

5-6-14 CRA-1
Provided by John Herbst

April Saginor

From: Jonda Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 3:36 PM
To: April Saginor
Cc: Wendy Gonyea
Subject: FW: CAM 14-0569 proprietary purchase and installation of lighting for Sistrunk Boulevard
Attachments: DOC050114.pdf; ATT00001.htm

This is for CRA-1 May 6 CRA mtg. there is lengthy discussion on what the Auditor brought forward during questions on this item. it needs to be scanned in

From: John Herbst
Sent: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 3:33 PM
To: Jack Seiler; Romney Rogers; Bruce G. Roberts; Bobby B. DuBose; Dean Trantalis
Cc: Lee Feldman; Cynthia Everett; Jonda Joseph
Subject: Fwd: CAM 14-0569 proprietary purchase and installation of lighting for Sistrunk Boulevard

Below is my discussion with Alfred last week.

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Alfred Battle <ABattle@fortlauderdale.gov>
Date: May 2, 2014 at 5:17:30 PM EDT
To: John Herbst <JHerbst@fortlauderdale.gov>
Cc: Lee Feldman <LFeldman@fortlauderdale.gov>, Jenni Morejon <JMorejon@fortlauderdale.gov>
Subject: RE: CAM 14-0569 proprietary purchase and installation of lighting for Sistrunk Boulevard

1. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Who designed the project with substandard lighting?
The lighting level for the project is not substandard. The idea to increase the lighting levels on Sistrunk was first raised by community members and the City Commission as the project was rolling towards completion. In November 2013 city staff asked Craven Thompson Engineers (CTA), the city's project manager, to review the plans and field test the lighting levels for the corridor. CTA confirmed that the lighting levels were adequate. A copy of the email from Chris Bennett with Craven Thompson provides verification that the lighting standard for project is adequate and has been attached for your information.

Next, city staff at Parks Facilities conducted some additional research on the issue and provided some options if we wanted to pursue increasing the lighting levels. Those options were tested by installing them on a few of existing fixtures and observing the lighting levels. A option using a LED fixture was recommended and is identified for purchase in the agenda item.

2. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Are we seeking reimbursement from the architect/engineer?
N/A based on my answer above.

3. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Does the Southeast Florida Governmental Purchasing Cooperative Group Contract cover both the hardware and the installation through Imperial Electrical Incorporated?

The Southeast Florida Governmental Purchasing Cooperative Group Contract only addresses the installation. The hardware is being purchased from SESCO Lighting Inc.

Al Battle Jr.

914 NW 6th Street, Suite 200
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33311
(954) 828-8952 or 4514
(954) 828-4500
abattle@fortlauderdale.gov

From: John Herbst

Sent: Thursday, May 01, 2014 2:08 PM

To: Alfred Battle; Jenni Morejon

Cc: Lee Feldman

Subject: CAM 14-0569 proprietary purchase and installation of lighting for Sistrunk Boulevard

A couple of questions:

1. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Who designed the project with substandard lighting?
2. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Are we seeking reimbursement from the architect/engineer?
3. <!--[if !supportLists]--><!--[endif]-->Does the Southeast Florida Governmental Purchasing Cooperative Group Contract cover both the hardware and the installation through Imperial Electrical Incorporated?

John Herbst, CPA, CGFO, CGMA

City Auditor

City of Fort Lauderdale

Ph: (954) 828-4350

E-Mail: jherbst@fortlauderdale.gov

You can't wait until the crisis to examine the fundamentals.

Under Florida law, most e-mail messages to or from City of Fort Lauderdale employees or officials are public records, available to any person upon request, absent an exemption. Therefore, any e-mail message to or from the City, inclusive of e-mail addresses contained therein, may be subject to public disclosure.