance. Mayor Seiler was concerned about updating the code annually. The City Manager explained that the Board is concerned about a change in the Board's relationship with a future commission or administration. Mayor Seiler preferred and there was consensus approval of an annual MOU update in lieu of an ordinance change.

Community Services Board

Motion by Ms. Birch, seconded by Ms. Shirley, to invite the City Manager to the next meeting, in order to share with the CSB the reason behind privatizing the Housing and Community Development Division. In a voice vote, the motion passed unanimously.

No objection.